Different Effects of Low-Level Laser Therapy on the Proliferation of HT29 Cells in Culture and Xenograft Models
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Abstract

Introduction: Different kinds of treatments have been developed to fight cancers. Low-level laser therapy (LLLT), also known as photobiomodulation therapy (PBMT), is a low-power monochromatic and coherent light that has been used successfully for healing injuries and combating malignancies. However, there are concerns about the application of LLLT to cancers due to the increased proliferation of some cancer cells after LLLT.

Methods: This study investigated the effects of 650 nm and 870 nm lasers on the proliferation of HT29 colorectal cancer cell lines in vitro and in vivo.

Results: The results showed that the laser with a wavelength of 870 nm did not meaningfully alter the proliferation of cultured cells. However, cell proliferation was promoted when the laser was applied within a wavelength of 650 nm. Treatment of HT29-derived tumors in nude mice with the 650 nm laser resulted in the decline of the tumor progression rate compared to controls. This result was inconsistent with the proliferative effects of the laser on the cultured cells.

Conclusion: Cell behavior in response to LLLT might be different between cell culture and xenograft models.
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Introduction

Different kinds of treatments have been developed to fight cancers. The types of treatments that patients receive will be dependent on the cancer type and how advanced it is. Some patients are treated in only one way, but most patients receive combination therapies, such as surgery followed by chemotherapy, immunotherapy, and/or radiation therapy.

A laser (mild amplification through stimulated emission of radiation) is a tool that generates a quite uniform wavelength, phase and polarization electromagnetic radiation. Different kinds of lasers have been developed with applications in industry and medicine. Low-level laser therapy (LLLT), also named photobiomodulation therapy (PBMT), is used to treat wounds, stimulate the immune system, reduce swelling, and relieve acute or chronic pain. It has also been applied to reduce acute and chronic symptoms caused by cancer or cancer treatment. A wide range of biomodulatory effects, from cell proliferation to programmed cell death, has been reported for LLLT. Each of these effects has the potential to be therapeutic. For example, cell proliferation can describe the application of lasers in wound healing, while programmed cell death can describe the application of lasers in cancer treatment. The biostimulatory effect is thought to be related to an increase in ATP production, while the bioinhibitory effect is thought to be related to oxidative stress caused by an excess load of reactive oxygen species (ROS).

The safety and efficacy of low-power laser irradiation in cancer remain unknown. Investigating the effects of lasers on cultured tumor cell lines has generated conflicting results, and a few of them are consistent with the behavior of tumor cells in vivo. Lasers can stimulate the immune system or generate excessive amounts of ROS to attack tumors under certain conditions. Ottaviani et al showed that laser radiation inhibits tumor progression, induces tumor angiogenesis, and stimulates the immune system to produce type I interferons. Their study proved the safety of laser-based therapies in cancers. Another study by Xia et
al revealed that the blue laser dose-dependently decreased the cell viability in bladder cancer. Moreover, reduced cell migration and invasion were observed possibly due to the suppression of the MAPK/MEK/ERK pathway. However, there are several reports on the promotion of cell proliferation after laser irradiation. For instance, in a study by Marchesini, the HT-29 cell proliferation was enhanced under laser radiation. Also, a study by Rhee et al showed increased cell proliferation and angiogenesis following PBM in the thyroid cell line. Therefore, it is of great importance to study the relationships between laser radiation at different wavelengths and cancer progression or regression.

Colorectal cancer is the third most common cancer type worldwide; in 2020, almost 2 million cases were diagnosed. Colorectal cancer is the second most common cause of cancer death, leading to almost 1 million deaths per year. In Iran, the number of prevalent cases is 319,740 among 80,000,000 people.

In this study, we investigated the effects of LLLT on the proliferation of colorectal cancer cells in culture and animal models. Human colorectal cancer HT-29 cells were treated with 650 nm (200 W) or 870 nm (5 MW) lasers in both culture and xenograft models, and the consensus on cell proliferation and tumor progression was evaluated. The result showed that the laser at the wavelength of 650 nm stimulated the proliferation of HT29 cells in culture but suppressed tumor growth in the mouse model.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell Line and Cell culture**

HT29 cells (human colorectal cancer cell line) were purchased from the National Cell Bank of Iran and cultured in the DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (GIBCO, Thermo Fisher Scientific, US), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin (Merck, US). The cells were incubated in a 37 °C humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

**MTT Assay**

HT29 cells were seeded at the density of 3 × 10⁴ cells per well in 96-well culture plates. The following day, the cells were divided into untreated (control) and treated groups, and they were exposed to the laser within the wavelengths of 650 nm for 40 seconds and 870 nm for 180 seconds. The cells were incubated for an additional 24 hours. The MTT reagent (Sigma Aldrich, US) was added to wells and incubated for 4 hours at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Dimethyl sulfoxide (Merck, US) was added to dissolve the formed formazan crystals. The plates were slowly rotated and the absorbance was measured at 570 and 630 nm (ELISA reader, labs system, Multi scan MS serial RS_232C).

**In Vivo Study**

For the *in vivo* study, ectopic colorectal tumors were induced to the dorsal hip of nude mice by subcutaneous injection of 4 × 10⁶ HT29 cells. The xenotransplant mice were divided into two groups, and the effects of the laser were tested with a 650 nm laser. Laser irradiation was applied to the back of the neck to reduce stress and also to the tumor, liver, spleen, and bone marrow three times (40 seconds per each time) at a one-day interval. The size of the tumors was measured to determine the effects of PBMT on tumor growth. The mice were dissected at the end of the experiments and the tumors were subjected to histology using Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining. Moreover, for immunohistochemistry (IHC), the biopsies were stained against the Ki67 protein as a cell proliferation marker.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data were reported as mean ± standard deviation (SD), and statistical analysis was performed by a two-sided student *t* test. The error bars in the results came from three experimental repetitions. Results with a *P* value equal to or less than 0.05 (*P* ≤ 0.05) were considered to be statistically significant. The curves were depicted using GraphPad prism version 5 software.

**Results**

**Laser Treatment Affected Cell Viability**

The MTT assay was accomplished to investigate the cytotoxic effects of the low-level laser on HT29 cells. The cells were exposed to 870 nm or 650 nm lasers for 180 seconds, and the MTT was performed after 24 hours. As shown in Figures 1A and 1B, treatment with the 870 nm laser had no significant effect on cell proliferation, while the laser at the 650 nm wavelength caused significantly increased viability of HT29 cells (*P* = 0.0002).

**Laser Treatment Increased In Vivo Progression Of Tumors**

Ectopic colorectal tumors were grown in nude mice by the injection of HT29 cells. Two weeks later, the mice were exposed to 650 nm laser irradiation three times every other day. The size of the tumors was measured 10 days after LLLT in both test and control groups. The data showed that the tumor progression in the laser-received group was significantly less than in the controls (Figures 2A and 2B) (*P* value = 0.0254).

**Histology and Immunostaining Confirmed the Visual Observations of Tumor Growth**

For histology, H&E staining revealed mitotic bodies. As shown in Figures 3A and 3B, the number of mitotic bodies was more in the control sample than in the treatment (laser) sample. The mitotic counts in the control group and in the laser group were 38% and 25% respectively. The proliferation activity of tumors in both laser and control groups was assayed by determining the
expression of the Ki67 marker (Figures 4A and 4B). The result showed that the Ki67 marker was expressed in 63% of tumor cells in the laser group, while this number was 90% in the control group ($P$ value = 0.028) (Figure 4C).

**Discussion**

The purpose of this research was to investigate the stimulatory or inhibitory effect of LLLT on the proliferation of human colorectal cancer HT29 cells. The effective wavelength spectrum used in previous studies is 600-800 nm. So far, no definitive treatment protocol has been provided. To reach a definitive protocol we use wavelengths in this range. The effect of the laser on cell proliferation was evaluated in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* models. First, the laser was applied at two different wavelengths, 650 and 870 nm, to cell culture. The laser at the 870 nm wavelength did not significantly change the growth of cultured HT29 cells, while the 650 nm laser promoted cell proliferation. Since the 870 nm laser was ineffective, the *in vivo* study was continued with the 650 nm laser. Interestingly, the growth of tumors after the treatment with the 650 nm laser showed a significant difference from the controls. Exposure of xenograft tumors with the 650 nm laser was associated with a decrease in tumor growth. This result was inconsistent with the *in vitro* findings. Evaluation of Ki67 expression in the tumor biopsies was concordant with the *in vivo* results, and immunostaining revealed less expression of
Ki67 in the laser group compared to the controls.

Studies report complex responses of cells to different wavelengths or energy densities of LLLT. For example, wound healing can be accelerated by LLLT likely due to the stimulation of cellular processes such as cell migration and cell differentiation.\textsuperscript{15-18} Moreover, LLLT stimulates the respiratory chain in mitochondria, which results in increasing ATP production and heightening DNA, RNA, and protein synthesis.\textsuperscript{19,20} LLLT is known to stimulate or activate many cytokines that contribute to cell proliferation, tumor angiogenesis, tumor migration, invasion and metastasis.\textsuperscript{21-23} This is consistent with our cell culture results, in which LLLT at the wavelength of 650 nm, but not at the wavelength of 870 nm, induced the proliferation of the HT29 cell. This showed that cells behave differently in response to different wavelengths of LLLT.

In contrast to \textit{in vitro} cell culture, our tumor xenograft models showed that laser irradiation reduced tumor progression. Exposure duration to the laser beam was different between the cell culture and the animal study. Cell cultures were exposed to laser irradiation one time, while the xenograft models were treated by the laser three times. Exposure duration is one of the important issues that determine the biological impact of lasers. A previous study conducted by Fernandes et al showed that both time and number of exposure to lasers can be effective in the laser effect. They reported choosing the best parameters for LLLT studies is a difficult task. Many different parameters (wavelength, fluence, power density, mode of delivery, time of application, pulse) can vary, resulting in a large number of possible combinations.\textsuperscript{24,25} We measured the Ki67 protein level in tumor biopsies as a biomarker of cell proliferation and tumor progression. Immunostaining of Ki67 indicated a direct proportion between the Ki67 level and tumor progression. Ki67 is a cell cycle-associated nuclear protein, synthesized by all proliferating cells and deficient in resting cells.\textsuperscript{26,27}

Validation of Ki67 expression in tissues through IHC is a rapid solution to distinguish dividing cells from non-dividing cells.\textsuperscript{28} According to a study made by Prabhu et al, photobiomodulation caused the upregulation of the cell proliferation marker, Ki67, during dermal wound healing.\textsuperscript{28}

**Conclusion**

These findings suggest that laser effects on \textit{in vivo} systems might be different from \textit{in vitro} systems. \textit{In vivo} models utilize a lot of determining elements that might influence laser effects, but only a part of these elements is present in \textit{in vitro} models.
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