

Minimizing Complications in Acl Reconstruction a Critical Appraisal of Graft Options and Rehabilitation Protocols

Ebrahim Piri*^a, Saeid Alihosseini^b, Yaghoub Panahighaffarkandi^c

^a PhD in Sports Biomechanics, Department of Sports Biomechanics, Faculty of Educational Sciences and Psychology, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran.

^b PhD in Sports Physiology, Department of Exercise Physiology, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran. ^c M. Sc of Sports Physiology, Department of Sports Physiology, Faculty of Educational Sciences and Psychology, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran.

* **Corresponding author:** Ebrahim Piri, Department of Sports Biomechanics, Faculty of Educational Sciences and Psychology, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran. **Email:** Ebrahimm.piri@gmail.com

Submitted: 2025-05-15; **Accepted:** 2025-07-22; **Doi:** <https://doi.org/10.22037/jcpr.v10i1.48629>

Please cite this paper as: Piri E. Alihosseini S. Panahighaffarkandi Y. Minimizing Complications in Acl Reconstruction a Critical Appraisal of Graft Op-tions and Rehabilitation Protocols. J Clin Physio Res. 2025; 10(1): e5. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.22037/jcpr.v10i1.48629>

A Letter to the Editor

Dear Editor

This letter is based on a critical synthesis of current literature, including recent systematic reviews and comparative studies on graft options and rehabilitation protocols in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction (ACLR) (1, 2). I am writing to address a critical aspect of ACLR that warrants further attention in the orthopedic community: the optimization of graft selection and rehabilitation protocols to minimize complications and improve long-term outcomes. Despite significant advancements in surgical techniques and graft materials, the incidence of postoperative complications, such as graft failure, arthrofibrosis, and persistent instability, remains a concern. This issue underscores the need for a more comprehensive understanding of the interplay between graft options, patient-specific factors, and rehabilitation strategies. The choice of graft material whether autograft, allograft, or synthetic is a pivotal decision in ACL reconstruction. Each option carries unique advantages and limitations, and the variability

in patient outcomes suggests that a one-size-fits-all approach may not be optimal. For instance, while autografts are associated with robust biological integration, they pose challenges such as donor-site morbidity. Conversely, allografts eliminate donor-site complications but may be associated with slower incorporation and higher failure rates in younger, more active patients. Furthermore, emerging evidence highlights the potential of synthetic grafts, yet their long-term durability and biocompatibility remain under scrutiny.

Equally important is the role of rehabilitation protocols in shaping surgical outcomes. Current practices often emphasize standardized timelines for weight-bearing and return-to-sport activities. Standardized postoperative rehabilitation timelines are commonly outlined in clinical guidelines, such as those from the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, which recommend initiating weight-bearing within the first postoperative week and returning to sport-specific activities around 6–9 months after surgery (3). However, individual variability in healing rates

and biomechanical demands necessitates a more personalized approach. Tailoring rehabilitation programs to account for factors such as age, activity level, and concomitant injuries could significantly enhance functional recovery and reduce the risk of re-injury. In light of these considerations, I propose that future research should focus on two key areas: the development of predictive models to guide graft selection based on patient-specific characteristics, and the refinement of rehabilitation protocols to align with the biological and mechanical properties of the chosen graft. Collaborative efforts between surgeons, physical therapists, and researchers are essential to bridge existing gaps and establish evidence-based guidelines that prioritize patient safety and satisfaction. An example of a personalized rehabilitation strategy could involve integrating biomarker assessments (e.g., serum inflammatory markers or collagen turnover indicators) or imaging modalities (e.g., MRI or ultrasound) to monitor graft integration and soft tissue healing before progressing through rehabilitation phases. For instance, patients receiving allografts, which have slower incorporation rates, might benefit from delayed return-to-sport timelines compared to autograft recipients. One approach used in clinical settings includes delaying high-impact activity until quadriceps strength symmetry index reaches >90% and patient-reported outcome measures meet established thresholds (4). In conclusion, minimizing complications in ACL reconstruction requires a multidisciplinary approach that integrates advances in graft technology, surgical techniques, and rehabilitation science. By addressing these challenges, we can pave the way for improved clinical outcomes and enhanced quality of life for patients undergoing this common yet complex procedure. I hope this letter stimulates further discussion and inspires innovative solutions to optimize ACL reconstruction practices.

Acknowledgment

None.

Ethical consideration

Ethical considerations in terms of honesty and integrity in texts and sources have been observed.

Authors' contributions

Authors' contributions: Conceptualization and study design: Ebrahim Piri; Writing original draft: Ebrahim Piri; Writing review & editing: Ebrahim Piri, Saeid Alihosseini and Yaghouh Panahhaffarkandi.

Conflict of interest

None

References

1. Sun J, Wei Xc, Li L, Cao Xm, Li K, Guo L, et al. Autografts vs synthetics for cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Orthopaedic surgery*. 2020;12(2):378-87.
2. Borchers JR, Pedroza A, Kaeding C. Activity level and graft type as risk factors for anterior cruciate ligament graft failure: a case-control study. *The American journal of sports medicine*. 2009;37(12):2362-7.
3. Brophy RH, Silverman RM, Lowry KJ. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Clinical Practice Guideline case study: management of anterior cruciate ligament injuries. *JAAOS-Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons*. 2023;31(11):538-48.
4. Knurr KA, Cobian DG, Kliethermes SA, Stiffler-Joachim MR, Heiderscheit BC. The influence of quadriceps strength and rate of torque development on the recovery of knee biomechanics during running after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *The American journal of sports medicine*. 2023;51(12):3171-8.