ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Clinical and neurophysiological risk factors of learning disabilities in different types of idiopathic focal epilepsy

How to Cite This Article: Bassiouny Mohamed AA^(D), Fawi GH, Wassel Y, Abdelhameed S, Mousa A, Ghada Hussein GH, Ahmed Borai. Clinical and neurophysiological risk factors of learning disabilities in different types of idiopathic focal epilepsy.Iran J Child Neurol. Spring 2022; 16(2): 27-38

Al Amir BASSIOUNY MOHAMED MD¹, Gharib FAWI MD² Yasser WASSEL MD³ Sania ABDELHAMEED MD3, Ahmed MOUSA MD⁴, Ghada HUSSEINMD⁴, Ahmed BORAI MD ⁵

1.Department of Neurology and Psychological Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Sohag University Hospital, Sohag, Egypt

2. Neurology Department, Sohag Faculty of Medicine, Sohag University, Sohag, Egyp 3.Department of Surgery, College of Medicine, King Khalid University, Abha, and from the Neurology Unit (Alhazzani), Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, King Saud university, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia 4. Department of Ophthalmology, College of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia 5. Faculty of Science, Suez University, Suez, Egypt

Abstract

Objectives

Academic difficulties are common in epileptic children. A learning disability (LD) is a reduction in the learning capacity of children or the intellectual ability of adults, which is different from mental retardation or dementia.

Materials & Methods

The participants were 56 patients, of whom 35 were males (62.5%), and 21 were females (37.5%). The participants attended the Neurology Outpatient Clinic, the Sohag University Hospital, between December 2016 and May 2017. Children with chronological age between 7 and 16 years with idiopathic focal and normal mental and motor developmental history were enrolled in this cross-sectional study. The Revised Quick Neurological Screening Test was used to assess different types of LD.

Results

LDs were present in 67.9% of our participants with a statistically significant association between LDs in one arm and younger age, earlier age of onset of epilepsy, frequent seizures, and seizure semiology, particularly of temporal lobe origin, in the other arm. In addition, left epileptic focus on EEG, prolonged treatment duration with antiepileptic drugs (AED), and polytherapy were significantly correlated with LDs.

Conclusion

Many factors are significantly correlated with LDs in children with idiopathic focal epilepsy, like age of the patient, age of epilepsy

Corresponding Author

Bassiouny Mohamed AA. MD 1.Department of Neurology and Psychological Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Sohag University Hospital, Sohag, Egypt Email: amirmohamed3636@gmail.com

Received: 31-Aug-2020 Accepted: 18-Oct-2020 Published: 14-Mar-2022

Introduction

As a common neurological condition, epilepsy can occur at any age, with a point prevalence of active epilepsy being 6.38 per 1,000 persons (1). For the diagnosis of epilepsy, at least two unprovoked seizures are required 24 hours apart, or one unprovoked with a high risk of recurrence (2, 3). Focal epilepsy is classified according to the presence or absence of awareness, associated motor behavior (motor and non-motor), and focal to bilateral tonic-clonic seizures (4, 5). A learning disability is a type of neurodevelopmental disorder that impedes the capacity to learn or use specific academic skills (e.g., reading, writing, or arithmetic) during the early years of education (6). Academic difficulties, particularly in arithmetic, spelling, and reading, are common in epileptic children (7, 8).

It was also documented that learning problems were more prevalent in children with focal epilepsy than in those with generalized epilepsy and in symptomatic syndromes compared with idiopathic or cryptogenic ones (9-12).

The Quick Neurological Screening Test (QNST)

onset, seizure semiology, prolonged AED treatment, and polytherapy. **Keywords:** Stroke; learning disabilities; academic underachievement; epileptic focus **DOI:** 10.22037/ijcn.v15i4.32071

> is a neuropsychological test for screening learning disorders (13). The majority of the previous studies use IQ as an indicator of learning disabilities, and most of them enroll patients with both focal epilepsies (including idiopathic and symptomatic) and generalized epilepsies. This cross-sectional study aimed to investigate the effect of clinical and neurophysiological risk factors of LDs on idiopathic focal epilepsy.

Materials & Methods

Participants were recruited from the Neurology Outpatient Clinic, the Sohag University Hospital, Egypt, between December 2016 and May 2017. The sample (n=56, 35 males (62.5%) and 21 females (37.5%)) consisted of children with a diagnosis of idiopathic focal epilepsy with a chronological age between 7 and 16 years and an intelligence quotient (IQ) above 85 (normal mentality) (11).

Children with mental and motor neurological disorders were excluded from the study, and those on phenytoin or topiramate were also ruled out because of possible cognitive side effects. Each patient was subjected to full medical and neurological evaluation. The educational stages were classified according to the International Standard Classification of Education to primary and secondary education (Table 1).

Focal motor epilepsy has been classified as focal awareness, focal with impaired awareness, and

focal to bilateral tonic-clonic epilepsies (14).

Seizure frequency has been classified according to the number of seizures per year to 0-1, 2-9, and $\geq 10/\text{year}$ (15).

Uncontrolled seizures are defined as more than two changes in AED therapy and then ≥ 1 epilepsy-related emergency department (ED) visit/ hospitalization within one year, while controlled seizures are defined as no AED change and no epilepsy-related ED visit/hospitalization (15, 16).

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee at the University of Sohag in March 2015, and informed written consent was taken from each patient or one of their relatives.

We followed the methods of Gharib Fawi et al. (2019) (17), who used the Revised Quick Neurological Screening Test (QNST-R) as a screening tool for LDs. QNST-R consists of 15 subtests ranging from 0 to 149 (Table 2). A total score of 25 or less is considered normal, but the score exceeding 50 is considered high) (13). Mental retardation was excluded in the participant children using the validated Arabic version of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children fourth edition (WISC-IV) (17). Electroencephalography (EEG) was obtained by using a 10~20 system with a minimum duration of 20-30 minutes and looking for background activity and site of epileptic focus (18)

Statistical analysis

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences for Windows (SPSS 20.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used. Descriptive statistics were used to investigate the general characteristics of the epileptic patients. Continuous data were expressed as mean \pm SD, and categorical data were expressed as numbers and percentages. Student's t-test was used for the continuous data, and Chi-square test for categorical data was used to detect different clinical and neurophysiological risk factors for LDs. The relationship between LDs and different patient variables was investigated using Spearman's correlation coefficient, and preliminary analyses were performed to ensure no violation of normality, linearity, and homoscedasticity assumptions. P values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

The study included 56 patients (35 males (62.5%) and 21 females (37.5%)) with idiopathic focal epilepsy. LDs were present in 67.9% of our participants, and different characteristics of patients and controls are presented in Table (3). The mean age of epileptic patients with and without LD was 11.32 ± 2.7 versus 13 ± 2.8 , respectively (P-value = 0.033). LDs were found in 63.2% of the males and 36.8% of the females and predominantly in 4-6 academic years, as shown in Table (4).

LDs were significantly related to younger age, earlier age of epilepsy onset, more frequent seizures, focal epilepsy, left epileptic focus on EEG, longer treatment duration, and polytherapy (Table 4,5).

Results

The study included 56 patients (35 males (62.5%) and 21 females (37.5%)) with idiopathic focal epilepsy. LDs were present in 67.9% of our participants, and different characteristics of patients

and controls are presented in Table (3). The mean age of epileptic patients with and without LD was 11.32 ± 2.7 versus 13 ± 2.8 , respectively (P-value = 0.033). LDs were found in 63.2% of the males and 36.8% of the females and predominantly in 4-6 academic years, as shown in Table (4).

LDs were significantly related to younger age, earlier age of epilepsy onset, more frequent seizures, focal epilepsy, left epileptic focus on EEG, longer treatment duration, and polytherapy (Table 4,5).

Year	Age	School	
Kindergarten	4-5	Preschool	
Prep	5-6		
Grade/Year 1	6–7	Lower Primary	
Grade/Year 2	7-8		
Grade/Year 3	8–9		Primary
Grade/Year 4	9–10	Upper Primary	
Grade/Year 5	10–11		
Grade/Year 6	11–12		
Grade/Year 7	12–13	Junior Secondary	
Grade/Year 8	13–14		
Grade/Year 9	14–15		<u>C</u>
Grade/Year 10	15–16	Senior Secondary	Secondary
Grade/Year 11	16–17		
Grade/Year 12	17–18		

Table 1. The International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED) ISCED

Table 2. Subtests of the Revised Quick Screening Test (QNST-R)

Subtest	Description
l. Hand skill	The subject is instructed to write his or her name and an age-appropriate six- to eight-
	word simple sentence.
2. Figure recognition	Present page containing a series of five geometric figures on the
and production	recording form. The subject is instructed to name each one and then draw them.
3. Palm form recognition	Subject is instructed to identify, solely by touch, numerals drawn on the palm of his
	or her hands.
4. Eye tracking	Present a pencil or other appropriate object at Subject 's eye level. The subject is
	instructed to follow it back and forth.
5. Sound patterns	The subject is instructed to reproduce sound patterns with the eyes closed manually
	and orally after the patterns are demonstrated by the examiner.

Clinical and neurophysiological risk factors of learning disabilities in different types of idiopathic focal epilepsy

Subtest	Description	
6. Finger to nose	Subject is instructed to close both eyes and reach back and forth between examiner's	
	hand and the tip of his or her own nose.	
7. Thumb and finger circle	The subject is instructed to form successive circles by touching the thumb to each of	
	the fingers.	
8. Double simultaneous	Examiner observes whether subject is able to feel the gentle simultaneous touch on	
stimulation of hand and	both hands, bilateral cheeks, and one hand and the contralateral cheek.	
cheek		
9. Rapidly reversing	The subject is instructed to turn his or her hands over rapidly and repetitively after	
repetitive hand movements	these movements are demonstrated by the examiner.	
10. Arm and leg extension	The subject is instructed to extend his or her extremities in front of him or her as	
	straight as possible in sitting position.	
I l. Tandem walk	The subject is instructed to walk a straight line for at least 10 feet, placing the heel of	
	each shoe directly against the toe of the opposite foot. Subject then walk backward on	
	the "line", heel-to-toe, and then repeats the tandem walk forward with his or her eyes	
	closed.	
12. Stand on one leg	The subject is instructed to balance himself or herself with the eyes open and closed	
	on each foot for a count of 10 seconds.	
13. Skip	Subject is instructed to skip across the room.	
14. Left-right	This section is scored from parts of three other subtests $(6, 7, 12)$.	
discrimination	The left-right discrimination is determined by observing whether subjects hold up the	
	right hand (leg) when E uses the right hand (leg) to demonstrate.	
15. Behavioral	The final item requires general observation of subject's behavior	
irregularities	(E.g., excessive talking, fidgeting, distractibility, defensiveness,	
	anxiety, etc.) during the entire test.	

Table 3. General characteristics of participants

	Mean	Number and percentage of patients
Age (year)	11.8 ± 2.8	-
Sex		
Male	-	35 (62.5%)
Female	-	21(37.5%)
Seizure frequency		
0-1 seizure/year	-	24 (42.9)
2-9/year	-	8 (14.3)
>=10/year	-	24 (42.9)
Educational Years	-	

Clinical and neurophysiological risk factors of learning disabilities in different types of idiopathic focal epilepsy

	Mean	Number and percentage of patients
1-3 years	-	13(23.2%)
4-6 years	-	19(33.9%)
7-9 years	-	9(16.1%)
10-12 years	-	15(26.8%)
Seizure duration	-	0
1-5 Years	-	34 (60.7)
6-10 years	-	12 (21.4)
>10 years	-	10 (17.9)
Focal epilepsy subtypes	-	0
Focal aware	-	29 (51.8)
Focal with impaired awareness	-	27 (48.2)
EEG focus		
Left Focus	-	31 (55.4)
Right Focus	-	25 (44.6)
Antiepileptic drugs		
Levitracetam	-	16 (28.6)
Carbamazepine	-	22 (39.3)
Valproate	-	18 (32.1)
Treatment Duration		
1-5 Years	-	21 (37.5)
6-10 years	-	20 (35.7)
>10 years	-	15 (26.8)
Number of antiepileptic drugs		0
Monotherapy	-	40 (71.4)
Polytherapy	-	16 (28.6)
QNST-R		
Mean ± SD	58.9±22.2	
Normal	-	18(32.1%)
Abnormal (Learning Difficulty)	-	38(67.9%)

	Normal QNST-R (N=18)	Abnormal QNST-R (N=38)	P-value
Age (year)	13 ±2.8	11.32±2.7	0.033
Sex			0.88
Male	11 (61.1%)	24 (63.2%)	
Female	7 (38.9%)	14 (36.8%)	
Educational Years			0.088
1-3 years	3 (16.7%)	10 (26.3%)	
4-6 years	3 (16.7%)	16 (42.1%)	
7-9 years	4 (22.2%)	5 (13.2%)	
10-12 years	8 (44.4%)	7 (18.4%)	
Age of Onset			0.002
<2 years	1 (5.6%)	11 (28.9%)	
2-10 years	7 (38.9%)	22 (57.9%)	
10-16 years	10 (55.6%)	5 (13.2%)	
Seizure duration			0.985
1-5 (Years)	11 (61.1%)	23 (60.5%)	
6-10 (Years)	4 (22.2%)	8 (21.1%)	
>10 (Years)	3 (16.7%)	7 (18.4%)	
Seizure Frequency			
0-1/year	12 (66.7%)	12 (31.6%)	
2-9/year	3 (16.7%)	5 (13.2%)	
≥10/year	3 (16.7%)	21 (55.3%)	0.020
Focal epilepsy subtypes			0.035
Focal aware	13 (72.2%)	16 (42.1%)	
Focal with impaired awareness	5 (27.8%)	22 (57.9%)	
EEG focus			0.03
Left Focus	5 (27.7%)	26 (68.4%)	
Right Focus	12 (72.3%)	13 (31.6%)	
Antiepileptic drugs			0.041
Levitracetam	9 (50.0%)	7 (18.4%)	
Carbamazepine	4 (22.2%)	18 (47.4%)	
Valproate	5 (27.8%)	13 (34.2%)	
Treatment Duration			0.015

Table 4. different patient characteristics in epileptic patients and learning disabilities

Clinical and neurophysiological risk factors of learning disabilities in different types of idiopathic focal epilepsy

	Normal QNST-R (N=18)	Abnormal QNST-R (N=38)	P-value
1-5 Years	11 (61.1%)	10 (26.3%)	
6-10 years	6 (33.3%)	14 (36.8%)	
>10 years	1 (5.6%)	14 (36.8%)	
Number of AED			0.047
Monotherapy	16 (88.9%)	24 (63.2%)	
Polytherapy	2 (11.1%)	14 (36.8%)	
AED= Antiepileptic drugs; EEG electroencephalogram; FL=Frontal Lobe;QNST-R =Revised Quick neurological screening test;TL = temporal lobe			

Table 5. Spearman's correlation between LDs and patient's variables

	Correlation Coefficient	P value
age	-0.294	0.028
Educational years	-0.288	0.032
Age of onset of epilepsy	-0.447	0.001
Seizure duration	-0.030	0.827
Seizure frequency	0.372	0.005
Seizure Semiology	0.281	0.036
Origin of epileptic focus	0.229	0.090
Epileptic focus on EEG	-0.308	0.021
AED duration	0.388	0.003
Number of AED	0.266	0.048
AED= Antiepileptic drugs EEG= Electroencephalogram LD = Learning disabilities		

Discussion

Epilepsy is an important health problem affecting more than 50 million people worldwide (22, 23). School underachievement is more common in children with epilepsy (19), and more than 60% of patients suffer from school-related difficulties (20). To the best of our knowledge, the previous studies that are directed to elucidate the risk factors of LDs in idiopathic focal epileptic patients without mental retardation are lacking. In this work, we found that about sixty-seven percent of our patients had LDs (as measured with QNST-R), which is confirmed by Colin Reilly et al. (7), who documented that seventy-two percent of children with epilepsy displayed low academic achievement. In addition, Sillanpää et al. reported LDs in 57% of patients with an IQ greater than 85 (11). Finally, Fastenau et al. (21) observed that 48.2% of children with epilepsy met the psychometric criteria for LDs. In contrast, Deonna et al. (22) reported that only 40.9% of epileptic children had school difficulties after controlling the effect of antiepileptic drugs, and this difference may be attributed to the use of different methods to assess LDs.

This study demonstrated that the younger age of children was significantly associated with LDs, and this result is similar to that obtained from several studies (12, 23, 24). However, this finding is not similar to that obtained in other studies (19, 20) in which children with generalized and focal epilepsies were enrolled and different tests, namely the Bender test and the teacher report, were used to assess school achievements.

The current study demonstrated that earlier age of epilepsy onset was significantly associated with LDs, which is in accordance with the results obtained by Schoenfeld et al. (25), who affirmed that a patient's age was strongly correlated with the academic performance in children with epilepsy. In addition, Zelnik et al. (9) documented that young age at onset was among the predictors for special education for epileptic kids. Also, Huttenlocher et al. (26) revealed that cognitive impairment was worse in epilepsy during early development than in the mature brain. This finding is clarified in some animal studies reporting that early seizures may profoundly impact the development of the maturing brain (27-29). Furthermore, the immature brain is more inclined to seizures than is the mature brain because of an imbalance between excitation and inhibition mechanisms and various physiologic and structural features (30, 31). Other studies failed to find a significant relationship between LDs and young age at the onset because of different sample sizes and the enrollment of all children with epilepsy, and not an idiopathic focal one, as we recruited (19, 20, 32-34).

We found that LDs were significantly correlated with frequent seizures, and this finding is confirmed by previous studies reporting that poor seizure control appears to be associated with decreased academic performance, particularly reading achievement and attention problems (9, 12, 34). Other studies have failed to show strong relationships between seizure frequency and academic achievement (20, 25, 32, 33, 35), and this is because they used different psychological methods, namely word span, for learning evaluation. We found that left epileptic focus was significantly associated with LDs, which is similar to the results obtained in previous studies (10, 36, 37). Similarly, another study reported that the seizure onset in the language-dominant hemisphere, as compared with the nondominant hemisphere, was associated with higher rates of specific learning disabilities (38). In contrast to other studies (25, 39 that failed to find a significant association between LDs and hemisphere of seizure focus (25, 39), these studies' participants were confined to those with temporal lobe epilepsy only.

This work confirmed that a longer duration of epilepsy is significantly associated with LDs, which agrees with previous studies (12, 24). Also, we demonstrated that polytherapy was significantly correlated with LDs, which is similar to Cornaggia and Gobbi, who reported that antiepileptic drugs might cause state-dependent (potentially treatable and reversible) learning disorders (40) and Al-Twajri et al. (41), who observed higher rates of LDs in epileptic children on polytherapy compared to monotherapy. The mechanisms by which epilepsy leads to LDs are probably the direct effects of seizures on the maturing brain of children, the effects of concomitant neuropsychological deficits, and finally, the adverse effects of drug therapy (11). This study has some restrictions that should be taken into account in evaluating LDs in epileptic children. For instance, we did not follow up patients to clarify the role of antiepileptic drugs in controlling seizure activity or education programs for LDs. Also, the specifications of LDs were unknown. Despite these limitations, the present study highlighted the importance of LDs in a particular sector of epilepsy, which should be considered when dealing with children with epilepsy to minimize academic underachievement. Still, these results need to be confirmed in a more extensive and detailed study.

Acknowledgement

The study was approved by the local Ethical Committee of Sohag Faculty of Medicine, Sohag University 3/ 2015. Consent to participate: Informed written (when available) consent was obtained from all patients (or their relatives) for participation and publication of this study.

Authors' contribution

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest

There were no financial or non-financial conflict of interest.

References

- Fiest KM, Sauro KM, Wiebe S, Patten SB, Kwon C-S, Dykeman J, et al. Prevalence and incidence of epilepsy: A systematic review and meta-analysis of international studies. Neurology. 2017;88(3):296-303.
- 2. Fisher RS, Boas WVE, Blume W, Elger C, Genton P, Lee P, et al. Epileptic seizures and epilepsy: definitions proposed by the

International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) and the International Bureau for Epilepsy (IBE). Epilepsia. 2005;46(4):470-2.

- Fisher RS, Acevedo C, Arzimanoglou A, Bogacz A, Cross JH, Elger CE, et al. ILAE official report: a practical clinical definition of epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2014;55(4):475-82.
- Pati S, Alexopoulos AV. Pharmacoresistant epilepsy: from pathogenesis to current and emerging therapies. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010;77(7):457-567.
- 5. Scheffer IE, Berkovic S, Capovilla G, Connolly MB, French J, Guilhoto L, et al. ILAE classification of the epilepsies: position paper of the ILAE Commission for Classification and Terminology. Epilepsia. 2017;58(4):512-21.
- 6. Association AP. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5®): American Psychiatric Pub; 2013 ;55(3):220–223.
- 7. Reilly C, Atkinson P, Das KB, Chin RF, Aylett SE, Burch V, et al. Academic achievement in school-aged children with active epilepsy: A population-based study. Epilepsia. 2014;55(12):1910-7.
- Vanasse C, Beland R, Carmant L, Lassonde M. Impact of childhood epilepsy on reading and phonological processing abilities. Epilepsy & Behavior. 2005;7(2):288-96.
- Zelnik N, Sa'adi L, Silman-Stolar Z, Goikhman I. Seizure control and educational outcome in childhood-onset epilepsy. Journal of child neurology. 2001.
- Stores G, Hart J. Reading skills of children with generalised or focal epilepsy attending ordinary school. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology. 1976;18(6):705-16.
- 11. Sillanpää M. Learning disability: occurrence and long-term consequences in childhood-onset

epilepsy. Epilepsy & Behavior. 2004;5(6):937-44.

- Seidenberg M, Beck N, Geisser M, Giordani B, Sackellares JC, Berent S, et al. Academic achievement of children with epilepsy. Epilepsia. 1986;27(6):753-9.
- Chou Y-H, Lin K-C. The Quick Neurological Screening Test: Psychometric Considerations. Journal of the Occupational Therapy Association of the Republic of China. 1998;16:37-51.
- 14. Fisher RS, Cross JH, French JA, Higurashi N, Hirsch E, Jansen FE, et al. Operational classification of seizure types by the International League Against Epilepsy: Position Paper of the ILAE Commission for Classification and Terminology. Epilepsia. 2017;58(4):522-30.
- 15. Moran N, Poole K, Bell G, Solomon J, Kendall S, McCarthy M, et al. Epilepsy in the United Kingdom: seizure frequency and severity, anti-epileptic drug utilization and impact on life in 1652 people with epilepsy. Seizure. 2004;13(6):425-33.
- 16. Manjunath R, Paradis PE, Parisé H, Lafeuille M-H, Bowers B, Duh MS, et al. Burden of uncontrolled epilepsy in patients requiring an emergency room visit or hospitalization. Neurology. 2012;79(18):1908-16.
- Bernstien, Ibrahim, Yeshel, Rotsheld, Hakeam S. Arabic Version of Wechsler Intelligence Tests for Children (WISC-IVARB). PsychTech Ltd. Jerusalem2012.
- Chowdhury RN, Hasan AH, Rahman KM, Mondol BA, Deb SR, Mohammad QD. Interictal EEG changes in patients with seizure disorder: experience in Bangladesh. Springerplus. 2013;2(1):27.
- 19. Mitchell WG, Chavez JM, Lee H, Guzman BL. Academic Underachievement in Children

with Epilepsy. Journal of Child Neurology. 1991;6(1):65-72.

- 20. Sturniolo M, Galletti F. Idiopathic epilepsy and school achievement. Archives of disease in childhood. 1994;70(5):424-8.
- 21. Fastenau PS, Shen J, Dunn DW, Austin JK. Academic underachievement among children with epilepsy: proportion exceeding psychometric criteria for learning disability and associated risk factors. Journal of learning disabilities. 2008;41(3):195-207.
- 22. Deonna T, Zesiger P, Davidoff V, Maeder M, Mayor C, Roulet E. Benign partial epilepsy of childhood: a longitudinal neuropsychological and EEG study of cognitive function. Developmental medicine and child neurology. 2000;42(9):595-603.
- 23. Farwell JR, Dodrill CB, Batzel LW. Neuropsychological abilities of children with epilepsy. Epilepsia. 1985;26(5):395-400.
- 24. Seidenberg M, Beck N, Geisser M, O'Leary DS, Giordani B, Berent S, et al. Neuropsychological correlates of academic achievement of children with epilepsy. Journal of Epilepsy. 1988;1(1):23-9.
- 25. Schoenfeld J, Seidenberg M, Woodard A, Hecox K, Inglese C, Mack K, et al. Neuropsychological and behavioral status of children with complex partial seizures. Developmental medicine and child neurology. 1999;41(11):724-31.
- 26. Huttenlocher PR, Hapke RJ. A follow-up study of intractable seizures in childhood. Annals of Neurology: Official Journal of the American Neurological Association and the Child Neurology Society. 1990;28(5):699-705.
- 27. Holmes GL. Pathogenesis of learning disabilities in epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2001;42:13-5.
- 28. Holmes GL, Sarkisian M, Ben-Ari Y, Chevassus-

Au-Louis N. Mossy fiber sprouting after recurrent seizures during early development in rats. Journal of Comparative Neurology. 1999;404(4):537-53.

- 29. Liu Z, Yang Y, Silveira D, Sarkisian M, Tandon P, Huang L-T, et al. Consequences of recurrent seizures during early brain development. Neuroscience. 1999;92(4):1443-54.
- Holmes GL, Ben-Ari Y. Seizures in the developing brain: perhaps not so benign after all. Neuron. 1998;21(6):1231-4.
- Holmes GL. Epilepsy in the developing brain: lessons from the laboratory and clinic. Epilepsia. 1997;38(1):12-30.
- 32. Bailet LL, Turk WR. The impact of childhood epilepsy on neurocognitive and behavioral performance: a prospective longitudinal study. Epilepsia. 2000;41(4):426-31.
- 33. Huberty TJ, Austin JK, Risinger MW, McNelis AM. Relationship of selected seizure variables in children with epilepsy to performance on school-administered achievement tests. Journal of Epilepsy. 1992;5(1):10-6.
- 34. Williams J, Sharp G, Bates S, Griebel M, Lange B, Spence G, et al. Academic achievement and behavioral ratings in children with absence and complex partial epilepsy. Education and Treatment of Children. 1996:143-52.
- 35. Schouten A, Oostrom K, Pestman W, Peters A, Jennekens-Schinkel A. Learning and memory of school children with epilepsy: a prospective controlled longitudinal study. Developmental

medicine and child neurology. 2002;44(12):803-11.

- Stores G. School-children with epilepsy at risk for learning and behaviour problems. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology. 1978;20(4):502-8.
- Stores G, Hart J, Piran N. Inattentiveness in schoolchildren with epilepsy. Epilepsia. 1978;19(2):169-75.
- 38. Butterbaugh G, Olejniczak P, Roques B, Costa R, Rose M, Fisch B, et al. Lateralization of temporal lobe epilepsy and learning disabilities, as defined by disability-related civil rights law. Epilepsia. 2004;45(8):963-70.
- 39. Camfield PR, Gates R, Ronen G, Camfield C, Ferguson A, Macdonald GW. Comparison of cognitive ability, personality profile, and school success in epileptic children with pure right versus left temporal lobe EEG foci. Annals of Neurology: Official Journal of the American Neurological Association and the Child Neurology Society. 1984;15(2):122-6.
- 40. Cornaggia CM, Gobbi G. Learning disability in epilepsy: definitions and classification. Epilepsia. 2001;42:2-5.
- 41. Al-Twaijri WA, Shevell MI. Atypical benign epilepsy of childhood with rolandic spikes: features of a subset requiring more than one medication for seizure control. Journal of child neurology. 2002;17(12):900-3.