# Prohibitins in human diseases: diagnostic and therapeutic applications

#### Gian Maria Fimia

National Institute for Infectious Diseases, "Lazzaro Spallanzani" IRCCS 00149, Rome, Italy

#### ABSTRACT

Prohibitins are chaperone proteins highly conserved among eukaryotes. Many different functions have been attributed to Prohibitins depending on their tissue expression and sub-cellular localization. Prohibitins play a major role in mitochondrial physiology, regulating the stability and the processing of both respiratory chain complex subunits and OPA1, a mitochondrial fusion regulating protein. Prohibitins are also involved in the control of proliferation, apoptosis, transcription and signal transduction by interacting with important regulators of these processes located either in mitochondria or in other subcellular sites, such as nucleus, cytosol, plasma membrane. Here, I will review recent experimental data linking the impairment of the different functions of Prohibitins with the onset of important human pathologies, such as cancer, chronic inflammation and drug-induced toxicity. These data highlight how Prohibitins could represent promising candidate targets for the development of novel therapeutic approaches.

**Keywords**: *Prohibitin, Mitochondria, Cancer, Chronic inflammation, Drug toxicity.* (Gastroenterology and Hepatology From Bed to Bench 2009;2:S19-S69.)

#### INTRODUCTION

Defects in mitochondria function have been linked to a wide range of human pathologies (1,2). Mitochondria play crucial roles in energy production by housing catabolic and intermediary metabolic pathways, such as beta oxidation and Krebs cycle, dedicated to the production of highenergy molecules which are then employed by the respiratory chain machinery to produce adenosine triphosphate (ATP) (1,2).In addition, mitochondria play important roles in apoptosis and cell signaling. For example, the so-called "intrinsic" pathway of the apoptotic process requires the release from mitochondria of proapoptotic proteins, such as Cytochrome C, Smac/Diablo, Omi, Endonuclease G and AIF (3).

Reprint or Correspondence: Gian Maria Fimia National Institute for Infectious Diseases, "Lazzaro Spallanzani" IRCCS 00149, Rome, Italy E-mail: fimia@inmi.it Furthermore, the crosstalk between mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum is crucial in regulating cytosolic levels of calcium, an important signalling molecule controlling life-death decisions following different external stimuli and/or stress insults (4).

In contrast to other cytoplasmic organelles, a unique feature of mitochondria is the presence of its own genetic material that encodes for a subset of the mitochondrial proteins (1,2). Mitochondria replicate their DNA and divide by binary fission (5). Moreover, mitochondria are able to fuse with other mitochondria in response to different signals, such as energy needs, and this fusion is essential for normal mitochondrial morphology and function (5). A direct link between mitochondria dysfunction and human pathologies has been formally established by the identification of mutations in the mitochondrial DNA of patients

with genetically-inherited myopathies, cardiopathies and neuropathies (1,2). On the other hand, most of the mitochondrial resident proteins are encoded by nuclear genes; protein import complexes, located in the outer and inner membranes (TOM and TIM complexes), are responsible for the correct translocation of these proteins to mitochondria (6,7). Proper folding of mitochondrial-imported proteins and their assembly into macromolecular complexes is then assisted by various chaperones, which work in cooperation with specific proteolytic enzymes in order degrade improperly folded to or supernumerary imported proteins (8). Notably, the impairment of the mitochondrial folding apparatus has been also associated with the onset of human diseases (9.10). Among the mitochondrial chaperones, a highly particular role is played by the Prohibitin proteins. Indeed, these chaperones have been shown to be essential for mitochondrial physiology and, at the same time, to play crucial roles in other cellular processes which do not involve Prohibitin mitochondrial function (11). Here, I will review the experimental evidence linking the different proposed functions of Prohibitins and the onset of specific human disorders.

## Structure and localization of Prohibitins

Prohibitins (PHBs) are evolutionary-conserved proteins (11). In humans there are two genes encoding for sequence-related proteins, PHB1 (BAP32, often simply termed Prohibitin) and PHB2 (BAP37, REA). These proteins show sequence similarity to lipid raft-associated of the SPFH proteins family (for Stomatin/Prohibitin/Flotillin/HflK) such as stomatins, flotillins (also known as reggies) and Erlins (for endoplasmic reticulum lipid raft protein) (12).

PHB1 and PHB2 have a predominant mitochondrial localization where they associate with the inner membrane (13). However, these proteins have been also detected in the cytosol, the plasma membrane and the nucleus, so suggesting different functions of these proteins depending on their cellular locations (11).

PHBs are composed of an amino-terminal hydrophobic region responsible for membrane binding, a central domain, called PHB domain, characteristic of all SPFH family proteins and a coiled-coil region at the carboxy-terminal, crucial for the assembly of PHBs into complexes (14). In mitochondria, PHBs are assembled into a ring-like structure with 16–20 alternating PHB1 and PHB2 subunits in the inner membrane (14).

Translocation of PHB1 into mitochondria is mediated, at least in yeast, by its association with Tim8/13 import complexes in the intermembrane space (15), followed by its insertion into the inner membrane via the TIM23 translocase, where it assembles with PHB2 subunits to form the large ring complexes (15). In line with the reported nuclear localization, both PHBs also have nuclear localization/export signals (16,17). However, in the nucleus as well as in the other nonmitochondrial sites, it is not clear whether PHBs form ring-like structures similar to those described in the mitochondria.

## Mitochondrial functions of Prohibitins

Different targets have been proposed for the chaperone activity of PHBs in mitochondria. An important example is represented by the interaction of PHBs with the m-AAA proteases (18). m-AAA proteases are capable of degrading non-assembled proteins, such as respiratory chain subunits, in the inner membrane and act together with ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter that exports proteolytic-derived peptides from the matrix to the inter-membrane space (19). PHBs

are able to interfere with m-AAA protease activity by binding to the non-assembled proteins and preventing their proteolysis (18). In line with this hypothesis, a reduction of PHB level leads to a hyperactivity of m-AAA proteases and a consequent decrease of a set of mitochondrial proteins (18). Conversely, increased levels of PHB1 and PHB2 result in the protection of nonnative polypeptides from degradation (20). It is interesting to note that the stability of PHBs is also regulated by the interaction with other members of the SPFH family, such as the stomatin protein SLP-2. In fact, depleting HeLa cells of SLP-2 leads to increased proteolysis of PHBs and of subunits of the respiratory chain complexes I and IV (21).

Further insights into the function of PHBs derived from the analysis of PHB2 knock-out cells. In these cells, PHB1 is also undetectable, a clear indication that the stability of the PHB proteins are dependent on their reciprocal interaction. PHB2 -/- mitochondria show high levels of fragmentation associated with disorganized and swollen cristae (22). In contrast to the previous hypothesis, these cells display no major defects in the respiratory chain activity, but show an increased susceptibility to apoptotic insults, this being associated with an increased release of Cytochrome C. Interestingly, these defects have been linked at molecular level to a dysregulated processing of OPA1, a dynamin-like GTPase protein involved in the control of membrane fusion mitochondrial (19.22).Mitochondria express five different isoforms of OPA1: two long forms designated L1 and L2, which can be proteolytically converted to three short forms, designated S3-S5 (19,22). OPA1 has been proposed to be a substrate of m-AAA proteases (23) In PHB2 mutant cells, the long isoforms of OPA1 are drastically reduced while some of the short ones are increased (22). Notably, the expression of a non-cleavable form of OPA1 is sufficient to rescue the defects

observed in PHB2 null cells (22). These results support a major role of PHBs in controlling protein processing in mitochondria.

Another interesting target of PHBs is the antiapoptotic Hax-1. PHB2 is able to bind Hax-1in association with the ANT2 and VDAC2 proteins (17). Notably, Hax-1 stability is dependent on PHB2 expression, suggesting that PHBs could regulate cell survival by directly interacting with the apoptotic pathway (17).

Other mitochondrial functions besides the regulation of protein stability have been proposed for PHBs. For example, PHB1 has been shown to inhibit the activity of the pyruvate carboxylase (24), a mitochondrial enzyme involved in replenishing tricarboxylic acid cvcle intermediates. Recently, it has been reported that PHBs is also essential for the maintenance of the mitochondrial nucleoid organization and regulation of mtDNA copy number (25).

## Non-mitochondrial function of Prohibitins

Many experimental data support a role of PHBs in the nucleus (26). PHB1 has been found to interact with different transcription factors or cofactors regulating proliferation and apoptosis, such as E2F1, p53 and retinoblastoma protein (27,28). In most of the reported data, PHB1 negatively regulates the transcriptional activity of E2F1 and it has been proposed that this interaction accounts for the anti-proliferative properties of PHB1 (26). The PHB transcriptional repression is mediated by the recruitment to E2F responsive promoters of histone deacetylase, such as HDAC1, and additional co-repressors like NCoR and proteins of the SWI-SNF complex (29,30,31). Conversely, PHB1 is able to stimulate p53 transcriptional activity enhancing by its recruitment to promoters (28).

Another class of transcriptional factors regulated by PHBs is represented by nuclear

receptors. In particular, PHBs bind to and repress the activity of estrogen and androgen receptors (32,33,34). Also in this case, HDACs and SWI-SNF complex proteins have been shown to be implicated in PHB-mediated repression (35,36,37). Importantly, a role of PHBs in regulating estrogen receptor activity has been confirmed in mice with heterozygotic deletion of the PHB2 gene. In fact, these mice show both increased epithelial proliferation and enhanced gene expression in response to estrogen stimulation (38).

Beside mitochondrial and nuclear roles, other functions have been assigned to PHBs. For example, PHB1 is able to interact with cytosolic c-Raf kinase (39). This interaction is required for the binding of c-Raf with the activated c-Ras and, in turn, regulates c-Raf-mediated epithelial cell adhesion and migration (39). PHBs have also been localized on the plasma membrane in some cell types. PHBs bind to the IgM antigen receptor on the surface of B lymphocytes (40), whereas in intestinal epithelial cells PHB is a membrane receptor for the Vi capsular polysaccharide of Salmonella typhi, the causative agent of typhoid fever in humans (41). Moreover, PHBs are expressed on the surface of vascular cells of the white adipose tissue, as shown by the binding and the internalization of synthetic PHB-interacting peptides with pro-apoptotic activity (42). Finally, both PHB1 and PHB2 have been detected in the circulating blood, most likely released from the cells entrapped in lipid droplets (43,44). A proposed function of extracellular PHBs is the enhancement of complement activation via its binding to the complement component C3 (45).

## Role of Prohibitins in tumorigenesis

A large number of scientific reports documented that PHBs expression is altered in different pathological conditions (26). Notably, many of these publications described an upregulation of PHBs in a wide range of tumors, such as breast, prostate, ovarian, lung, bladder, thyroid and gastric cancer (46,47,48,49,50,51,52,53). Moreover, causative links between mutations in the PHB1 gene and tumor progression have been proposed (54), including single-nucleotide polymorphisms located at 3' untranslated region of the PHB1 mRNA, a region know to influence cell growth independently of PHB1 protein synthesis (55,56). However, the examination of a large number of human tumors of various origins has revealed that these mutations are uncommon and mainly restricted to breast cancers (54,57,58). Interestingly, a recent report proposes that microRNA-27 acts as a oncogene by targeting PHB1 in gastric adenocarcinoma, uncovering novel potential relationships between PHBs and tumorigenesis (59).

The increase of PHBs is usually interpreted as one of the attempts of the cell to counteract uncontrolled proliferation by up-regulating antiproliferative genes. An alternative explanation of the increased expression of PHBs in tumor cells is based on the identification of the oncoprotein c-Myc binding sites in the PHB1 promoter (60,61). In normal proliferating cells, the regulation of PHB1 levels by c-Myc may represent a mechanism to balance cell cycle progression and energy need. In tumors, c-Myc is often upregulated and this could explain why PHB1 is over-expressed. Increased PHB1 levels could play a positive rather than negative role in the growth and survival of transformed cells by reducing mitochondrial ROS production and inhibiting apoptosis.

## Role of Prohibitins in response to the oxidative stress

A reduction of PHB levels has been also described in different pathological conditions. For

example, PHB1 has been found to be downregulated in human and animal models of inflammatory bowel disease, such as Crohn's disease (62). Notably, high levels of PHB1 expression, achieved by a transgenic approach, protect PHB mice from oxidative stress induced by ulcerative colitis, highlighting a major role of PHB in cellular defense against oxidant injury (63). The protective functions of PHB against oxidative stress have been also reported in cardiomyocytes, where it has been associated with the anti-apoptotic properties of PHB1 (64). An interesting relationship between PHB expression levels, oxidative stress and senescence has been recently described. Knockdown of PHB1 in mitochondrial endothelial cells increases production of reactive oxygen species via inhibition of complex I, which induces Akt hyperactivation, stress fiber formation, loss of migratory capacity and, eventually, cellular senescence (65).

Further evidence for a role of PHBs in controlling oxidative stress comes from our recent analysis of mitochondrial alterations induced by the antiretroviral therapy used to control HIV infection (unpublished results). Highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), a combination of inhibitors of the HIV reverse transcriptase (NRTI and NNRTI) and HIV protease (PI), has significantly improved the life expectancy of HIV patients (66). However, HAART produces major side effects such as neuropathy, myopathy, cardiomyopathy, myelopoiesis, pancreatitis, hepatic steatosis, lactic acidosis and lipodystrophy (67). Mitochondrial damage is considered one of the main causes of HAART's long-term toxicities (68). Proposed mechanisms of HAART toxicity include the inhibition of DNA polymerase-g by the NRTI backbone of the therapy, together with the inhibition of endogenous nucleotide kinases, the impairment of oxidative phosphorylation, the generation of ROS, changes in expression of uncoupling proteins. and mutation in mitochondrial and nuclear DNA (69). We have

found that a decrease of PHB1 is one of the earliest alterations detectable following *in vitro* treatment of a wide range of cells with NRTI, suggesting that a decrease of PHB1 could have a role in causing the side-effects associated with the drug treatment (unpublished results). In line with this hypothesis, we found that PHB1 overexpression protects cells from the mitochondrial oxidative stress induced by NRTI (unpublished results).

### **Conclusions and Perspectives**

The activity of chaperone proteins is critical for many cellular functions because they are able to control the folding and the expression levels of a large set of target proteins. PHB proteins represent a peculiar family of chaperones that combine specific lipid- and protein-binding properties. Many different functions have been attributed to PHBs depending on their tissue expression and sub-cellular localization. Although many aspects of the PHB function remain to be elucidated, there is increasing evidence for an important involvement of PHBs in human pathology. PHB expression is altered in different pathological conditions, making PHB proteins good candidates as diagnostic markers. Even more relevantly, it has been shown that experimental modulation of PHB expression could be beneficial in some conditions. such pathological as chronic inflammation and drug toxicity. Based on these considerations, the development of therapeutic agents to modulate the activity of PHBs may represent an important challenge for the drugresearch industry in the near future.

## Acknowledgements

I thank Prof. Mauro Piacentini and all the members of the Cell Biology lab at the INMI Spallanzani for help and discussion. This work was supported by grants from Ministry for Health of Italy ('Ricerca Corrente', "Ricerca Finalizzata" and "Ricerca Oncologica n. ONC-ORD 35/07") and European Community (APO-SYS Health F4-2007-200767).

### **REFERENCES**

1. Schapira AH. Mitochondrial disease. Lancet 2006;368:70-82.

2. DiMauro S, Schon EA. Mitochondrial respiratorychain diseases. N Engl J Med 2003;348:2656-68.

3. Green DR, Kroemer G. The pathophysiology of mitochondrial cell death. Science 2004;305:626-29.

4. Rizzuto R, Duchen MR, Pozzan T. Sci STKE. Flirting in little space: the ER/mitochondria Ca2+ liaison 2004;2004:re1.

5. Chan DC. Mitochondrial fusion and fission in mammals. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 2006;22:79-99.

6. Koehler CM. Protein translocation pathways of the mitochondrion. FEBS Lett 2000;476:27-31.

7. Neupert W, Herrmann JM. Translocation of proteins into mitochondria. Annu Rev Biochem 2007;76:723-49.

8. Arnold I, Langer T. Membrane protein degradation by AAA proteases in mitochondria. Biochim Biophys Acta 2002;1592:89-96.

9. Nijtmans LG, Artal SM, Grivell LA, Coates PJ. The mitochondrial PHB complex: roles in mitochondrial respiratory complex assembly, ageing and degenerative disease. Cell Mol Life Sci 2002;59:143-55.

10. Huckriede A., Agsteribbe E. Decreased synthesis and inefficient mitochondrial import of hsp60 in a patient with a mitochondrial encephalomyopathy. Biochim Biophys Acta 1994;1227:200-206.

11. Mishra S, Murphy LC, Murphy LJ. The Prohibitins: emerging roles in diverse functions. J Cell Mol Med 2006;10:353-63.

12. Browman DT, Hoegg MB, Robbins SM. The SPFH domain-containing proteins: more than lipid raft markers. Trends Cell Biol 2007;17:394-402.

13. Ikonen E, Fiedler K, Parton RG, Simons K. Prohibitin, an antiproliferative protein, is localized to mitochondria. FEBS Lett 1995;358:273-77.

14. Merkwirth C, Langer T. Prohibitin function within mitochondria: essential roles for cell proliferation and cristae morphogenesis. Biochim Biophys Acta 2009;1793:27-32.

15. Tatsuta T, Model K, Langer T. Formation of membrane-bound ring complexes by prohibitins in mitochondria. Mol Biol Cell 2005;16:248-59.

16. Rastogi S, Joshi B, Fusaro G, Chellappan S. Camptothecin induces nuclear export of prohibitin preferentially in transformed cells through a CRM-1-dependent mechanism. J Biol Chem 2006;281:2951-59.

17. Kasashima K, Ohta E, Kagawa Y, Endo H. Mitochondrial functions and estrogen receptor-dependent nuclear translocation of pleiotropic human prohibitin 2. J Biol Chem 2006;281:36401-10.

18. Steglich G, Neupert W, Langer T. Prohibitins regulate membrane protein degradation by the m-AAA protease in mitochondria. Mol Cell Biol 1999;19:3435-42.

19. Arnold I, Langer T. Membrane protein degradation by AAA proteases in mitochondria. Biochim Biophys Acta 2002;1592:89-96.

20. Nijtmans LG, de Jong L, Artal Sanz M, Coates PJ, Berden JA, Back JW, et al. Prohibitins act as a membrane-bound chaperone for the stabilization of mitochondrial proteins. EMBO J 2000;19:2444-51.

21. Da Cruz S, Parone PA, Gonzalo P, Bienvenut WV, Tondera D, Jourdain A, et al. SLP-2 interacts with prohibitins in the mitochondrial inner membrane and contributes to their stability. Biochim Biophys Acta 2008;1783:904-11.

22. Merkwirth C, Dargazanli S, Tatsuta T, Geimer S, Lower B, Wunderlich FT, et al. Prohibitins control cell proliferation and apoptosis by regulating OPA1-dependent cristae morphogenesis in mitochondria. Genes Dev 2008; 22:476–88.

23. Ishihara N, Fujita Y, Oka T, Mihara K. Regulation of mitochondrial morphology through proteolytic cleavage of OPA1. EMBO J 2006;25:2966-77.

24. Vessal M, Mishra S, Moulik S, Murphy LJ. Prohibitin attenuates insulin-stimulated glucose and fatty acid oxidation in adipose tissue by inhibition of pyruvate carboxylase. FEBS J 2006;273:568-76.

25. Kasashima K, Sumitani M, Satoh M, Endo H. Human prohibitin 1 maintains the organization and stability of the mitochondrial nucleoids. Exp Cell Res 2008;314:988-96.

26. Mishra S, Murphy LC, Nyomba BL, Murphy LJ. Prohibitin: a potential target for new therapeutics. Trends Mol Med 2005;11:192-97.

27. Wang S, Nath N, Adlam M, Chellappan S. Prohibitin, a potential tumor suppressor, interacts with

RB and regulates E2F function. Oncogene 1999;18:3501-10.

28. Fusaro G, Dasgupta P, Rastogi S, Joshi B, Chellappan S. Prohibitin induces the transcriptional activity of p53 and is exported from the nucleus upon apoptotic signaling. J Biol Chem 2003;278:47853-61.

29. Wang S, Fusaro G, Padmanabhan J, Chellappan SP. Prohibitin co-localizes with Rb in the nucleus and recruits N-CoR and HDAC1 for transcriptional repression. Oncogene 2002;21:8388-96.

30. Rastogi S, Joshi B, Dasgupta P, Morris M, Wright K, Chellappan S. Prohibitin facilitates cellular senescence by recruiting specific corepressors to inhibit E2F target genes. Mol Cell Biol 2006;26:4161-71.

31. Wang S, Zhang B, Faller DV. Prohibitin requires Brg-1 and Brm for the repression of E2F and cell growth. EMBO J 2002;21:3019-28.

32. Montano MM, Ekena K, Delage-Mourroux R, Chang W, Martini P, Katzenellenbogen BS. An estrogen receptor-selective coregulator that potentiates the effectiveness of antiestrogens and represses the activity of estrogens. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999;96:6947-52.

33. Delage-Mourroux R, Martini PG, Choi I, Kraichely DM, Hoeksema J, Katzenellenbogen BS. Analysis of estrogen receptor interaction with a repressor of estrogen receptor activity (REA) and the regulation of estrogen receptor transcriptional activity by REA. J Biol Chem 2000;275:35848-56.

34. Gamble SC, Chotai D, Odontiadis M, Dart DA, Brooke GN, Powell SM, et al. Prohibitin, a protein downregulated by androgens, represses androgen receptor activity. Oncogene 2007;26:1757-68.

35. Wang S, Zhang B, Faller DV. BRG1/BRM and prohibitin are required for growth suppression by estrogen antagonists. EMBO J 2004;23:2293-303.

36. Kurtev V, Margueron R, Kroboth K, Ogris E, Cavailles V, Seiser C. Transcriptional regulation by the repressor of estrogen receptor activity via recruitment of histone deacetylases. J Biol Chem 2004;279:24834-43.

37. Zhang B, Chambers KJ, Faller DV, Wang S. Reprogramming of the SWI/SNF complex for coactivation or co-repression in prohibitin-mediated estrogen receptor regulation. Oncogene 2007;26:7153-57.

38. Park SE, Xu J, Frolova A, Liao L, O'Malley BW, Katzenellenbogen BS. Genetic deletion of the repressor of estrogen receptor activity (REA) enhances the response to estrogen in target tissues in vivo. Mol Cell Biol 2005;25:1989-99.

39. Rajalingam K, Wunder C, Brinkmann V, Churin Y, Hekman M, Sievers C, Rapp UR, Rudel T. Prohibitin is required for Ras-induced Raf-MEK-ERK activation and epithelial cell migration. Nat Cell Biol 2005;7:837-43.

40. Terashima M, Kim KM, Adachi T, Nielsen PJ, Reth M, Köhler G, et al. The IgM antigen receptor of B lymphocytes is associated with prohibitin and a prohibitin-related protein. EMBO J 1994;13:3782-92.

41. Sharma A, Qadri A. Vi polysaccharide of Salmonella typhi targets the prohibitin family of molecules in intestinal epithelial cells and suppresses early inflammatory responses. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004;101:17492-97.

42. Kolonin MG, Saha PK, Chan L, Pasqualini R, Arap W. Reversal of obesity by targeted ablation of adipose tissue. Nat Med 2004;10:625-32.

43. Mengwasser J, Piau A, Schlag P, Sleeman JP. Differential immunization identifies PHB1/PHB2 as blood-borne tumor antigens. Oncogene 2004;23:7430-35.

44. Brasaemle DL, Dolios G, Shapiro L, Wang R. Proteomic analysis of proteins associated with lipid droplets of basal and lipolytically stimulated 3T3-L1 adipocytes. J Biol Chem 2004;279:46835-42.

45. Mishra S, Moulik S, Murphy LJ. Prohibitin binds to C3 and enhances complement activation. Mol Immunol 2007;44:1897-902.

46. Kang X, Zhang L, Sun J, Ni Z, Ma Y, Chen X, et al. Prohibitin: a potential biomarker for tissue-based detection of gastric cancer. J Gastroenterol 2008;43:618-25.

47. Ummanni R, Junker H, Zimmermann U, Venz S, Teller S, Giebel J, et al. Prohibitin identified by proteomic analysis of prostate biopsies distinguishes hyperplasia and cancer. Cancer Lett 2008;266:171-85.

48. Simon SL, Parkes A, Leygue E, Dotzlaw H, Snell L, Troup S, et al. Expression of a repressor of estrogen receptor activity in human breast tumors: relationship to some known prognostic markers. Cancer Res 2000;60:2796-99.

49. Murphy LC, Simon SL, Parkes A, Leygue E, Dotzlaw H, Snell L, et al. Altered expression of estrogen receptor coregulators during human breast tumorigenesis. Cancer Res 2000;60:6266-71.

50. Wu TF, Wu H, Wang YW, Chang TY, Chan SH, Lin YP, et al. Prohibitin in the pathogenesis of

transitional cell bladder cancer. Anticancer Res 2007;27:895-900.

51. Nan Y, Yang S, Tian Y, Zhang W, Zhou B, Bu L, et al. Analysis of the expression protein profiles of lung squamous carcinoma cell using shot-gun proteomics strategy. Med Oncol 2008; in press.

52. Franzoni A, Dima M, D'Agostino M, Puppin C, Fabbro D, Di Loreto C, et al. Prohibitin Is Overexpressed in Papillary Thyroid Carcinomas Bearing the BRAF(V600E) Mutation. Thyroid 2009; 19:247-55.

53. Gregory-Bass RC, Olatinwo M, Xu W, Matthews R, Stiles JK, Thomas K, et al. Prohibitin silencing reverses stabilization of mitochondrial integrity and chemoresistance in ovarian cancer cells by increasing their sensitivity to apoptosis. Int J Cancer 2008;122:1923-30.

54. Sato T, Sakamoto T, Takita K, Saito H, Okui K, Nakamura Y. The human prohibitin (PHB) gene family and its somatic mutations in human tumors. Genomics 1993;17:762-64.

55. Jupe ER, Badgett AA, Neas BR, Craft MA, Mitchell DS, Resta R, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphism in prohibitin 39 untranslated region and breast-cancer susceptibility. Lancet 2001 May 19;357:1588-89.

56. Manjeshwar S, Branam DE, Lerner MR, Brackett DJ, Jupe ER. Tumor suppression by the prohibitin gene 3'untranslated region RNA in human breast cancer. Cancer Res 2003;63:5251-56.

57. Spurdle AB, Hopper JL, Chen X, McCredie MR, Giles GG, Newman B, et al. Prohibitin 3' untranslated region polymorphism and breast cancer risk in Australian women. Lancet 2002;360:925-26.

58. White KA, Lange EM, Ray AM, Wojno KJ, Cooney KA. Prohibitin mutations are uncommon in prostate cancer families linked to chromosome 17q. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis 2006;9:298-302.

59. Liu T, Tang H, Lang Y, Liu M, Li X. MicroRNA-27a functions as an oncogene in gastric adenocarcinoma by targeting prohibitin. Cancer Lett 2009;273:233-42.

60. Menssen A, Hermeking H. Characterization of the c-MYC-regulated transcriptome by SAGE:

identification and analysis of c-MYC target genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002;99:6274-79.

61. Haggerty TJ, Zeller KI, Osthus RC, Wonsey DR, Dang CV. A strategy for identifying transcription factor binding sites reveals two classes of genomic c-Myc target sites. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2003;100:5313-18.

62. Theiss AL, Idell RD, Srinivasan S, Klapproth JM, Jones DP, Merlin D, et al. Prohibitin protects against oxidative stress in intestinal epithelial cells. FASEB J 2007;21:197-206.

63. Theiss AL, Vijay Kumar M, Obertone TS, Jones DP, Hansen JM, Gewirtz AT, et al. Prohibitin (PHB) is a Novel Regulator of Antioxidant Response that Attenuates Colonic Inflammation in Mice. Gastroenterology 2009; in press.

64. Liu X, Ren Z, Zhan R, Wang X, Wang X, Zhang Z, et al. Prohibitin protects against oxidative stressinduced cell injury in cultured neonatal cardiomyocyte. Cell Stress Chaperones 2009;14:311-19.

65. Schleicher M, Shepherd BR, Suarez Y, Fernandez-Hernando C, Yu J, Pan Y, et al. Prohibitin-1 maintains the angiogenic capacity of endothelial cells by regulating mitochondrial function and senescence. J Cell Biol 2008;180:101-12.

66. Mocroft A., Ledergerber B, Katlama C, Kirk O, Reiss P, d'Arminio Monforte A, et al. Decline in the AIDS and death rates in the EuroSIDA study: an observational study. Lancet 2003;362:22-29.

67. d'Arminio Monforte A., Lepri AC, Rezza G, Pezzotti P, Antinori A, Phillips AN, et al. Insights into the reasons for discontinuation of the first highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) regimen in a cohort of antiretroviral naive patients. I.CO.N.A. Study Group. Italian Cohort of Antiretroviral-Naive Patients. AIDS 2000;14:499-507.

68. Petit F, Fromenty B, Owen A, Estaquier E. Mitochondria are sensors for HIV drugs. Trends Pharmacol Sci 2005;26:258-64.

69. Gerschenson M, Brinkman K. Mitochondrial dysfunction in AIDS and its treatment. Mitochondrion 2004;4:763-77.