

Comparison of Sexual Behavior and Inflammatory Parameters in Prostate Cancer Patients with Control Group: Prospective Controlled Study

Erdal Benli¹, Ahmet Yuce^{1*}, Abdullah Cirakoglu¹, Ibrahim Yazici¹, Ismail Nalbant²

Purpose: In spite of extraordinary developments in diagnostic and treatment methods for prostate cancer (PCa), the reason for this disease is not known. Our study aimed to compare men in the PCa group with a control group in terms of sexual behavior like partner numbers and ejaculation frequency, and inflammatory parameters examined in serum.

Material and Methods: This study was performed prospectively between 2013 and April 2020 and the record system was kept by a single doctor. Patients were prospectively recorded by a single person. Patients with diagnosis of PCa were compared with a control group in terms of sexual behavior and in terms of inflammatory parameters like neutrophil lymphocyte ratio (NLR, neutrophil count/lymphocyte count), systemic inflammatory index (SII, neutrophil count x platelet count/lymphocyte count).

Results: In this study, median marriage age was 18 ± 6 years in the control group and 20 ± 2.97 in the PCa group ($P = .001$). The median lifelong partner number was observed to be 1 ± 1 in the control group and 1 ± 9 in the PCa group (median \pm IQR). Additionally, lifelong median ejaculation frequency was determined as 12 ± 5 for controls and 10 ± 4 for the PCa group. Inflammatory markers examined in serum and SII scores were observed to be statistically significantly increased in the cancer group.

Conclusion: The sexual behavior and inflammatory parameters among patients with PCa diagnosis were identified to be significantly high compared to the control group and appear to be possible correctable risk factors. Informing men about sexual behavior from an early age and taking precautions for people at risk in the early period may be protective against this disease.

Keywords: prostate cancer; inflammation; sexual behavior

INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer (PCa) is among the most commonly seen cancers in men and comprises 15% of newly-diagnosed cases.⁽¹⁾ In spite of developments in diagnosis and treatment, many people die due to this disease. Intense research continues around the world to prevent this disease. While some risk factors like age and family history have been defined, the definite cause is still unknown.⁽²⁾ However, people with certain behavior or living in certain regions are known to have increased PCa incidence. Additionally, when people living in regions with low risk of PCa move to riskier regions, the PCa development risk of these people displays similarities to people living in this region.^(3,4) When all this information is assessed together, it brings to mind that there are some preventable risk factors contributing to development of PCa.

The most notable among these risk factors is sexual behavior. Sexual behavior without control, especially, is an important public health problem around the world. It is considered to be a risk factor for development of PCa. Studies associated PCa with many sexual behaviors like age of first sexual relations, number of partners and ejaculation frequency. However, the underlying cause has not been fully revealed. Among the causes given most focus is the accumulation of a variety of tox-

ic matter in prostate tissue or the inflammatory process caused by microbial agents.^(5,6) However, it is difficult to reach a definite conclusion from studies on this topic because there is no standardization of topics like patient selection, information gathering method and time. Most were performed retrospectively. To our knowledge, to date there is no study comparing the sexual behavior and inflammatory parameters of prostate cancer patients with healthy people. This study was prospectively planned to resolve this deficiency about the topic.

The aim of the study was to compare sexual behavior and inflammatory markers measured in serum among people with prostate cancer diagnosis with healthy peers. Additionally, to identify whether there are precautions which can be recommended to protect healthy people from cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

From April 2013 to April 2020, information from male patients aged over 40 years attending hospital was recorded prospectively by a single expert. All patient data, diagnosis, and follow-up duration were prospectively recorded by a doctor specialized in the topic. Patients were persuaded to provide accurate information during their first interview. They were told that this was

¹Department of Urology, Ordu University, Faculty of Medicine, Ordu, Turkey.

²Department of Urology, Etlik Lokman Hekim Hospital, Ankara, Turkey.

*Correspondence: Department of Urology, Faculty of Medicine, Ordu University, Ordu 52200, Turkey.

Tel: +90 506 217 6164, E-mail: ahmetyuce7@gmail.com

Received September 2020 & Accepted July 2021

Table 1. Distribution of demographic characteristics

Characteristics	Groups		P - value
	Control (n=392)	PCa (n=262)	
Age (years)	61.81 ± 8.49 ^a	67.65 ± 9.08 ^a	.001**
Height (cm)	170.11 ± 6.49 ^a	170.36 ± 7.03 ^a	.635
Waist circumference	100.09 ± 9.55 ^a	99.23 ± 10.78 ^a	.307
BMI	27.43 ± 3.62 ^a	27.54 ± 4.17 ^a	.728
Alcohol use (%)	13	26.5	.001**
Smoking (%)	53.2	60.9	.057
Diabetes Mellitus (%)	21.8	15.8	.063
Lung disease (%)	9	12.2	.199
Hypertension (%)	35.4	39.4	.307
CVD %	22.1	21.5	.856

^a mean ± SD; * = $P < .05$; ** = $P = .001$

Abbreviations: PCa, Prostate Cancer; BMI, Body Mass Index; CVD, Cardiovascular Disease.

important for treatment. If they did not remember the answer to questions or did not want to answer, it was not recorded in the study. Patients had sexual behavior like age of first sexual relations, number of sexual partners and monthly ejaculation numbers and laboratory values recorded in detail. Patients gave permission for information to be used in research. Blood samples were taken in the morning after overnight fasting. Blood samples of patients were taken after underlying pathologies like UTI were excluded during the first visit. Patients were assessed for prostate cancer with PSA and digital rectal examination (DRE). Causes such as constipation, urinary tract infection (UTI) and urethral interventions which may cause benign PSA elevation were excluded. High values were checked 2 weeks later. PSA value > 4 ng/mL or suspect DRE findings were accepted as biopsy criteria and the study included patients positive for PCa as a result of prostate biopsy. Patients attending check-ups with no complaints with PSA value ≤ 3 ng/mL and without suspect DRE were included in the control group.

The control group was randomly selected from among people with similar basic features to the control group. The study was performed in a single tertiary hospital serving a region with population of nearly 800,000, very homogeneous structure and receiving very little immigration. The two groups were similar in terms of risk factors like nutrition, genetic and environmental factors.

Procedures

Patients were divided into 2 groups of the control group and prostate cancer (PCa) group. The study recorded a total of 654 patients abiding by the criteria including 263 PCa patients and 392 control patients. Parameters like age, comorbid diseases, sexual behavior (like age of marriage, number of partners, mean ejaculation frequency), PSA value, sedimentation, C-reactive protein (CRP), neutrophil lymphocyte ratio (NLR, neutrophil count/lymphocyte count), and systemic inflammatory index (SII, neutrophil count x platelet count/lymphocyte count) were compared between the groups.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The study included circumcised male patients over the age of 40 years, who granted consent, could remember sexual behavior and did not avoid talking about these topics. Patients who could not remember or did not want to talk about sexual behavior, who spoke inconsistently during examinations, with cognitive disorders, using psychiatric medication or with psychiatric disease, with previous PCa diagnosis, UTI or history of pelvic radiotherapy and, for the control group patients with elevated PSA values, were excluded from the study. The study received permission from the local ethics committee (Number: 025/2020).

Statistical analysis

Data obtained in the research was analyzed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21 program. Descriptive statistics are number and percentage for categoric variables and mean, standard

Table 2. Distribution of Laboratory Parameters

Features	Groups		P - value
	Control (n=392)	PCa (n=262)	
PSA (ng/mL)	1.03 ± 1.15 ^b	8.29 ± 13.28 ^b	.001**
Testosterone (ng/dL)	5.87 ± 2.97 ^b	5.34 ± 2.7 ^b	.024*
Fasting Blood Sugar (mg/dL)	103 ± 21 ^b	104 ± 21 ^b	.881
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.85 ± 0.18 ^b	0.86 ± 0.22 ^b	.455
CRP (mg/dL)	0.15 ± 0.27 ^b	0.24 ± 0.64 ^b	.001**
Fibrinogen (mg/dL)	320.00 ± 89 ^b	332.50 ± 125 ^b	.001**
Sedimentation (mm/h)	13.00 ± 11 ^b	16.50 ± 22 ^b	.001**
NLR	1.81 ± 0.93 ^b	2.14 ± 1.25 ^b	.001**
SII	390.25 ± 251.76 ^b	470.12 ± 308.72 ^b	.001**

^b median ± IQR, * = $P < .05$; ** = $P = .001$

Abbreviations: PSA, Prostate Specific Antigen; CPR, C-Reactive Protein; NLR, Neutrophil Count/Lymphocyte Count; SII, systemic inflammatory index.

Table 3. Distribution of Sexual Behavior

Features	Groups		P - value
	Control (n=392)	PCa (n=262)	
Age of marriage (yearly)	18 ± 6 (10-35) ^b	20 ± 5 (12-58) ^b	.001
Number of sexual partners	1 ± 1 (0-200) ^b	1 ± 9 (0-1000) ^b	.001
Frequency of ejaculation (monthly)	12 ± 5 (4-64) ^b	10 ± 4 (0-30) ^b	.001

^b median ± IQR (min-max)

deviation, median, minimum and maximum values with interquartile range (IQR) for numerical variables. Normal distribution of numerical variables was assessed with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. For comparison of numerical variables, the student t test was used for variables abiding by parametric conditions, while the Mann Whitney U test was used for variables not abiding by parametric conditions. Analysis of categoric variables used the chi-square test. In situations with type 1 error level below 5%, $P < .05$ was accepted as statistically significant.

RESULTS

The study used data collected from a total of 654 patients, with 262 (40.1%) in the PCa and 392 (59.9%) in the control group. Mean age was identified as 61.81 ± 8.49 (41-85) years in the control group and 67.65 ± 9.08 (43-97) years in the PCa group ($P < .001$). The comorbid diseases and habits of groups are given in Table 1. Laboratory parameters (median ± IQR) were compared in the control and PCa patients. Median PSA values were 1.03 ± 1.15 (0.10 - 3) ng/mL in the control group and 8.29 ± 13.28 (4.10 - 1381) ng/mL in the PCa group ($P = .001$). Testosterone levels were 5.87 ± 2.97 (1.32-13.90) ng/dL and 5.34 ± 2.7 (2.31-16.14) ng/dL, respectively ($P = .024$). When groups were compared in terms of CRP, fibrinogen, NLR and SII score, inflammatory markers were identified to increase in the cancer group. This increase was statistically significant (Table 2).

The groups were compared in terms of marital age, lifelong number of sexual partners and monthly ejaculation frequency. As data were non-parametric, results are given as median (mean rank) ± IQR. When groups were compared in terms of sexual behavior, median age of marriage was 18 (261.63) ± 6 years in the control group and 20 (323.23) ± 5 years in the PCa group ($P = .001$). The lifelong median number of partners was 1 (299.87) ± 1 in the control group and 1 (367.75) ± 9 in the PCa group ($P = .001$) and this difference was significant. Additionally, the lifelong median ejaculation frequency (monthly) was determined as 12 (382.53) ± 5 for controls and 10 (230.02) ± 4 for the PCa group ($P = .001$) (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Though some risk factors have been defined like aging, family history and genetic features, the definite cause is still unknown. Like many cancers, it is considered that multifactorial risk factors are effective. The aim of this study was to investigate whether there was a correlation between sexual behavior, inflammatory parameters in serum and PCa. In this study, the most important finding is that there was a correlation between PCa with sexual behavior and inflammatory parameters compared to the control group. It is known that the incidence of PCa increases in people living in certain re-

gions or with certain forms of behavior. A study in our neighboring country of Iran reported the 3-year cancer frequency per 100,000 people was 11.2%.⁽⁷⁾

Studies on this topic have reported that Asian males have 10-15 times increased PCa risk compared to males living in western countries, while African-American males have 1.6 times increased PCa risk compared to Caucasians.⁽⁸⁾ The difference in this disease between geographies is implied to be possibly due to some risky personal behaviors related to this disease.

There is increasing evidence showing sexual behavior, a significant health problem around the world, is an important risk factor for PCa development. This topic + attracted attention to sexual behavior like partner numbers, especially, but also age of first sexual relations and ejaculation frequency.⁽⁹⁾

Some studies have investigated the correlation between ejaculation frequency and PCa. Rider et al. reported that in the absence of risky sexual behavior, increased ejaculation frequency has protective effects against PCa.⁽¹⁰⁾ Another study by Jian et al. reported that there was a significant correlation between sexual behavior like reduced sexual partner numbers, advanced age for first sexual relations and moderate levels of ejaculation frequency with reduced PCa risk.⁽¹¹⁾ Some authors reported the protective ejaculation frequency is 1-4 times per week.⁽¹²⁾ In our study, the cancer group was identified to have reduced ejaculation frequency compared to the control group. The protective number is not known in our study. In spite of broad investigation of the literature about ejaculation, the protective effect is not fully understood. According to the most accepted view, increased ejaculation frequency is effective by preventing accumulation of some carcinogenic material within prostate fluid.^(13,14)

We think ejaculation may be effective through a different route. Like the mechanical cleaning effect of urine, frequent ejaculation may prevent access to or colonization of prostatic tissue by a variety of microorganisms. Additionally, sexual activity means a certain level of physical activity, mental calmness and better communication with partners. In conclusion, continuing active sexual life may have beneficial contributions by making the person feel good about themselves, and have positive effect on the vascular system by better perfusion and oxygenation of tissues leading to benefits for immune system cells. This is very important for the battle with cancer cells. We think there is a need for more comprehensive studies to say anything definite about this topic.

Increased partner numbers is an important public health problem increasing the risk of many sexually-transmitted diseases. Many studies have proposed that sexual activities without control and with many people is an important risk factor for PCa development.⁽¹⁵⁾ A meta-analysis by Jian et al. investigated the correlation be-

tween partner numbers and PCa. The authors reported that each increase in partner numbers by 10 increased cancer risk by 1.1 times.⁽¹¹⁾ These results were supported by other researchers. In our study, the partner number was significantly increased in the PCa group compared to the control group.

The reason for the correlation between partner numbers and PCa has not been fully explained. One of the views proposed about this topic associates increased sexual activity with high androgen levels and proposed that high hormone levels may trigger cancer development.⁽¹⁶⁾ However, many studies have shown no relation between PCa and androgens. In our study, contrarily, the cancer group had reduced androgen levels compared to the control group. This is not surprising to us; we know the androgen levels reduce in elderly patients. Another view which is a focus in the correlation between partner numbers and cancer is the inflammatory process caused by sexually transmitted infections (STI).⁽¹⁷⁻¹⁹⁾ Independent of vector, there are studies in the literature reporting STI experienced in any period of life increases cancer risk by 50%.^(20,21)

We know the correlation between cancer and the inflammatory process from many cancers in the gastrointestinal system, thyroid, pancreas, bladder and pleura.^(22,23)

Chronic inflammation results in collection of many immune system cells and increases in a variety of mediators and cytokines. Increasing reactive oxygen species (ROS) in this process affect the physiological conditions required by normal cells. If this toxic material is not removed from tissues, lipid peroxidation and DNA injury may develop.^(24,25) In prostate tissue, chronic infection beginning for a variety of reasons may begin the cancer development process with the same mechanism.^(26,27) A study by Taghavi et al. supports this view. The authors investigated the correlation between Polyomavirus hominis 1 (BK virus, BKV), known to cause latent infection, with prostate specimens. The results of the study reported the BKV infection was more prevalent compared to BPH in PCa specimens.

In our study, inflammatory parameters were investigated differently to many studies. Inflammatory parameters examined in serum from PCa patients were identified to be increased compared to the control group. According to our knowledge, this study is the first to compare prostate cancer patients in terms of partner numbers and inflammatory parameters to date. In spite of not knowing STD history, we think agents transmitted through the sexual route with increased partner numbers may have caused a chronic inflammatory process triggering cancer development in patients included in the study. We do not fully know why these patients married at younger ages and how partner numbers and ejaculation frequency changes in which periods of life. Sexual relations with many partners at younger ages may cause marriage at later ages and less sexual relations after marriage.

The results of our study identified that the number of partners was increased and the ejaculation frequency was reduced in the PCa group compared to the control group. Additionally, compared to the control group, the PCa group had increased inflammatory parameters like CRP, sedimentation, fibrinogen, NLR in serum and SII. People included in the study were statistically similar in terms of geography, genetics and nutritional characteristics, which is very important in terms of ho-

mogenization. This allows the opportunity to compare people with similar features (control and PCa group) in terms of sexual behavior and inflammatory parameters. These results show that in addition to unchangeable risk factors like aging, genetics and family history, there are risk factors which are preventable with simple precautions. When the literature and our study results are interpreted together, sexual behavior appears to be a changeable risk factor for prevention of cancer.

There are some limitations to our study. The first is that information related to sexual life was based on patient statements. It is not possible to know if there were situations involving forgetting or purposely providing misleading information. However, information was not obtained from patients with any survey or by telephone. All diagnosis and treatment processes were completed by the same person. This situation is important in terms of receiving accurate information from patients and for standardization of the study. It is not known if the group used as control in the study included undiagnosed cancer cases (due to silent progression of many cancer cases, lack of reliable PSA value). Additionally, in the cancer group, there was no evidence for diseases related to STIs available, like serologic tests. However, this situation is valid for the control group.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this prospective study obtained important results. It was identified that the partner number was increased and ejaculation frequency reduced in the PCa group compared to the control group and that these patients married at later ages. Additionally, an increase in systemic inflammatory markers was observed in the cancer group. These results show the presence of increased inflammatory processes in the PCa group with increased partner numbers. These results, when assessed with the literature, lead to consideration that increased partner numbers and reduced ejaculation frequency may begin or ease the inflammatory background for cancer development. These results indicate there are some precautions that may be taken for this disease. Providing necessary sexual information from a young age, taking protective precautions against sexually transmitted diseases and increasing the frequency of ejaculation were identified as changeable behaviors for PCa.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors report no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Soerjomataram I, Lortet-Tieulent J, Parkin DM et al. Global burden of cancer in 2008: a systematic analysis of disability-adjusted life-years in 12 world regions. *Lancet*. 2012;380:1840-50.
2. Discacciati A, Wolk A. Lifestyle and dietary factors in prostate cancer prevention. *Recent Results Cancer Res*. 2014;202:27-37.
3. Cook LS, Goldoft M, Schwartz SM, Weiss NS. Incidence of adenocarcinoma of the prostate in Asian immigrants to the United States and their descendants. *J Urol*. 1999;161:152-5.
4. Hemminki K, Li X. Cancer risks in second-generation immigrants to Sweden. *Int J Cancer*. 2002;99:229-37.

5. Nelson WG, De Marzo AM, Isaacs WB. Mechanisms of disease prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;349:366-81.
6. Taylor ML, Mainous AG, Wells BJ. Prostate cancer and sexually transmitted diseases: a meta-analysis. *Fam Med.* 2005;37:506-12.
7. Basiri A, Eshrati B, Zarehoroki A et al. Incidence, Gleason Score and Ethnicity Pattern of Prostate Cancer in the Multi-ethnicity Country of Iran During 2008-2010. *Urol J.* 2020;17:602-6.
8. McGrowder DA, Jackson LA, Crawford TV. Prostate cancer and metabolic syndrome: is there a link? *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2012;13:1-13.
9. Dennis LK, Dawson DV. Meta-analysis of measures of sexual activity and prostate cancer. *Epidemiology.* 2002;13:72-9.
10. Rider JR, Wilson KM, Sinnott JA, Kelly RS, Mucci LA, Giovannucci EL. Ejaculation Frequency and Risk of Prostate Cancer: Updated Results with an Additional Decade of Follow-up. *Eur Urol.* 2016;70:974-82.
11. Jian Z, Ye D, Chen Y, Li H, Wang K. Sexual Activity and Risk of Prostate Cancer: A Dose-Response Meta-Analysis. *J SexMed.* 2018;15:1300-9.
12. Leitzmann MF, Platz EA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Giovannucci E. Ejaculation Frequency and Subsequent Risk of Prostate Cancer. *JAMA.* 2004;29:1578-86.
13. Isaacs JT. Prostatic structure and function in relation to the etiology of prostatic cancer. *Prostate.* 1983;4:351-66.
14. Svatek RS, Karam JA, Rogers TE, Shulman MJ, Margulis V, Benaim EA. Intraluminal crystalloids are highly associated with prostatic adenocarcinoma on concurrent biopsy specimens. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis.* 2007;10:279-82.
15. Cirakoglu A, Benli E, Yuce A. Polygamy, sexual behavior in a population under risk for prostate cancer diagnostic: an observational study from the Black Sea Region in Turkey. *Int Braz J Urol.* 2018;44:704-8.
16. Shaneyfelt T, Husein R, Bublely G, Mantzoros CS. Hormonal predictors of prostate cancer: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Oncol.* 2000;18:847-53.
17. Chaturvedi AK. Beyond cervical cancer: burden of other HPV-related cancers among men and women. *J Adolesc Health.* 2010;46:20-6.
18. Rosenblatt KA, Wicklund KG, Stanford JL. Sexual factors and the risk of prostate cancer. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2001;153:1152-58.
19. Sutcliffe S. Sexually transmitted infections and risk of prostate cancer: review of historical and emerging hypotheses. *Future Oncol.* 2010;6:1289-311.
20. Caini S, Gandini S, Dudas M, Bremer V, Severi E, Gherasim A. Sexually transmitted infections and prostate cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol.* 2014;38:329-38.
21. Cohen RJ, Shannon BA, McNeal JE, Shannon T, Garrett KL. Propionibacterium acnes associated with inflammation in radical prostatectomy specimens: a possible link to cancer evolution? *J Urol.* 2005;173:1969-74.
22. Yang H, Bocchetta M, Kroczyńska B et al. TNF-alpha inhibits asbestos-induced cytotoxicity via a NF-kappaB-dependent pathway, a possible mechanism for asbestos-induced oncogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2006;103:10397-402.
23. Demaria S, Pikarsky E, Karin M et al. Cancer and inflammation: promise for biologic therapy. *J Immunother.* 2010;33:335-51.
24. Karan D, Dubey S. From Inflammation to Prostate Cancer: The Role of Inflammasomes. *Adv Urol.* 2016;2016:314-72.
25. Zeh HJ, Winikoff S, Landsittel DP et al. Multianalyte profiling of serum cytokines for detection of pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Biomark.* 2005;1:259-69.
26. Battisti V, Bagatini MD, Maders LD et al. Cholinesterase activities and biochemical determinations in patients with prostate cancer: influence of Gleason score, treatment and bone metastasis. *Biomed Pharmacother.* 2012;66:249-55.
27. Shukla S, Srivastava JK, Shankar E et al. Oxidative Stress and Antioxidant Status in High-Risk Prostate Cancer Subjects. *Diagnostics (Basel).* 2020;10:126.
28. Taghavi A, Mohammadi-Torbati P, Kashi AH, Rezaee H, Vaezjalali M. Polyomavirus Hominis 1(BK virus) Infection in Prostatic Tissues: Cancer versus Hyperplasia. *Urol J.* 2015;12:2240-4.