Review Article

A Review of the Management of Eye Diseases Using Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Deep Learning in Conjunction with Recent Research on Eye Health Problems

Azadeh Kavianfar¹, MS; Mahdieh Salimi², PhD; Hamidreza Taherkhani^{1,*}, MS

1. Laboratory of Systems Biology and Bioinformatics, Department of Bioinformatics, Kish Intl. Campus, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

2. Department of Medical Genetics, Institute of Medical Biotechnology, National Institute of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (NIGEB), Tehran, Iran.

*Corresponding Author: Hamidreza Taherkhani

E-mail: htaherkhani@ut.ac.ir

Abstract

In the field of computer science, Artificial Intelligence can be considered one of the branches that study the development of algorithms that mimic certain aspects of human intelligence. Over the past few years, there has been a rapid advancement in the technology of computer-aided diagnosis (CAD). This in turn has led to an increase in the use of deep learning methods in a variety of applications. For us to be able to understand how AI can be used in order to recognize eye diseases, it is crucial that we have a deep understanding of how AI works in its core concepts. This paper aims to describe the most recent and applicable uses of artificial intelligence in the various fields of ophthalmology disease. **Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence; Machine Learning; Deep Learning; Eye Diseases; Glaucoma; Age-related Macular Degeneration.

Article Notes: Received: Oct. 04, 2020; Received in revised form: Nov. 10, 2020; Accepted: Nov. 30, 2020; Available Online: Apr. 4, 2021.

How to cite this article: Kavianfar A, Salimi M, Taherkhani H. A review of the Management of Eye Diseases Using Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Deep Learning in Conjunction with Recent Research on Eye Health Problems. Journal of Ophthalmic and Optometric Sciences . 2021;5(2): 57-72.

Introduction

Eye problems will become more prevalent as the population ages. Maintaining eyesight and improving quality of life requires early detection and proper treatment of eye diseases. In ophthalmology, artificial intelligence (AI) may be useful for achieving this goal. AI is a branch of computer science where algorithms are created using computers in order to replicate human intelligence ¹. This field has made significant progress since 1956 ², and is now called it has been referred to as the fourth industrial revolution in human history ³.

Deep learning (DL) is sometimes used interchangeably with artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML). It is necessary to separate the three terms 4 (figure 1).

A computer that mimics human intelligence by performing visual perception, decisionmaking, and speech recognition tasks is called an artificial intelligence system ⁵. Since the 1980s, artificial intelligence has advanced in several ways, particularly in the area of machine learning, where computers are able to become more proficient at completing



Figure 1: A detailed explanation of artificial intelligence (AI) and related topics

tasks through practice or It is not necessary to program them explicitly; they learn on their own ⁶. There are a number of algorithms within machine learning that use multilayered neural networks ^{7,8}. In deep learning, neural networks have several hidden deep layers ⁹, which enable them to explore more complex inputs, such as whole images, by analyzing them at multiple levels ¹⁰ (figure 2).

Artificial intelligence (AI) has been applied to many areas of clinical workflow in recent years, including detecting lung cancer ¹¹, detecting skin cancer ¹², predicting cardiovascular risks ¹³, and analyzing breast histopathology specimens ¹⁴. This has led to various research studies investigating how artificial intelligence can be used in ophthalmology, resulting in the creation of cutting-edge algorithms and extensive datasets such as EyePACS, Messidor ¹⁵, and Kaggle ¹⁶, which are all publicly accessible ¹⁷.

Many eye disorders like as diabetic retinopathy (DR), and retinopathy of prematurity, including glaucoma, and age-related macular degeneration (AMD) are being screened and diagnosed using deep learning ¹⁸. In this paper, the authors aim to describe the most recent and applicable uses of artificial intelligence in the various diagnosis fields of ophthalmic disease. Materials and Methods

Independently searched the literature were the two databases used; PubMed and Scopus¹⁹. The words searched were Ophthalmology, Age-Related Macular Degeneration, Pediatric Ophthalmology, Glaucoma, Cataract, Diabetic Retinopathy, Retinopathy of Prem, Retinal Detachment, Keratoconus, Retinal Vein Occlusion, Retinal Vein Occlusion, and Artificial Intelligence. Keyword searches were not restricted. Based on the evaluation of search results, chose papers with the most clinical impact²⁰.



Figure 2: A neural network model of artificial intelligence processes the signals of the system by routing them through a system of nodes that resemble the neurons found in the brain. Signals are sent from one node to another via links, analogous to the synaptic connections between neurons. Depending on the settings, a different weight can be assigned to each connection that amplifies or dampens the signals so that the "learning" enhances the result. As with the various cortical processing units, the nodes are generally arranged in layers that are roughly comparable in structure. Today's computers can handle complex "deep-learning" networks composed of several layers of connections

It was essential to consider several factors in different studies: location, the time of year, the study's design, the length of follow-up, the number of eyes recruited, demographics (age, gender, and ethnicity), any type of imaging, general diagnosis of the disease, and even the level of accuracy, specificity, and sensitivity ²¹. This study considered several criteria: a transparent methodology for developing algorithms (DL or ML), a large amount of imagery or data to train, and predicting and detecting diseases with high accuracy and specificity.

Study selection

In total, 37 studies were reviewed. One dealt with exophthalmos, three with strabismus, two with eyelid tumors, three with keratoconus, seven with cataracts, three with keratoconus, seven with cataracts pediatric cataracts, and one with myopia, glaucoma, and DR, each one of nine studies. Glaucoma There is minimal agreement among professionals about identifying ONH injuries based on a study of optic nerve head (ONH) injuries. Asymptomatic glaucoma makes it difficult for doctors to diagnose and treat it in its early stages ²². A glaucomatous ONH might be hard to detect early due to its different structure from an optic disc. Visual field test results and clinical trials related to glaucoma discs can be interpreted using artificial intelligence ^{23,24}.

Fundus photos and OCT scans show the visual pathway, but a visual field shows how it works. Current algorithms cannot distinguish between mild regional loss, glaucomarelated abnormalities and aberrations, and non-glaucoma-related abnormalities and aberrations, despite evaluating the visual field ²³. Furthermore, the present automated programs do not break down the visual field data into loss patterns. RNFs that are impaired project to specific areas of the optic disc, causing patterns of loss of visual field. Elze et al ²⁵. identified geographic patterns of loss using archetypal analysis, a method known as corner learning.

In addition to stratifying the visual fields geographically, an archetypal analysis is used to determine what factors contribute to each pattern loss. Additionally, AI algorithms may help physicians to better understand how the visual field evolves ²⁶. Finally, some AI-based glaucoma prediction models have been developed using Kalman filtering to forecast glaucoma development. By using multiple data sources, physicians can make medical decisions based on tailored illness predictions ^{27, 28}.

Eyelid Tumors

A method was developed by Wang et al ²⁹. that can detect eyelid cancers utilizing Philips intelligible pathology slides that show small patches of malignant or benign melanoma. ImageNet2014 parameters were used to compose a DL (VGG16). A comparison between 18 and 55 histopathology slides from 24 patients showed malignant melanoma patches and non-malignant melanoma patches, respectively. In total, 141,104 patches from 79 slides were assessed for validation, of which 61,031 were benign, and 81,073 were malignant. 0.989 was a very good AUC for the algorithm in this case. An assessment of surgical difficulty preoperatively, surgery delay, and tumor size indicated surgical problems. Tan et al. 24 developed a model predicting reconstructive surgery will be challenging when periocular basal cell carcinomas are removed. Strabismus

Lu et al. ³⁰ used convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and photographs of the face to identify aberrant eye positions. This technology could

ease telemedical screening and assessment ³¹. CNNs are useful for in-office assessments that use eye tracking ^{32, 21} or retinal birefringence scans ³³.

Keratoconus

To diagnose keratoconus, AS-OCT, Placido imaging, disc-based three-dimensional tomography, and AS-OCT are required. Placido disc corneal topography is also an important diagnostic method. Based on 3,156 AS-OCT pictures of keratoconus grades 0 to 4, Yousefi et al. A machine learning algorithm was developed on top of this foundation for grading keratoconus. This resulted in 97.7 % sensitivity and 94.1 % specificity 34, 35. Kamiya et al. 304 keratoconus AS-OCT pictures were used, ranging from grade 0 to grade 4, the researchers reported 98.4 % specificity and 99.1 % sensitivity for the classification of keratoconus(36). Last but not least, a CNN based on 150 validation eyes, 1,350 features of healthy eyes, and 1,350 topographies of keratoconus eyes, demonstrated 99.3 % accuracy ³⁷.

Exophthalmos

Thyroid-induced ophthalmopathy can cause exophthalmos (TAO), or ocular exophthalmos. Salvi et al. ³⁸ developed a model to categorize diseases and predict their progression. TAO was found to be improving or active in 152 patients, and absent, mild, or inactive in 246 patients. This study collected 13 clinical ocular indicators. In terms of concordance with the clinical evaluation, their neural network has a 67 % correlation with that ³⁹.

Cataract

Using artificial intelligence (AI) in cataract care encompasses both clinical and surgical aspects, such as cataract diagnosis and

biometric optimization for intraocular lens power (IOL). Cortical, subcapsular, and nuclear sclerotic cataracts are included in the clinical categorization of cataracts. A slit lamp microscope or a light source can be used to diagnose these conditions. The Lens Opacities Classification System III is used to grade cataracts 40, 41. Study by Li et al. Using 2009-published artificial intelligence applications, nuclear cataracts are evaluated. Their method achieved a 95 % success rate ⁴². Xu et al. In 2013, a mean absolute error was examined for an automated nuclear cataract grading method based on group sparsity regression using slit lamp lens images. This error was 0.333⁴³. Using 5380 slit lamp images from 2015, Gao et al. 44 graded nuclear cataracts with 70.7 % accuracy. Meanwhile, Wu et al. 45, from China, have developed a threestep sequential AI method to detect cataracts using residual neural networks (ResNets). ^{37,} ⁶³⁸ slit lamp images were analyzed in order to train the algorithm to distinguish between cataracts (AUC > 0.99) and intraocular lenses (AUC > 0.91).

There have been other studies that used color fundus pictures to develop an automated cataract assessment system for the effects of retinal imaging on cataracts. The artificial intelligence method was developed using deep learning and machine learning by Dong et al. ⁴⁶ Fundus pictures need to be categorized according to the severity of the cataract (normal, mild, moderate, severe) to report four grades. 94.07 percent was achieved due to precision. According to Zhang et al. Cataract categorization method had a 93.52 % accuracy rate ⁴⁷. A 2018 publication by Li et al. reported accuracy ratings of 87.7 % and 97.2 for grading tasks. A deep convolutional neural network (DCNN) was used to produce two-dimensional feature datasets for a sixlevel classification system for cataracts ⁴⁸. The ability of Xu et al. ^{49,50} to diagnose and grade cataracts was 86.2 % accurate using 8,030 fundus images, AlexNet and VisualDN algorithms. When tested in 2019, Pratap and Kokil's CNN was able to categorize cataracts autonomously with 92.91 % accuracy ⁴⁶. Zhang et al. ⁵¹ demonstrated that 1,352 fundus pictures improved the diagnosis and grading of cataracts.

Pediatric Cataract

The development of pediatric cataracts is more varied than that of adult cataracts. Additionally, slit lamp examinations and cataract visualization may be challenging due to the child's cooperation. The cloud-based CC-Cruiser system automatically recognizes cataracts from slit lamp images, assesses them, and suggests appropriate treatment options ^{52,53}. This method may be advantageous for patients since it allows them to see a physician more quickly. When it comes to diagnosing cataracts and prescribing treatment, it does not perform as well as specialists.

High Myopia

Low doses of atropine may delay or prevent the development of high-risk myopia in children ⁵⁴; however, it is difficult to determine which children should receive this treatment ⁵⁵. Lin et al. ⁵⁶ used a clinical measure to predict high-grade myopia in children for up to 8 years in the future. Some have suggested that this strategy could be used to prevent disease more effectively.

Diabetic Retinopathy

For Diabetic Retinopathy patients with microaneurysms, hemorrhages, hard exudates, and cotton wool patches, deep learning algorithms have been utilized to make the diagnosis. Recent studies have demonstrated that DL algorithms can detect DR more accurately than manual detection by ophthalmologists ⁵⁷. It is still necessary to conduct further research in order to support this claim ⁵⁸.

A quality dataset is essential for AI training to be accurate. A study by Gulshan et al. ¹⁵ compared photographs from 5,997 patients with images from 1,748 individuals in the MESSIDOR-2 dataset to identify DR from fundus photographs. Based on these two datasets, this two-dataset method effectively determines DR from fundus photographs. One of the first studies to report automated identification of DR was published by Abramoff et al. in 2008 59. Using retrospective analysis, non-mydriatic pictures were identified as referable DR 84 % of the time. According to a study conducted in 2013⁶⁰, the Iowa Detection Program scored 96.8 % sensitivity and 59.4 % specificity. When operated with the MESSIDOR-2 dataset, EyeArt AI's program detected DR in a study carried out in 2015 with 93.3 % sensitivity and 72.2 % specificity ⁶¹. Using retinal pictures taken from EyePACS and MESSIDOR-2, which had been trained on 128,175 retinal images, Gulshan et al. developed a method for simulating the macula in 2016. There was 97.5 and 96.1 % sensitivity and 93.4 and 93.3 % specificity across the two experiments ¹⁵. According to Gargeya and Leng's ⁶² study, EyePACS was found to have 94 % sensitivity and 98 % specificity for the detection of referable DR in mild, non-proliferative patients.

Retinopathy of Prematurity

Artificial intelligence has made significant advances in pediatric ROP. In addition to improving the efficiency and objectivity of the screening process, AI applications may also reduce the stress experienced by newborns undergoing the examination ⁶³. Through the development of tools such as Vessel Finder ⁶⁴, Vessel Map ⁶⁵, ROP tool ⁶⁶, Computer-Assisted Image Analysis of the Retina (CAIAR), and Retinal Image Multiscale Analysis (RISA), it has been extensively studied how fundus images can be used to estimate vessel tortuosity and width. ⁶⁷⁻⁷²

Age-Related Macular Degeneration

The visual field has been used in several studies to diagnose AMD. Among the main topics of Burlina et al's study was automating the grading of AMD. On the basis of color fundus photographs, their findings showed an accuracy of 0.94-0.96 in categorizing absence/ early AMD from intermediate/advanced AMD. For the detection and classification of spatial atrophy, Treder et al. ⁷³ used autofluorescence fundus images to train a DCNN classifier. They achieved a 91-96 % accuracy rate. An undefined deep CNN was found to be 100 % sensitive, 97.31 % specific, and 99.76 % accurate in distinguishing between images of wet AMD and normal AMD ⁷⁴. Two hundred and fifty-seven fundus photographs were used for training and one hundred and eleven for validation. DL algorithm developed by Keel et al. 75, for the diagnosis of neovascular AMD using color fundus pictures showed 96.7 % sensitivity, 96.4 % specificity, and 99.5 % accuracy. Ting et al. 76 demonstrated that their algorithm had an AUC of 0.931, a sensitivity of 93.2 %, and a specificity of 88.4 %.

Teleophthalmology and Screening

In telemedicine, medical information improves a patient's health status through electronic connections from one location to another ^{77, 78}. Healthcare services can be expanded, wait times reduced, and acute conditions treated

Kavianfar et al.

more effectively using telemedicine in rural areas with a shortage of medical personnel. This hub and spoke model consist of an optometrist, a pharmacist, a pediatrician, a general practitioner, an ophthalmologist, a health worker, screening facilities, and a hospital. Eye University Clinics act as spokes of the wheel in addition to national and international glaucoma institutions. The figure 3 shows how to treat glaucoma with telemedicine 79. The model enhances the availability of clinical services to rural areas by facilitating interaction between patients and experts in referral centers (sometimes referred to as hubs) and the spread of clinical services (sometimes referred to as spokes)⁸⁰. With telemedicine and artificial intelligence, physicians can remotely visit their patients and receive their health data which is automatically collected and screened in situations such as this. The use of remote triaging before admission to hospitals can reduce the risk of infection in healthcare settings, particularly during the pandemic of Coronavirus illness 2019 (COVID-19)⁸¹. Many clinics have followed his method of therapy for a very long time. Numerous methods ⁸² have been used to demonstrate how DL algorithms can help with visual impairment screening, including retinal fundus images acquired by them et al. By putting this technique into practice, eye care facilities that treat eye diseases might be referred to more quickly. The use of artificial intelligence to monitor metrics such as visual acuity and intraocular pressure at home might improve the effectiveness of conventional clinic visits ^{83,84}. In the near future, teleophthalmology from home will probably become a reality with the advent of these gadgets, although more research will be necessary.

A summary of the results of the studies and



Figure 3: Glaucoma is modeled as a hub and spoke system

reviews included in this article is presented in table 1.

Discussion

In this review, we have discussed some of the most relevant advancements and future directions in artificial intelligence in ophthalmology, as well as the primary applications of AI in the field.

A few other evaluations of artificial intelligence in ophthalmology have been published ⁸⁵, however, they focus on conditions like DR and AMD ^{73, 86, 87}. We aimed to provide physicians with a concise summary of AI evidence for use in ophthalmology, regardless of the degree of detail of these publications.

The DL algorithms are highly accurate, sensitive, and specific for DR, AMD, and glaucoma, which are three of the most prevalent visual disorders. Some of these algorithms also performed well for common disorders. Numerous AI initiatives have also been concentrated on pediatric ophthalmology in order to help doctors get over the usual practical constraints brought on by children's resistance to receiving treatment. In spite of the hopefulness with which this new technology

Normal, *DME,****CNV						
Imaging	No. of Pics	Specificity	AUC	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Disease term
	40116	0.020	0.000		0.056	
Fundus images	48110	0.920	0.988		0.936	CRD, 0.7+
Fundus images	125189	0.872	0.942		0.964	CRD, 0.8+
Fundus images	1399	0.802	0.872		0.813	Glaucoma
Fundus images	3620	Not Specified	0.965		Not Specified	Glaucoma
Fundus images and OCT scans	9282	Not Specified	0.933		Not Specified	Glaucomatous visual loss
Fundus images and OCT scans	32820	Not Specified	0.944		Not Specified	Glaucomatous visual loss
OCT	2132	0.939	0.937		825	Early glaucoma
			0.007		020	
Fundus images	315	1.0	ns	95.71 %	0.7692	Grade of DR
Fundus images	755	0.722	0.933	ns	0.933	Detection of DR
Fundus images	1748	0.594	0.937	ns	0.968	Detection of DR
Fundus images	9936	ns	ns	64 %-82	ns	Grade of DR
Fundus images	76370	0.916	0.939	ns	0.905	Detection of DR
Fundus images	76885	0.98	0.94- 0.97	ns	0.94	Evaluation of DR
Fundus images	136886	0.934 & 0.939	0.991	ns	0.975 & 0.961	Evaluation of DR
Smartphone-base fundus image	2048	0.668	ns	ns	0.958	Detection of DR
Fundus Images	253	0.9731	0.9976	ns	1	AMD (Normal vs Wet)
OCT images	317	ns	0.7-0.8	ns	ns	Treatment with anti-VEGF
Autofluorescence	600	0.92	ns	91 %-96 %	1	Classification of region atrophy
OCT Images	*8617	974	ns	ns	0.978	Differentiate DME & AMD
	**51140					Differentiate DME & AMD
	***11349					Differentiate DME & AMD
	****37206					Differentiate DME & AMD
Fundus images	27397	0.964	0.995	ns	0.967	Neovascular AMD
Fundus Images	35948	0.884	0.931	ns	0.932	Detection AMD
Color fundus Images	More than 130000	0.941	0.94- 0.96	ns	0.884	Grading AMD
OCT images	153912	0.962	0.968	ns	0.901	Treatment with anti-VEGF

 Table 1: Here is a brief summary of the research on AI and glaucoma, diabetic retinopathy, and age-related macular degeneration (AMD) that has been conducted. Not Specified (ns), *Drusen, **Normal, ***DME,****CNV

64

has been adopted, its adoption is not without difficulties or even controversy.

First of all, it is difficult to describe DL algorithms within the context of ophthalmology. There is a phenomenon known as the "black box phenomenon," which may ultimately make physicians less inclined to embrace this new technology ^{88,89}. There is something called a "black box," which is a term used to describe the lack of understanding of the algorithm's decision-making process that results in a particular result. Several techniques have been employed to restrict this phenomenon, such as the "occlusion test, in which a blank area is systematically moved throughout the entire image, and the area with the greatest drop in predictive probability is considered to be the area with the highest probability for the algorithm to operate" ⁹⁰, or "saliency maps (heat maps) generation techniques, such as activation mapping, which, again, highlight areas that are relevant to classification decisions within an image"⁹¹. The visualization technique, despite its advancements, revealed non-traditional diagnostic interest areas in certain cases 92, and it is unclear as to whether or not the saliency analysis of the attributes of these regions should be factored into the analysis 93.

Secondly, there is a problem with external validation of algorithms. While many DL algorithms have been developed using publicly accessible datasets, the performance of some of these algorithms in "real-world" clinical practice environments has been questioned, despite the fact that many algorithms have been developed based on public datasets ⁹⁴. Because of the variations in several factors affecting the performance of these algorithms in clinical settings, including the quality of the imaging of the patient, the illumination, and the various methods of dilation, these algorithms

may perform worse in clinical settings.

Another topic of debate in the field of algorithm training is the existence of bias in the datasets used to train the algorithms. As well, bias in the training data used to build AI systems may contribute to a strengthening of the biases already present, while reducing the external applicability ⁹³. In order to identify possible biases and prevent undesirable results, it is necessary to rebalance the training dataset if a given minority is underrepresented. In addition, it is required to collect a training dataset with varied patient groups in order to identify possible biases. Among a number of ethnic groups, the training dataset used by Ting et al. ⁷⁶, who confirmed their algorithm for detecting diabetic retinopathy in a variety of ethnic groups, provides a good example of a training dataset that can be utilized in a variety of situations.

It is also important to consider the legal implications of applying Deep Learning (DL) algorithms to clinical practice ⁹⁵. There is a question that needs to be answered as to who will suffer the legal repercussions of an adverse event caused by an erroneous forecast provided by an artificial intelligence algorithm in the event of an adverse event? There are still many complicated medical legal issues that remain unresolved, even though it may appear that a machine is thinking like a human ophthalmologist, and might make errors ⁹⁶.

Conclusions

As we concluded, we discussed the primary areas in which artificial intelligence is likely to be utilized in the practice of ophthalmology in the near future. The use of artificial intelligence algorithms should be seen as an extra tool to support physicians, rather than as a substitute for them. With artificial intelligence, there are significant opportunities for streamlining

certain procedures, easing the burden on medical professionals, and eliminating diagnostic errors that can occur thanks to a lack of data integration. It is possible for artificial intelligence to extract characteristics from complicated and varied imaging modalities. This will enable us to find novel biomarkers and increase our understanding of illnesses in this way. We might be able to develop new innovative treatments for eye diseases as a result of this research. In addition, we could introduce new, automatically recognized diagnostic factors into clinical practice as a result of this research. As a result, the application of these technologies continues to face a variety of challenges including the validation of algorithms, patient acceptance of these technologies, as well as the education and training of healthcare professionals. The doctors must continue to work closely with data scientists, engineers, and technology experts in order to achieve high standards in terms of research and interdisciplinary clinical practice in order to keep up with the rapidly advancing models of care delivery.

Abbreviations

AI: Artificial intelligence ML: Machine Learning **DL:** Deep Learning **IOL:** Intraocular Lens **CAD:** Computer-Aided Diagnosis **NN:** Neural Network **CNN:** Convolutional Neural Network **GMPs:** General Practitioners CAIAR: Computer-Aided Image Analysis of the Retina **DME:** Diabetic Macular Edema **CNV:** Choroidal neovascularization **OCT:** Optical Coherence Tomography AMD: Age-related Macular Degeneration **DR:** Diabetic Retinopathy **ROP:** Retinopathy of Prematurity **AS-OCT:** Anterior Segment Optical Coherence Tomography

Authors ORCIDs

Azadeh Kavianfar: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0880-0291 Hamidreza Taherkhani: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5853-7260

References:

1. Nuzzi R, Boscia G, Marolo P, Ricardi F. The Impact of Artificial Intelligence and Deep Learning in Eye Diseases: A Review. Front Med. 2021;8:1–11.

2. McCarthy J, Minsky ML, Rochester N, Shannon CE. A proposal for the dartmouth summer research project on artificial intelligence, august 31, 1955. AI Mag. 2006;27(4):12.

3. Schwab K. The fourth industrial revolution. Currency; 2017.

4. Pham Q-V, Liyanage M, Deepa N, VVSS M, Reddy S, Maddikunta PKR, et al. Deep learning for intelligent demand response and smart grids: A comprehensive survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:210108013. 2021.

5. Rahimy E. Deep learning applications in ophthalmology. Curr Opin Ophthalmol. 2018;29(3):254–60.

6. OxfordSparks. What is Machine Learning?YouTube. 2017;0–19.

7. Litjens G, Kooi T, Bejnordi BE, Setio AAA, Ciompi F, Ghafoorian M, et al. A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. Med

Image Anal. 2017;42:60-88.

8. Shen D, Wu G, Suk H-I. Deep learning in medical image analysis. Annu Rev Biomed Eng. 2017;19:221.

9. Masoudi-Sobhanzadeh Y, Omidi Y, Amanlou M, Masoudi-Nejad A. DrugR+: A comprehensive relational database for drug repurposing, combination therapy, and replacement therapy. Comput Biol Med. 2019;109:254–62.

10. LeCun Y, Bengio Y, Hinton G. Deep learning. Nature. 2015;521(7553):436–44.

11. van Ginneken B. Fifty years of computer analysis in chest imaging: rule-based, machine learning, deep learning. Radiol Phys Technol. 2017;10(1):23–32.

12. Esteva A, Kuprel B, Novoa RA, Ko J, Swetter SM, Blau HM, et al. Dermatologistlevel classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. Nature. 2017;542(7639):115– 8.

13. Weng SF, Reps J, Kai J, Garibaldi JM, Qureshi N. Can machine-learning improve cardiovascular risk prediction using routine clinical data? PloS one. 2017;12(4):e0174944. 14. Bejnordi BE, Zuidhof G, Balkenhol M, Hermsen M, Bult P, van Ginneken B, et al. Context-aware stacked convolutional neural networks for classification of breast carcinomas in whole-slide histopathology images. J Med Imaging. 2017;4(4):44504.

15. Gulshan V, Peng L, Coram M, Stumpe MC, Wu D, Narayanaswamy A, et al. Development and validation of a deep learning algorithm for detection of diabetic retinopathy in retinal fundus photographs. Jama. 2016;316(22):2402–10.

16. Quellec G, Charrière K, Boudi Y, Cochener B, Lamard M. Deep image mining for diabetic retinopathy screening. Med Image Anal. 2017;39:178–93.

17. Alaeddini Z. A Review on Machine

Learning Methods in Diabetic Retinopathy Detection. J Ophthalmic Optom Sci. 2021;5(1). 18. Hooshmand SA, Zarei Ghobadi M, Hooshmand SE, Azimzadeh Jamalkandi S, Alavi SM, Masoudi-Nejad A. A multimodal deep learning-based drug repurposing approach for treatment of COVID-19. Mol Divers. 2021;25(3):1717–30.

19. Alaeddini Z. A Review of the Latest Machine Learning Advances in Cataract Diagnosis. J Ophthalmic Optom Sci. 2021;4(4):46–60.

20. Kavianfar A, Taherkhani H, Ghorbani F. Utilizing Microbiome Approaches for Antibiotic Resistance Analysis; an Ocular Case Evaluation. J Ophthalmic Optom Sci. 2021;5(1).

21. Kamal M, Shanto HI, Hossan MM, Hasnat A. A Comprehensive Review on the Diabetic Retinopathy, Glaucoma and Strabismus Detection Techniques Based on Machine Learning and Deep Learning. Eur J Med Heal Sci. 2022;4(2):24–40.

22. Harwerth RS, Carter-Dawson L, Shen F, Smith EL 3rd, Crawford ML. Ganglion cell losses underlying visual field defects from experimental glaucoma. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1999 Sep;40(10):2242–50.

23. Mayro EL, Wang M, Elze T, Pasquale LR. The impact of artificial intelligence in the diagnosis and management of glaucoma. Eye (Lond). 2020 Jan;34(1):1–11.

24. Varma R, Steinmann WC, Scott IU. Expert agreement in evaluating the optic disc for glaucoma. Ophthalmology. 1992 Feb;99(2):215–21.

25. Elze T, Pasquale LR, Shen LQ, Chen TC, Wiggs JL, Bex PJ. Patterns of functional vision loss in glaucoma determined with archetypal analysis. J R Soc Interface. 2015 Feb;12(103).
26. Wang M, Shen LQ, Pasquale LR, Petrakos P, Formica S, Boland M V, et al. An Artificial Intelligence Approach to Detect Visual Field

Progression in Glaucoma Based on Spatial Pattern Analysis. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2019 Jan;60(1):365–75.

27. Garcia G-GP, Lavieri MS, Andrews C, Liu X, Van Oyen MP, Kass MA, et al. Accuracy of Kalman Filtering in Forecasting Visual Field and Intraocular Pressure Trajectory in Patients With Ocular Hypertension. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2019 Dec;137(12):1416–23.

28. Kazemian P, Lavieri MS, Van Oyen MP, Andrews C, Stein JD. Personalized Prediction of Glaucoma Progression Under Different Target Intraocular Pressure Levels Using Filtered Forecasting Methods. Ophthalmology. 2018 Apr;125(4):569–77.

29. Wang L, Ding L, Liu Z, Sun L, Chen L, Jia R, et al. Automated identification of malignancy in whole-slide pathological images: identification of eyelid malignant melanoma in gigapixel pathological slides using deep learning. Br J Ophthalmol. 2020;104(3):318–23.

30. Lu J, Fan Z, Zheng C, Feng J, Huang L, Li W, et al. Automated strabismus detection for telemedicine applications. arXiv Prepr arXiv180902940. 2018.

31. Alaei S, Sadeghi B, Najafi A, Masoudi-Nejad A. LncRNA and mRNA integration network reconstruction reveals novel key regulators in esophageal squamous-cell carcinoma. Genomics. 2019;111(1):76–89.

32. Chen Z, Fu H, Lo W-L, Chi Z. Strabismus recognition using eye-tracking data and convolutional neural networks. J Healthc Eng. 2018;2018.

33. Gramatikov BI. Detecting central fixation by means of artificial neural networks in a pediatric vision screener using retinal birefringence scanning. Biomed Eng Online. 2017;16(1):1–23.

34. Yousefi S, Yousefi E, Takahashi H, Hayashi T, Tampo H, Inoda S, et al. Keratoconus severity

identification using unsupervised machine learning. PLoS One. 2018;13(11):e0205998.

35. Cao K, Verspoor K, Sahebjada S, Baird PN. Accuracy of Machine Learning Assisted Detection of Keratoconus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Vol. 11, Journal of Clinical Medicine . 2022.

36. Kamiya K, Ayatsuka Y, Kato Y, Fujimura F, Takahashi M, Shoji N, et al. Keratoconus detection using deep learning of colour-coded maps with anterior segment optical coherence tomography: a diagnostic accuracy study. BMJ Open. 2019;9(9):e031313.

37. Lavric A, Valentin P. KeratoDetect: Keratoconus Detection Algorithm Using Convolutional Neural Networks. Comput Intell Neurosci. 2019;2019:8162567.

38. Şahlı E, Gündüz K. Thyroid-associated Ophthalmopathy. Turkish J Ophthalmol. 2017 Apr;47(2):94–105.

39. Motieghader H, Kouhsar M, Najafi A, Sadeghi B, Masoudi-Nejad A. mRNA-miRNA bipartite network reconstruction to predict prognostic module biomarkers in colorectal cancer stage differentiation. Mol Biosyst. 2017 Sep;13(10):2168–80.

40. Chylack LT, Wolfe JK, Singer DM, Leske MC, Bullimore MA, Bailey IL, et al. The lens opacities classification system III. Arch Ophthalmol. 1993;111(6):831–6.

41. Mirzaie M, Bahremani E, Taheri N, Khamnian Z, Kharrazi B. Original Article Cataract Grading in Pure Senile Cataracts : Pentacam versus LOCS III. 17(3):337–43.

42. Li H, Lim JH, Liu J, Wong DWK, Tan NM, Lu S, et al. An automatic diagnosis system of nuclear cataract using slit-lamp images. In: 2009 Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. IEEE; 2009. p. 3693–6.

43. Xu Y, Gao X, Lin S, Wong DWK, Liu J, Xu D, et al. Automatic grading of nuclear

cataracts from slit-lamp lens images using group sparsity regression. In: International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention. Springer; 2013. p. 468–75.

44. Gao X, Lin S, Wong TY. Automatic feature learning to grade nuclear cataracts based on deep learning. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 2015;62(11):2693–701.

45. Wu X, Huang Y, Liu Z, Lai W, Long E, Zhang K, et al. Universal artificial intelligence platform for collaborative management of cataracts. Br J Ophthalmol. 2019;103(11):1553–60.

46. Dong Y, Zhang Q, Qiao Z, Yang J-J. Classification of cataract fundus image based on deep learning. In: 2017 IEEE international conference on imaging systems and techniques (IST). IEEE; 2017. p. 1–5.

47. Zhang L, Li J, Han H, Liu B, Yang J, Wang Q. Automatic cataract detection and grading using deep convolutional neural network. In: 2017 IEEE 14th international conference on networking, sensing and control (ICNSC). IEEE; 2017. p. 60–5.

48. Ran J, Niu K, He Z, Zhang H, Song H. Cataract detection and grading based on combination of deep convolutional neural network and random forests. In: 2018 international conference on network infrastructure and digital content (IC-NIDC). IEEE; 2018. p. 155–9.

49. Xu X, Zhang L, Li J, Guan Y, Zhang L. A hybrid global-local representation CNN model for automatic cataract grading. IEEE J Biomed Heal informatics. 2019;24(2):556–67. 50. Elloumi Y. Cataract grading method based on deep convolutional neural networks and stacking ensemble learning. Int J Imaging Syst Technol. 2022 May 1;32(3):798–814.

51. Zhang H, Niu K, Xiong Y, Yang W, He Z, Song H. Automatic cataract grading methods

based on deep learning. Comput Methods Programs Biomed. 2019;182:104978.

52. Lin H, Li R, Liu Z, Chen J, Yang Y, Chen H, et al. Diagnostic efficacy and therapeutic decision-making capacity of an artificial intelligence platform for childhood cataracts in eye clinics: a multicentre randomized controlled trial. EClinicalMedicine. 2019;9:52–9.

53. Liu X, Jiang J, Zhang K, Long E, Cui J, Zhu M, et al. Localization and diagnosis framework for pediatric cataracts based on slit-lamp images using deep features of a convolutional neural network. PLoS One. 2017;12(3):e0168606.

54. Clark TY, Clark RA. Atropine 0.01 % eyedrops significantly reduce the progression of childhood myopia. J Ocul Pharmacol Ther. 2015;31(9):541–5.

55. Han X, Liu C, Chen Y, He M. Myopia prediction: a systematic review. Eye. 2022;36(5):921–9.

56. Lin H, Long E, Ding X, Diao H, Chen Z, Liu R, et al. Prediction of myopia development among Chinese school-aged children using refraction data from electronic medical records: A retrospective, multicentre machine learning study. PLoS Med. 2018 Nov;15(11):e1002674. 57. Krause J, Gulshan V, Rahimy E, Karth P, Widner K, Corrado GS, et al. Grader Variability and the Importance of Reference Standards for Evaluating Machine Learning Models for Diabetic Retinopathy. Ophthalmology. 2018 Aug;125(8):1264–72.

58. Ma Y, Xiong J, Zhu Y, Ge Z, Hua R, Fu M, et al. Development and validation of a deep learning algorithm using fundus photographs to predict 10-year risk of ischemic cardiovascular diseases among Chinese population. medRxiv. 2021.

59. Olson JA, Sharp PF, Fleming A, Philip S. Evaluation of a system for automatic detection

of diabetic retinopathy from color fundus photographs in a large population of patients with diabetes: response to Abramoff et al. Vol. 31, Diabetes care. 2008. p. e63; author reply e64.

60. Abràmoff MD, Folk JC, Han DP, Walker JD, Williams DF, Russell SR, et al. Automated analysis of retinal images for detection of referable diabetic retinopathy. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2013 Mar;131(3):351–7.

61. Solanki K, Ramachandra C, Bhat S, Bhaskaranand M, Nittala MG, Sadda SR. EyeArt: Automated, High-throughput, Image Analysis for Diabetic Retinopathy Screening. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2015 Jun 11;56(7):1429.

62. Gargeya R, Leng T. Automated Identification of Diabetic Retinopathy Using Deep Learning. Ophthalmology. 2017 Jul;124(7):962–9.

63. Moral-Pumarega MT, Caserío-Carbonero

S, De-La-Cruz-Bértolo J, Tejada-Palacios P, Lora-Pablos D, Pallás-Alonso CR. Pain and stress assessment after retinopathy of prematurity screening examination: indirect ophthalmoscopy versus digital retinal imaging. BMC Pediatr. 2012 Aug;12:132.

64. Heneghan C, Flynn J, O'Keefe M, Cahill M. Characterization of changes in blood vessel width and tortuosity in retinopathy of prematurity using image analysis. Med Image Anal. 2002 Dec;6(4):407–29.

65. Rabinowitz MP, Grunwald JE, Karp KA, Quinn GE, Ying G-S, Mills MD. Progression to severe retinopathy predicted by retinal vessel diameter between 31 and 34 weeks of postconception age. Arch Ophthalmol (Chicago, Ill 1960). 2007 Nov;125(11):1495– 500.

66. Yang MB. Re: A pilot study using "ROPtool" to quantify plus disease in retinopathy of prematurity. Vol. 11, Journal of AAPOS :

the official publication of the American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus. United States; 2007. p. 630–1; author reply 631.

67. Wilson CM, Cocker KD, Moseley MJ, Paterson C, Clay ST, Schulenburg WE, et al. Computerized analysis of retinal vessel width and tortuosity in premature infants. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2008 Aug;49(8):3577-85. 68. Worrall DE, Wilson CM, Brostow GJ. Automated Retinopathy of Prematurity Case Detection with Convolutional Neural Networks BT - Deep Learning and Data Labeling for Medical Applications. In: Carneiro G, Mateus D, Peter L, Bradley A, Tavares JMRS, Belagiannis V, et al., editors. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2016. p. 68-76.

69. Redd TK, Campbell JP, Brown JM, Kim SJ, Ostmo S, Chan RVP, et al. Evaluation of a deep learning image assessment system for detecting severe retinopathy of prematurity. British Journal of Ophthalmology. 2019;103(5):580-4.
70. Pratap T, Kokil P. Computer-aided diagnosis of cataract using deep transfer learning. Biomed Signal Process Control. 2019;53:101533.

71. Shah DN, Wilson CM, Ying G, Karp KA, Fielder AR, Ng J, et al. Semiautomated digital image analysis of posterior pole vessels in retinopathy of prematurity. JAAPOS OffPubl Am Assoc Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus. 2009 Oct;13(5):504–6.

72. Swanson C, Cocker KD, Parker KH, Moseley MJ, Fielder AR. Semiautomated computer analysis of vessel growth in preterm infants without and with ROP. Br J Ophthalmol. 2003 Dec;87(12):1474–7.

73. Treder M, Lauermann JL, Eter N. Automated detection of exudative age-related macular degeneration in spectral domain optical coherence tomography using deep

learning. Graefe's Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol = Albr von Graefes Arch fur Klin und Exp Ophthalmol. 2018 Feb;256(2):259–65.

74. Matsuba S, Tabuchi H, Ohsugi H, Enno H, Ishitobi N, Masumoto H, et al. Accuracy of ultra-wide-field fundus ophthalmoscopyassisted deep learning, a machine-learning technology, for detecting age-related macular degeneration. Int Ophthalmol. 2019 Jun;39(6):1269–75.

75. Keel S, Li Z, Scheetz J, Robman L, Phung J, Makeyeva G, et al. Development and validation of a deep-learning algorithm for the detection of neovascular age-related macular degeneration from colour fundus photographs. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol. 2019 Nov;47(8):1009–18.

76. Ting DSW, Cheung CY-L, Lim G, Tan GSW, Quang ND, Gan A, et al. Development and Validation of a Deep Learning System for Diabetic Retinopathy and Related Eye Diseases Using Retinal Images From Multiethnic Populations With Diabetes. JAMA. 2017 Dec;318(22):2211–23.

77. Pantanowitz L, Dickinson K, Evans AJ, Hassell LA, Henricks WH, Lennerz JK, et al. American Telemedicine Association clinical guidelines for telepathology. J Pathol Inform. 2014;5(1):39.

78. Gelman R, Jiang L, Du YE, Martinez-Perez ME, Flynn JT, Chiang MF. Plus disease in retinopathy of prematurity: pilot study of computer-based and expert diagnosis. J AAPOS Off Publ Am Assoc Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus. 2007 Dec;11(6):532– 40.

79. Nuzzi R, Marolo P, Nuzzi A. The Huband-Spoke Management of Glaucoma. Front Neurosci. 2020;14:180.

80. Gunasekeran D V, Tham Y-C, Ting DSW, Tan GSW, Wong TY. Digital health during COVID-19: lessons from operationalising new models of care in ophthalmology. Lancet Digit Heal. 2021 Feb;3(2):e124–34.

81. Pawar N, Maheshwari D, Meenakshi R. COVID-19 myopia, myopia of pandemic: Are we heading towards unpredictable high myopic era? Indian J Ophthalmol. 2022;70(8).
82. Gunasekeran DV, Tseng RMWW, Tham Y-C, Wong TY. Applications of digital health for public health responses to COVID-19: a systematic scoping review of artificial intelligence, telehealth and related technologies. NPJ Digit Med. 2021 Feb;4(1):40.

83. Ittoop SM, SooHoo JR, Seibold LK, Mansouri K, Kahook MY. Systematic Review of Current Devices for 24-h Intraocular Pressure Monitoring. Adv Ther. 2016 Oct;33(10):1679–90.

84. Wisse RPL, Muijzer MB, Cassano F, Godefrooij DA, Prevoo YFDM, Soeters N. Validation of an Independent Web-Based Tool for Measuring Visual Acuity and Refractive Error (the Manifest versus Online Refractive Evaluation Trial): Prospective Open-Label Noninferiority Clinical Trial. J Med Internet Res. 2019 Nov;21(11):e14808.

85. Armstrong GW, Lorch AC. A(eye): A Review of Current Applications of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Ophthalmology. Int Ophthalmol Clin. 2020;60(1):57–71.

86. Nielsen KB, Lautrup ML, Andersen JKH, Savarimuthu TR, Grauslund J. Deep Learning-Based Algorithms in Screening of Diabetic Retinopathy: A Systematic Review of Diagnostic Performance. Ophthalmol Retin. 2019 Apr;3(4):294–304.

87. Islam MM, Yang H-C, Poly TN, Jian W-S, Jack Li Y-C. Deep learning algorithms for detection of diabetic retinopathy in retinal fundus photographs: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Comput Methods Programs Biomed. 2020 Jul;191:105320.

88. Maddox TM, Rumsfeld JS, Payne PRO. Questions for Artificial Intelligence in Health Care. JAMA. 2019 Jan;321(1):31–2.

89. Stead WW. Clinical Implications and Challenges of Artificial Intelligence and Deep Learning. Vol. 320, JAMA. United States; 2018. p. 1107–8.

90. Zeiler MD, Fergus R. Visualizing and Understanding Convolutional Networks BT
Computer Vision – ECCV 2014. In: Fleet D, Pajdla T, Schiele B, Tuytelaars T, editors. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2014. p. 818–33.

91. Zhou B, Khosla A, Lapedriza A, Oliva A, Torralba A. Learning deep features for discriminative localization. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2016. p. 2921–9.

92. Keel S, Wu J, Lee PY, Scheetz J, He M. Visualizing Deep Learning Models for the Detection of Referable Diabetic Retinopathy and Glaucoma. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2019 Mar;137(3):288–92.

93. Liu TYA, Bressler NM. Controversies in

artificial intelligence. Curr Opin Ophthalmol. 2020 Sep;31(5):324–8.

94. Kanagasingam Y, Xiao D, Vignarajan J, Preetham A, Tay-Kearney M-L, Mehrotra A. Evaluation of Artificial Intelligence-Based Grading of Diabetic Retinopathy in Primary Care. JAMA Netw open. 2018 Sep;1(5):e182665.

95. Abbasi K, Razzaghi P, Poso A, Ghanbari-Ara S, Masoudi-Nejad A. Deep Learning in Drug Target Interaction Prediction: Current and Future Perspectives. Curr Med Chem. 2021;28(11):2100–13.

96. Sullivan HR, Schweikart SJ. Are Current Tort Liability Doctrines Adequate for Addressing Injury Caused by AI? AMA J ethics. 2019 Feb;21(2):E160-166.

Footnotes and Financial Disclosures

Conflict of interest:

The authors have no conflict of interest with the subject matter of the present manuscript.