Effectiveness of Autologous Schwann Cell and Bone Marrow-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cell Transplantation for Individuals With Spinal Cord Injury in Promoting Sensory Recovery
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Abstract

Background: In recent decades, improvement in sensory and motor function after spinal cord injury (SCI) is a major treatment goal. Stem cell therapy has become a promising treatment strategy in the regeneration of central nervous system injuries.

Methods: We assessed the effectiveness of autologous Schwann cell (SC) and bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) for individuals with SCI in promoting sensory recovery. Five patients with a mean ± SD age of 38.80 ± 5.84 years were enrolled in the study. The follow-up course was 12 months.

Results: We found sensory changes in two patients assessed by the American Spinal Injury Association’s (ASIA) impairment scale. Systemic complications were not observed during the course of the study.

Conclusion: There were no adverse finding after cell transplantation. Also we observed improvement in sensory score in two patients. It seems that the use of this combination of cell therapy may be effective; but large group studies with control group are required to clarify the effect of either cells.
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Introduction

Spinal cord injury (SCI) is a highly damaging clinical condition with permanent and economic disabilities for patients and communities. Traumatic SCI is more prevalent in men aged 16–30 years. Therefore, improvement of patient’s function after SCI becomes a considerable issue in recent decades. Despite the numerous medical treatments such as methylprednisolone and thyrotropin-releasing hormone, the motor and sensory impairments persist and have shown limited effectiveness for spinal cord recovery.¹,²

A promising treatment strategy for injuries to the central nervous system is stem cell therapy. The Schwann cell (SC) is one of the important components of the peripheral nerve cell, that release brain-derived neurotrophic factor and provide an appropriate environment for axon renewal.³ Moreover, bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) secrete neuroprotective and immunomodulatory cytokines and could differentiate into a variety of cells.⁴ The combination of SCs and MSCs are essential to cover the mechanisms of spinal cord injuries. Many studies have proven the safety of MSC and SC transplantation in SCI. However, the results were widely limited.³,⁶

Few clinical studies have been done to show the possible outcomes of combination therapy for the treatment of patients with SCI.⁷,⁸ In present study, we aimed to investigate the effectiveness of co-transplantation of autologous bone marrow MSCs and SCs in promoting sensory recovery in patients with SCI.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

Five patients (three women and two men) with a mean ± SD age of 38.80±5.84 years, were enrolled in the study.
Among these patients, four patient had cervical and one of them had thoracic lesions due to road traffic accidents and fall from a height.

The eligibility criteria for the study was absence of brain disease or psychological disorders, no stenosis, tethering or compression in magnetic resonance images (MRIs) of the spinal cord taken at the beginning of the study. These patients were enrolled in our one-year follow-up study. All the patients received standard therapy for SCI injury such as required spinal surgery and regular rehabilitation programs.

During the follow-up course, we evaluated sensory changes using the International Standard of Neurological Classification for Spinal Cord Injury (ISNCSCI) scoring system (motor and sensory), developed by the American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA).

**Cell Isolation, Characterization, and Transplantation**

After the admission of the patients in the operation room under standard conditions, cell isolation and transplantation of autologous SCs and MSCs were done according to the protocol that we used in our previous study. In order to collect SCs, the sural nerve of the person was sliced into 2-mm pieces and incubation method was done with collagenase (1.4 U mL−1; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and Dispase (2.4 U mL−1; Sigma). After cleaning and washing the collagenase twice with DMEM/F12 and mesh filtering method, the cells were treated and processed with DMEM/F12, without fetal bovine serum (FBS) for five days (37°C, 5% CO2). Then, during one week the concentration and extraction of FBS (Gibco) was increased in the culture to up to 10%. S-100 and P-75 immunocytochemical staining was used to characterized the separated cells in our study.

For separating and isolating bone marrow stem cells (BMSCs), bone marrow blood (100e150 mL) was obtained from the iliac bone. Then, the bone marrow blood was centrifuged (400 g for 40 minutes) and the mononuclear cell layer was regained from the gradient under a compression split by Ficoll (1.077 g/L, Sigma) at a range of 1:3. The potency and power of the isolated cells were assessed by their capability to differentiate to adipogenic and osteogenic cells.

Cells isolated from the sural nerve were positive for S-100 and P-75 markers, which indicated that these cells had properties of SCs. Also, MSCs were able to differentiate to adipogenic and osteogenic cells and were positive for CD73, CD90, and CD105 and negative for CD45 in flow cytometry analysis.

**Results**

Cells isolated from the sural nerve were positive for S-100 and P-75 markers, which indicated that these cells had properties of SCs. Also, MSCs were able to differentiate to adipogenic and osteogenic cells and were positive for CD73, CD90, and CD105 and negative for CD45 in flow cytometry analysis.

Systemic complications such as fever, anaphylactic shock, hypersensitivities, rush or inflammation were not observed. Infectious complications such as meningitis and other complications associated with transplantation were absent. All five patients had their regular rehabilitation before and after transplantation and we evaluated their sensory and motor changes in 6, 12, 18 and 24 months after surgery with ASIA scoring system.

Patient number 1 had grade B ASIA Impairment Scale (AIS) in C5 and C6 vertebrae. Before injection, ASIA score for sensory system was 16. After transplantation we detected sensory change, and, according to our follow-up, the patient claimed to have improvement in the sense of pressure. The second patient had improvement at the sensory level after the injection. He had type A AIS before injection and his sensory score based on ASIA criteria was 29 for the right side and 29 for the left side (both sides up to T8). Four months later, he improved 11 points on each side and reached 40 (L1 sensory level). The third patient, who had a type A AIS in the 6th and 7th vertebrae of the cervix, had no change in his sensory level. In the remaining patients, no progress was found in sensory scores according to ISNCSCI criteria (Table 1). We also detected paresthesia and muscle spasm in some patient after cell injection (Table 2).

**Discussion**

We found that combination of autologous SC and bone marrow-derived MSC transplantation could promote sensory score in some patients with SCI and there were no

---

**Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Features of the Patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Interval Before Injection (month)</th>
<th>Cause of Injury</th>
<th>Injury Site</th>
<th>Sensory Status Before (Right-Left)</th>
<th>Sensory Status After (Right-Left)</th>
<th>ASIA Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1 (25 d)</td>
<td>Accident</td>
<td>C5, C6</td>
<td>16-16</td>
<td>56-56</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Accident</td>
<td>T7, T8</td>
<td>29-29</td>
<td>40-40</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Falling</td>
<td>C6, C7</td>
<td>34-34</td>
<td>34-34</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Accident</td>
<td>C8</td>
<td>36-36</td>
<td>36-36</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Falling</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>28-20</td>
<td>28-20</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
systemic complications after autologous transplantation. Stem cell therapy is an attractive and promising treatment strategy for spinal cord regeneration because numerous changes occurring in spinal cord tissue after injury, need a combination cell therapy to provide axonal regeneration promotion and rehabilitation. Many trials have been performed and applied SCs and MSCs alone for spinal cord regeneration. MSCs have an important role in cell treatment because of low immunogenicity and their ability to differentiate and secrete neuroprotective cytokines which can increase neural regeneration. SCs are able to guide neurite outgrowth, axonal surviving and remyelination.

As mentioned above, recently, combination therapies have attracted attention for spinal cord regeneration. Although the safety and feasibility of these combination has been studied, the results were widely limited. Kakabadze and colleagues found improvement of motor and sensory functions of various degrees in 50% cases after BMSC transplantation and they indicated that the transplantation of mononuclear-enriched autologous BMSCs is a feasible and safe technique. Others reported that thirty days after transplantation, 15 patients (75%) are able to guide neurite outgrowth, axonal surviving and remyelination.

Our results showed that cell transplantation improved sensory score in two patients as assessed by the ASIA score at least 2 years after autologous transplantation of SC and MSCs combination into the injured spinal cord.

Our study had several limitations. First was the small sample size, which might affect the observed correlations. Second, further large group studies with control group are required to clarify the effect of either cells.

Conclusion
There were no adverse finding after cell transplantation. Also we observed improvement in sensory score in two patients. It seems that the use of this combination of cell therapy may be effective; but large group studies with control group are required to clarify the effect of either cells.
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