

ISSN: 2676-7473

RBMS.2021;26(1):e11

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

- 1

Visual acuity impairment in patients with retinitis pigmentosa

Hamideh Sabbaghi¹, Narsis Daftarian², Maryam Fakhri³, Bahareh Kheiri³, Hamid Ahmadieh^{*3}

- 1. Ophthalmic Epidemiology Research Center, Research Institute for Ophthalmology and Vision Science, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 2. Ocular Tissue Engineering Research Center, Research Institute for Ophthalmology and Vision Science, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 3. Ophthalmic Research Center, Research Institute for Ophthalmology and Vision Science, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

*Corresponding Author:

Address: Ophthalmic Research Center, Research Institute for Ophthalmology and Vision Science, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, 23 Paidar Fard, Bostan 9, Pasdaran Ave., 16666 Tehran, Iran. Email: hahmadieh@hotmail.com ORCID: 0000-0002-8139-2661

Date Received: November, 2020 Date Accepted: December, 2020 Online Publication: April 15, 2021

Abstract

Purpose: To determine the visual acuity (VA) impairment in patients with retinitis pigmentosa (RP) in different age groups.

Materials and Methods: This descriptive study was extracted from the recorded data in the National Registry for Inherited Retinal Diseases (IRDs) in Iran (IRDReg®). The clinical records of 441 patients with a diagnosis of RP were investigated in the present study. The comprehensive visual and ocular examination with retinal imaging were conducted for all study subjects to ascertain the definite clinical diagnosis of RP. VA was classified based on the presenting VA (PGVA) of the better eye according to the International Classification of Diseases reported by the World Health Organization (WHO).

Results: In this study, a total of 441 RP patients with the mean age of 38.66 ± 14.24 years (range, 4 to 74) were included. Generally, the majority of RP patients in the age range of 20 to 40 years had visual impairment (80%; 95% CI, 74.3% to 84.9%). RP patients with younger ages had mild visual impairment (26.3%; 95% CI, 14.4% to 41.7%), while patients with no light perception was only found in older ages (3.4%; 95% CI, 1.4% to 6.8%), (P<0.001). The most frequency of RP patients suffering from visual impairment had disease onset at age ≤ 20 years (82.0%; 95% CI, 77% to 86.2%), and also severe visual impairment was observed in patients with earlier disease onset (2.6%; 95% CI, 1.2% to 5.1%), (P=0.001).

Conclusion: Based on our findings, more disease progression and subsequently visual acuity reduction was observed in RP patients who had older ages and disease onset in younger ages.

Keywords: Visual acuity, Visual impairment, Retinitis pigmento

Introduction

Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) is a type of inherited retinal dystrophy (IRD) resulted from the progressive degeneration of the photoreceptors and retinal pigment epithelial cells (RPE)¹. As reported, RP is the most prevalent type of IRDs with an estimation of about 1.5 million individuals worldwide². It is found that the mutations in more than 45 causative genes are responsible for presenting RP¹, which can be transmitted to the next generation by different Mendelian patterns of inheritance including autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive, or X- linked recessive ^{3, 4}. As a whole, it appears primarily by involvement of the outer retina and RPE cells resulted into death of these cells ^{5, 6}, and in the end stages of disease, choriocapillaris may also be involved which manifests as chorioretinal atrophy in fundus examination ^{6, 7}.

Patients with retinitis pigmentosa typically lose their night vision in adolescence, peripheral vision in young adulthood and central vision in old ages due to the natural progressive degeneration of the cone and rod photoreceptor cells ^{1, 8}. Additionally, these patients mostly complain of restricted field of vision named as tunnel vision, lack of fixation, and color vision deficiency 9. Other clinical manifestations including cataract, retinal pigmentary change, depigmentation of RPE, waxy pallor of the optic disc, photoreceptors loss and attenuated retinal vasculature are presented which usually are associated with abnormal response to the electrophysiologic and visual acuity tests ^{9, 10}. Although no definite treatment has been discovered for RP, the results of clinical trials show that the nutritional agents such as vitamin A palmitate and omega- 3- rich fish can be influential in slow progression of RP disease 1, 11, 12. The recent studies show that the new advancement has been occurred in the gene therapy of patients with RP, which is resulted from the study of the biochemical pathways, and development of animal models ^{1, 13}.

According to the literature, different grades of visual impairment was reported in RP patients by several studies ^{8, 14, 15}. While, different frequencies of the legal blindness was also observed in a range of 20% to 25% among RP patients ^{14, 15}. Additionally, no light perception (NLP) was found in 0.46% of RP cases with visual acuity worse than 20/200 ⁸.

Materials and Methods

This descriptive study was extracted from the recorded data in the National Registry for Inherited Retinal Diseases (IRDs) in Iran (IRDReg®) belong to RP patients referred to our clinic in the time period of February 2016 to September 2019. The clinical records of 441 patients with a diagnosis of RP were investigated in the present study.

The Ethics Committee of Ophthalmic Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran approved this study and all study procedures were adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. After explaining the purpose of the study, written informed consent was obtained from all subjects for diagnostic procedure and visual acuity (VA) testing.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

In The present study, cases with a definite clinical diagnosis of RP who were diagnosed based on the clinical examination and retinal imaging were included. Patients were excluded in cases with other ocular pathological conditions not directly related to RP, those with neurological problems and noncooperation to VA testing.

Visual and Ocular Examinations

The comprehensive clinical examinations and retinal imaging were conducted for all study subjects to identify the definite clinical diagnosis of RP. In this regards, firstly all subjects were interviewed by an optometrist to find the age of onset for disease manifestation and the common signs and symptoms including color vision deficiency, nyctalopia, restricted field of vision and previous general and ocular health status. Afterwards, the best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was tested using a Snellen E-chart at a distance of 3 meters after refractive error correction. When a patient was not able to see the 0.1 LogMAR line of vision chart, BCVA measurement was continued by asking patient to count the examiner's fingers at different distances. In patients with the extreme decreased vision, BCVA was recorded by detection of hand motion. The ability of light perception was

recorded in the last step and patients with no perception of illumination was finally identified as no light perception (NLP). VA testing was conducted by an expert optometrist (H.S) for all study subjects.

Additional ophthalmic examination was also conducted including slit-lamp biomicroscopy, intraocular pressure (IOP) measurement using the Goldmann applanation tonometer and dilated fundus examination using a +78D lens. In addition, visual field testing was performed using Humphrey visual field (HVF; Carl. Zeiss Meditec Inc., Dublin, CA) using 30-2 Swedish Interactive Threshold Algorithm (SITA) standard method. Spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT; SD-OCT-1000[™]; Topcon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) scanning was also performed. Fundus photograph was provided by a digital stereoscopic camera (Visucam Pro NM; Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Germany), as well. Infrared, fundus autofluorescence (FAF) and fluorescein angiography (FAG; Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Germany) were also addition. electrophysiological done. In examinations including electroretinography (ERG) and/or electro-oculography (EOG; RETIport 21 system; version 7/03, Roland Consult, Osaka, Japan) were conducted in order to find the definitive clinical diagnosis.

Visual Acuity Classification

VA was classified based on the presenting VA (PGVA) of the better eye according to the International Classification of Diseases reported by the World Health Organization (WHO) ¹⁶. Normal vision was defined in patients with PGVA better than 0.30 LogMAR. While low visual impairment was defined for patients with PGVA in the range of 0.30 to 0.48 LogMAR. Additionally, patients with PGVA worse than 0.48 LogMAR was considered as low vision which was classified in the two groups of 0.48 to 1.0 (G1) and 1.0 to 1.3 LogMAR (G2). Cases with PGVA worse than 1.30 LogMAR was considered as blind which was classified in the three severities of 1.30 to 1.78 (G3), 1.80 to 3.0 (G4) and 3.1 LogMAR (NLP, G5).

Statistical Analysis

To present data we used mean, standard deviation, median, range, frequency and

percentage. All statistical analysis performed by SPSS software (IBM Corp. Released 2014. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). All tests were two-sided and P-value less than 0.05 considered statistically significant.

Results

In this descriptive study, a total of 441 patients with a clinical diagnosis of RP were included. Fifty-six percent of patients were male and the mean age of participants was 38.66 ± 14.24 (ranges from 4 to 74) years old.

Table 1. Basic characteristics of the study patients with retinitis pigmentosa.

Parameters	Level	Number	Percent	
Gender	Female	196	44.4%	
	Male	245	55.6%	
Age (years)	1 - 20	40	9.1%	
	20 - 40	220	50.2%	
	40 - 60	147	33.6%	
	>60	31	7.1%	
Familial	No	150	35.4%	
	Yes	274	64.6%	
Ethnicity	Fars	191	50.5%	
	Turk	96	25.4%	
	Kurd	12	3.2%	
	Lor	22	5.8%	
	Gilak	8	2.1%	
	Mazani	7	1.9%	
	Baluch	33	8.7%	
	Tat	1	0.3%	
	Arab	7	1.9%	
	Foreign	1	0.3%	

Table 1 presents the basic characteristics of study participants. As shown the majority of our patients (n= 220, 50.2%) were in the age range of 20 to 40 years old and the less number of patients (n= 31, 7.1%) were in the oldest group (\geq 60 years old). A positive family history of RP was identified in 64.6% of patients, while 35.4% of cases were single affected.

Parameters	Level _	1 - 20		20 - 40		40 - 60		> 60	
		n (%)	95% CI	n (%)	95% CI	n (%)	95% CI	n (%)	95% CI
Normal		12 (31.6%)	18.6 to 47.3	44 (20.0%)	15.1 to 25.7	37 (25.2%)	18.7 to 32.6	7 (22.6%)	10.7 to 39.3
		26 (68.4%)	52.7 to 81.4	176 (80.0%)	74.3 to 84.9	110 (74.8%)	67.4 to 81.3	24 (77.4%)	60.7 to 89.3
	Mild VI	10 (26.3%)	14.4 to 41.7	27 (12.3%)	8.4 to 17.1	18 (12.2%)	7.7 to 18.3	0 (0.0%)	
	Low Vision (G1)	6 (15.8%)	6.9 to 29.7	60 (27.3%)	21.7 to 33.4	21 (14.3%)	9.3 to 20.6	5 (16.1%)	6.4 to 31.8
	Low Vision (G2)	3 (7.9%)	2.3 to 19.6	10 (4.5%)	2.4 to 7.9	3 (2.0%)	0.6 to 5.3	1 (3.2%)	0.4 to 14.1
	Blindness (G3)	6 (15.8%)	6.9 to 29.7	16 (7.3%)	4.4 to 11.3	2 (1.4%)	0.3 to 4.3	1 (3.2%)	0.4 to 14.1
	Blindness (G4)	1 (2.6%)	0.3 to 11.6	60 (27.3%)	21.7 to 33.4	61 (41.5%)	33.8 to 49.6	16 (51.6%)	34.5 to 68.4
	Blindness (G5)	0 (0.0%)		3 (1.4%)	0.4 to 3.6	5 (3,4%)	1.3 to 7.3	1 (3.256)	0.4 to 14.1

The frequency of visual impairment severity in regard to age group is summarized in Table 2. Generally, the majority of RP patients in the age range of 20 to 40 years had visual loss (80%; 95% CI, 74.3% to 84.9%) and less

frequency of visual impairment was observed in the youngest age group (68.4%; 95% CI, 52.7% to 81.4%), (P<0.001).

RP patients with younger ages had mild visual impairment (26.3%; 95% CI, 14.4% to 41.7%), while patients with no light perception was only found in older ages (3.4%; 95%CI, 1.4% to 6.8%), (P<0.001).

Parameters	Level	1 - 20		20 - 40		40 - 60	
		n (%)	95% CI	n (%)	95% CI	n (%)	95% CI
Normal		48 (18.0%)	13.8 to 23	31 (24.2%)	17.4 to 32.2	16 (53.3%)	35.9 to 70.2
Visual Impairment		218 (82.0%)	77 to 86.2	97 (75.8%)	67.8 to 82.6	14 (46.7%)	29.8 to 64.1
	Mild VI	33 (12.4%)	8.9 to 16.8	15 (11.7%)	7 to 18.1	6 (20.0%)	8.8 to 36.7
	Low Vision (G1)	58 (21.8%)	17.2 to 27.1	30 (23.4%)	16.7 to 31.3	2 (6.7%)	1.4 to 19.7
	Low Vision (G2)	8 (3.0%)	1.4 to 5.6	9 (7.0%)	3.5 to 12.4	0 (0.0%)	
	Blindness (G3)	20 (7.5%)	4.8 to 11.2	5 (3.9%)	1.5 to 8.3	0 (0.0%)	
	Blindness (G4)	92 (34.6%)	29.1 to 40.4	36 (28.1%)	20.9 to 36.3	6 (20.0%)	8.8 to 36.7
	Blindness (G5)	7 (2.6%)	1.2 to 5.1	2 (1.6%)	0.3 to 4.9	0 (0.0%)	

Different grades of visual impairment were also analyzed based on the onset age of disease as presented on Table 3. As shown, the most frequency of visual impairment is seen in RP patients with disease onset age of less than 20 years old (82.0%; 95% CI, 77% to 86.2%). Moreover, these patients show more severe visual impairment (2.6%; 95% CI, 1.2% to 5.1%), (P=0.001).

Discussion

Retinitis pigmentosa is the most common type of IRDs leading to untreatable visual impairment worldwide ¹⁷. This type of retinal dystrophy is defined by night blindness, restricted field of vision and severe visual impairment, which is mostly associated with difficulty in daily activities ^{18, 19}. Additionally, depressive symptoms ²⁰, lower quality of life ²¹ and poor mental health ²² have been reported as other subsequent complications of RP disease which is correlated with decreasing vision. Generally, we found that the most RP cases with visual impairment was in the age range of 20 to 40 years, and the least frequency of visual impairment was observed in the youngest ages (≤ 20 years old). Furthermore, more severe visual impairment with was observed in patients older administration age and those with younger age disease manifestation. Total visual of impairment was found in 76.1% of our study subjects, while it was reported to be 65.7% and 57.5% in the studies conducted by Onakpoya et al ²³ and Eballe et al ²⁴, respectively. The mean age of 38.66 years in the present study is in line with 36.7 years and 39 years reported by the two studies conducted in Nigeria^{23, 25}. and 35.1 years in Japanese patients with RP ²⁶. However, the mean age of RP patients was reported higher in some other studies as reported 43.3 years by Eballe et al ²⁴ and 41 years by Grover et al ¹⁵. These differences can be attributed to the delayed referral to the ophthalmic clinic. Patients with RP may postpone ophthalmologist visit in early stages and younger ages, hence most visits may perform in older ages, which leads to higher mean age of administration.

The majority of our patients with visual impairment was in the age of 20 to 40 years old which can be resulted from this fact that older patients usually do not intend to refer for ophthalmic examination due to the severe visual decreasing and inability to refer independently. Additionally, lack of disease symptom manifestation in early ages can be considered as the probable cause of less referral in patients with younger ages. visual Moreover, severe impairment categorized as different blindness subgroups was more frequent beyond age of 40 years. Similar findings were also presented by other studies reporting the significant increasing percentage of blindness associated with older age groups ^{23, 24}. Furthermore, we evaluated visual impairment severity based on different onset ages of disease manifestation. Disease age of onset has been mentioned as a prognostic factor for RP patients ²⁷. Based on our findings, more severity of visual impairment was observed among patients who experienced the initial visual symptoms at early ages.

The general incidence of blindness (G3, G4 and G5) was observed in 39% of our study patients, while it was 25% and 30% among RP patients in other studies ^{15, 24}. In addition, a retrospective cross sectional study conducted by Vezinaw et al 8 on a large cohort of RP patients shows that patients with visual acuities of hand motion, counting figure or light perception were observed in 6.8% of These severe levels of visual cases. impairment were classified in G4 and G5 with PGVA limitation of 1.8 to 3.1 LogMAR in the present study, which included 33.4% of our participants. The difference of results could be explained by different visual impairment definitions, considered by mentioned reports. One of the limitations of the present study is

that the disease onset age was identified based on patient's self-report. Therefore, crossvalidation of patients' response with clinical records was performed to increase the data validity. Additionally, lack of accessibility to the genetic analysis to evaluate the visual impairment based on different inheritance pattern can be considered as another limitation of the present study.

In conclusion, we found that more disease progression and subsequently visual acuity reduction was observed in RP patients who had older ages and disease onset in younger ages.

Conflict of interest

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment

The National Registry for Inherited Retinal Diseases (IRDs) in Iran (IRDReg®) is financially supported by Deputy of Research and Technology of the Iranian Ministry of Health and Medical Education, as well as Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

We would like to thank all participants who let us record their information. Also, special thanks to Zohreh Salimi, Fatemeh Karimi and Marjan Azadeh for their assistance in data collection and data entry in IRD registry application.

This open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 3.0 (CC BY-NC 3.0).

5

References:

- 1. Hartong DT, Berson EL, Dryja TP. Retinitis pigmentosa. Lancet. 2006;368(9549):1795-809.
- 2. Ayton LN, Guymer RH, Luu CD. Choroidal thickness profiles in retinitis pigmentosa. Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2013;41(4):396-403.
- Birtel J, Gliem M, Oishi A, Müller PL, Herrmann P, Holz FG, Mangold E, Knapp M, Bolz HJ, Charbel Issa P. Genetic testing in patients with retinitis pigmentosa: Features of unsolved cases. Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2019;47(6):779-786.
- 4. Grover S, Fishman GA, Alexander KR, Anderson RJ, Derlacki DJ. Visual acuity impairment in patients with retinitis pigmentosa. Ophthalmology. 1996;103(10):1593-600.
- Bertelsen M, Jensen H, Bregnhøj JF, Rosenberg T. Prevalence of generalized retinal dystrophy in Denmark. Ophthalmic Epidemiol. 2014;21(4):217-23.
- Oh KT, Weleber RG, Stone EM, Oh DM, Rosenow J, Billingslea AM. Electroretinographic findings in patients with Stargardt disease and fundus flavimaculatus. Retina. 2004;24(6):920-8.
- 7. Yeoh J, Rahman W, Chen F, Hooper C. Patel P. Tufail A. Webster AR, Moore AT, Dacruz L. Choroidal imaging in inherited retinal disease using the technique of enhanced depth imagi ngoptical coherence tomography. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2010;248(12):1719-28.
- 8. Vezinaw CM, Fishman GA, McAnany JJ. Visual impairment in retinitis pigmentosa. Retina. 2019. [Epub ahead of print]
- 9. Dhoot DS, Huo S, Yuan A, Xu D, Srivistava S, Ehlers JP, Traboulsi E. Kaiser PK. Evaluation of choroidal thickness in re tinitis pigmentosa using enhanced depth imaging optical coherence tomography. Br J Ophthalmol. 2013;97(1):66-9.

- 10. Heckenlively JR, Yoser SL, Friedman LH, Oversier JJ. Clinical findings and common symptoms in ret initis pigmentosa. Am J Ophthalmol. 1988;105(5):504-11.
- Berson EL, Weigel-DiFranco C, Rosner B, Gaudio AR, Sandberg MA. Association of Vitamin A Supplementation With Disease Course in Children With Retinitis Pigmentosa. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2018;136(5):490-495.
- 12. Rayapudi S, Schwartz SG, Wang X, Chavis P. Vitamin A and fish oils for retinitis pigmentosa. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;(12):CD008428.
- Beltran WA, Cideciyan AV, Boye SE, Ye GJ, Iwabe S, Dufour VL, Marinho LF, Swider M, Kosyk MS, Sha J, Boye SL, Peterson JJ, Witherspoon CD, Alexander JJ, Ying GS, Shearman MS, Chulay JD, Hauswirth WW, Gamlin PD, Jacobson SG, Aguirre GD. Optimization of Retinal Gene Therapy for X-Linked Retinitis Pigmentosa Due to RPGR Mutations. Mol Ther. 2017;25(8):1866-1880.
- 14. Grover S, Fishman GA, Anderson RJ, Tozatti MS, Heckenlively JR, Weleber RG, Edwards AO, Brown J Jr. Visual acuity impairment in patients with retinitis pigmentosa at age 45 years or older. Ophthalmology. 1999;106(9):1780-5.
- 15. Grover S, Fishman GA, Alexander KR, Anderson RJ, Derlacki DJ. Visual acuity impairment in patients with retinitis pigmentosa. Ophthalmology. 1996;103(10):1593-600.
- Dandona L, Dandona R. Revision of visual impairment definitions in the International Statistical Classification of Diseases. BMC Med. 2006;4:7.
- 17. Zhang Q. Retinitis Pigmentosa: Progress and Perspective. Asia Pac J Ophthalmol (Phila). 2016;5(4):265-71.
- 18. Latham K, Baranian M, Timmis MA, Fisher A, Pardhan S. Relative Difficulties of Daily Living Tasks with Retinitis Pigmentosa. Optom Vis Sci. 2017;94(3):317-328.

This open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 3.0 (CC BY-NC 3.0).

6

19. Herse P. Retinitis pigmentosa: visual function and multidisciplinary management. Clin Exp Optom. 2005;88(5):335-50.

7

- Moschos M, Chatzirallis A, Chatziralli I. Psychological aspects and depression in patients with retinitis pigmentosa. Eur J Ophthalmol. 2015;25(5):459-62.
- 21. Na KH, Kim HJ, Kim KH, Han S, Kim P, Hann HJ, Ahn HS. Prevalence, Age at Diagnosis, Mortality, and Cause of Death in Retinitis Pigmentosa in Korea-A Nationwide Population-based Study. Am J Ophthalmol. 2017;176:157-165.
- 22. Kim S, Shin DW, An AR, Lee CH, Park JH, Park JH, Oh MK, Hwang SH, Kim Y, Cho B, Lee HK. Mental health of people with retinitis pigmentosa. Optom Vis Sci. 2013;90(5):488-93.
- Onakpoya OH, Adeoti CO, Oluleye TS, Ajayi IA, Majengbasan T, Olorundare OK. Clinical presentation and visual status of retinitis pigmentosa patients: a multicenter study in southwestern Nigeria. Clin Ophthalmol. 2016; 10: 1579–1583.
- Eballe AO, Koki G, Emche CB, Bella LA, Kouam JM, Melong J. Blindness and visual impairment in retinitis pigmentosa: a Cameroonian hospitalbased study. Clin Ophthalmol. 2010; 4: 661–665.
- 25. Ukponmwan CU, Atamah A. Retinitis pigmentosa in Benin, Nigeria. East Afr Med J. 2004;81:254–257.
- 26. Tsujikawa M, Wada Y, Sukegawa M, Sawa M, Gomi F, Nishida K, Tano Y. Age at onset curves of retinitis pigmentosa. Arch Ophthalmol. 2008;126:337–340.
- 27. Teri B. O'Neal; Euil E. Luther. Retinitis Pigmentosa. 2019.