

<u>APPLIED FOOD BIOTECHNOLOGY, 2021, 8 (2):121-132</u> Journal homepage: www.journals.sbmu.ac.ir/afb pISSN: 2345-5357 eISSN: 2423-4214

Lactic Acid Bacteria Producing Sorbic Acid and Benzoic Acid Compounds from Fermented Durian Flesh (Tempoyak) and Their Antibacterial Activities Against Foodborne Pathogenic Bacteria

Fajriah Salleh^{1,2}, Mohd Nizam Lani², Tuan Zainazor Tuan Chilek², Noor Azlina Kamaruding³, Noraznawati Ismail^{3*}

1- Ministry of Health, Terengganu State Health Department, Food Safety and Quality Laboratory, D/A Klinik Kesihatan Bukit

Tunggal,21200 Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia

2-Faculty of Fisheries and Food Science, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, 21030 Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia 3-Institute of Marine Biotechnology, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, 21030 Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia

Abstract

Background and Objective: Antibacterial compounds produced by lactic acid bacteria are believed to replace functions of chemical preservatives. The objectives of this study were to identify lactic acid bacteria, which produced antibacterial compounds, from fermented durian flesh (tempoyak) and to assess antibacterial activities of the isolates.

Material and Methods: Two bacterial identification techniques were used, including API 50 CHL kit with supplementary medium and matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF/MS).

Results and Conclusion: Four various lactic acid bacteria strains of *Lactobacillus buchneri*, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus brevis* I and *Lactobacillus acidophilus* I were identified using API 50 CHL Kit and five various others of *Lactobacillus paracasei* DSM 2649, *Lactobacillus buchneri* DSM 20057T, *Lactobacillus parabuchneri* DSM 57069, *Lactobacillus paracasei* DSM 20020 and *Lactobacillus farcimini* CIP 103136T using MALDI-TOF/MS. Cell-free supernatant extracted from *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus buchneri*, *Lactobacillus brevis* I and *Lactobacillus acidophilus* I included strong inhibitory effects against *Vibrio cholera* O1 (Inaba type), *Vibrio cholera* O139 (Bengal type), *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* ATCC 14028 and a total of 23 serotypes of *Salmonella* spp. associated with outbreaks of food poisoning from raw chicken, egg shell and water samples. Only *Lactobacillus buchneri* DSM 20057T was identified by MALDI-TOF/MS as a strain producing sorbic and benzoic acids. This strain can potentially be used as food preservative to decrease growth of foodborne pathogenic bacteria.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article

Salleh F, Lani MN, Tuan Chilek TZ, Kamaruding NA, Ismail N. Lactic Acid Bacteria Producing Sorbic Acid and Benzoic Acid Compounds from Fermented Durian Flesh (Tempoyak) and Their Antibacterial Activities Against Foodborne Pathogenic Bacteria. Appl Food Biotechnol 2021; 8(2):121-132. http://dx.doi.org/10.22037/afb.v8i2.32749

1. Introduction

Food contamination with pathogenic bacteria has become a global concern since it can result in severe hazards to human health [1,2]. The particular interests are due to a global outbreaks associated to *Vibrio* (*V.*) *cholera* and *Escherichia coli* O157, causing tremendously high numbers of fatalities worldwide. From 1998 to 2008, more than 13,000 cases of food poisoning outbreaks were documented in the United States [3]. In 2018, the foodborne diseases active surveillance network (FoodNet) identified 25,606 cases of infections; from which, 5893 were hospitalizations and/or dead [4]. Food poisoning incidences in schools of Malaysia have increased by 57% from 30 incidences in 2015 to 45 incidences in 2016 [5,6]. Despite stringent rules of the ministry of health to govern hygienic practices of local food handlers, food poisoning cases are still high. The major causative factor behind food poisoning outbreaks in

Article Information

Article history:					
Received	1 Nov 2020				
Revised	28 Nov 2020				

5 January 2021

Keywords:

Accepted

.....

- Antibacterial Activity
- Cell Free Supernatant
- Chemical Preservatives
- Lactic Acid Bacteria
- Tempoyak (Durian Flesh)

*Corresponding author: Noraznawati Ismail, Institute of Marine Biotechnology, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, 21030 Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia Tel: +6019-9325549

E-mail: noraznawati@umt.edu.my Malaysia is the hot and humid weather that promotes the growth of pathogenic bacteria. Food poisoning becomes more common as drug resistance increases as a result of incorrect use of antibiotics in treatments. Recently, use of farming practices, natural antibiotics, nano-antibiotics, lactic acid bacteria (LAB), bacteriocins, cyclopeptides, bacteriophages, synthetic biology and predatory bacteria have been studied to replace traditional antibiotics [7]. Fermented durian flesh or tempoyak is one of the valuable food heritage products in Malaysia and Indonesia, which is usually served as a condiment with local herbs [8,9]. Malaysians typically produce tempoyak by fermenting durian pulps in closed jars for up to several days (4-7 days). After this time, fermentation process produces an acidic and strongly flavored semi-solid durian flesh with a pH range of 3.96-4.08 [9,10]. It has previously been verified by [11] that tempoyak includes a variable diversity of LAB microflora depending on the quality of the durian flesh. Recently, LAB strains have been reported to suppress growth of pathogenic bacteria [12,13]. These bacteria include probiotic properties with health benefits [14-16]. However, no studies are available on public safety of tempoyak consumption, indicating that tempoyak is safe.

Lactobacillus spp. are the predominant LAB microflora in tempoyak. However, types of LAB microflora are affected by the places, where the products are prepared [17]. Various LAB strains such as Lactobacillus (L). plantarum, L. brevis, L. mali, L. fermentum have been isolated from tempoyak in Malaysia [11-14,18-20]. In contrast, tempoyak from Indonesia has been reported to contain LAB strains such as L. plantarum, L. casei, L. corynebacterium and L. casei [21,22]. Although LAB metabolites are able to inhibit the growth of pathogenic bacteria, they are categorised as generally recognised as safe [23]. Furthermore, LAB have been verified to help trigger immune reactions, prevent contaminations of enteropathogenic bacteria and treat diarrhoea [24]. Mostly, supernatants of LAB such as L. casei and L. rahmnosus include several antimicrobial components in forms of organic acids, hydrogen peroxide, aroma components, fatty acids and low-molecular weight compounds that can destroy pathogenic bacteria [25,26].

Therefore, it is critical to identify novel LAB strains from Malaysian tempoyak to prevent outbreaks of pathogenic bacteria. Furthermore, use of LAB in foods has become popular, compared to toxic chemical preservatives. Thus, purposes of this study were to identify LAB from tempoyak that produced antibacterial compounds and to assess antibacterial activities of these LAB.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling of fermented durian flesh (tempoyak)

A total of eight samples of fermented durian flesh (approximately 100 g each) were purchased from local sellers in food markets of Kuala Terengganu and Marang, Terengganu, Malaysia. These samples were labelled as T001, T002, T004, T006, T007, T008, T009 and T010 and

transferred to the Food Microbiology Laboratory, Faculty of Fisheries and Food Science at University Malaysia Terengganu, Terengganu, Malaysia, using cold chain. After arrival, samples were stored at 4 °C until use.

2.2. Isolation of Lactic Acid Bacteria

Isolation of LAB was carried out based on a method by Muhialdin et al. [18] with some modifications. Approximately, 25 g of the fermented durian flesh were diluted with 0.1% sterile peptone water (Oxoid, UK) at a ratio of 1:10. Samples were homogenised to achieve six serial dilutions (10⁻¹, 10⁻², 10⁻³, 10⁻⁴, 10⁻⁵ and 10⁻⁶). Then, 100-µl aliquot of each sample was spread onto four selective media of de Man, Rogosa and Sharpe agar (MRSA), MRSA with 0.8% calcium carbonate, tomato juice agar (TJA) and M17 agar. All media were purchased from Oxoid, UK. Various types of selective media (MRSA, MRSA and CaCO₃, TJA and M17 agar) were used to isolate LAB species from tempoyak samples. All plates were incubated anaerobically at 30 °C with 5% of carbon dioxide (CO₂) using anaerobic incubator for 48-72 h. Presumptive LAB colonies were Gram-positive rod or cocci, which were typically identified based on morphological characteristics as colony-forming flat, rough grey to whitish-like bacteria with irregular edges and diameters of 1-3 mm.

2.3. Identification of LAB isolates using biochemical tests and matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation-time of flight mass spectrometry

Briefly, LAB isolates were identified using two various techniques of 1) API 50 CHL Kit with supplementary media, and 2) matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisationtime of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF/MS). The presumptive isolates of LAB that were Gram-positive rod or cocci and included negative results for catalase and oxidase tests were preserved in a semi-solid agar of MRS covered with 20% glycerol. In biochemical tests using API 50 CHL Kit with supplementary media (BioMerieux, Montalieu-Vercieu, France), color changes for 50-wells carbohydrate fermentation strips of the isolates were assessed after incubation at 35 °C for 48 h. Then, API profiles were analysed using API profile index number and API Web System (BioMerieux, Montalieu-Vercieu, France). The second identification was carried out using MALDI-TOF/MS. The protocol was adapted from Callaway et al. [27] with some modifications. Eight isolates of LAB were identified using direct transfer and smears of biological materials on target plates by the addition of 1 μ l of α -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid matrix (HCCA) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). The matrix was dissolved with a standard solvent system containing acetonitrile, water and trifluoroacetic acid at a ratio of 20:19:1. Then, compounds were identified using MALDI-TOF/MS (Bruker, Germany). Mass spectra of the compounds were achieved using MALDI Biotyper Software V.3.0 with at least 10^4 CFU/ml.

2.4. Preparation of the cell-Free supernatant of LAB Strains

Four strains from the identified LAB *L. plantarum* (V1), *L. buchneri* (V8), *L. brevis* I (V11) and *L. acidophilus* I (V14)] using API technique were harvested aerobically at 30 °C for 24 h in MRS broth until they reached a density of nearly 10^7 CFU ml⁻¹. Then, Cell-free supernatant (CFS) from each LAB strain was prepared by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 5 min. Supernatants were collected for their antibacterial activities according to Perez et al. [28].

2.5. Antibacterial screening of the cell-Free supernatant of Lactic Acid Bacteria strains using well diffusion method based on Perez et al. [28]

Antibacterial activity of the CFS for each LAB strain was assessed against 23 types of Salmonella spp. as well as other associated pure cultures and ATCC bacteria using well-diffusion method and method described by Perez et al. [28]. A total of 23 serotypes of Salmonella spp. From the outbreaks of food poisoning were collected from the Laboratory of Food Safety and Quality Division, Terengganu and Kelantan Departments of Health, Ministry of Health, Malaysia. Other bacterial strains such as S. typhimurium ATCC 14028, V. cholera non O1-0139 (Bengal type), V. cholera O1 (Inaba type), V. parahaemolyticus ATCC 17802, E. coli ATCC 11795 and E. coli O157 cultures were provided by the Microbiological Unit, Food Safety and Quality Division, Terengganu, Ministry of Health, Malaysia. All strains of the pathogenic bacteria were involved in foodborne diarrhoeal cases in Malaysia or other countries. In total, 23 strains of Salmonella spp., S. typhimurium ATCC 14028 and pathogenic strains were diluted using 0.1% peptone water to achieve 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard. Targeted pathogenic bacteria were freshly cultured onto Muller-Hilton agar (Merck, Germany). Then, 6-mm diameter size wells were prepared in plates and a total of 80 µl of CFS from each LAB strain were transferred into each well. All plates were aerobically incubated at 37 °C for 18-24 h. Then, zones of inhibition were measured and reported. The MRS broth with no bacteria was used as negative and ampicillin (500 μ g ml⁻¹) was used as positive controls.

2.6. Identification of bioactive compounds from semipurified cell-free supernatant of *L. buchneri* DSM 20057T using high-performance liquid chromatography

Semi-purification of CFS from *L. buchneri* DSM 20057T was carried out following the method described by Brown et al. [29] using series of methanol fractions at concentrations of 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90 and 100% into a Sep-Pak C18 Solid Phase Extraction Cartridge Column (Agilent Technologies, USA) to separate their bioactive compounds before identifying the compounds using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Then, methanol fractions at 70 and 80% were pooled and

10-µl filtrates were injected into HPLC using ZORBAX C18 Column (Agilent Technologies, USA) with dimensions of 150 mm (length) \times 4.1 mm (width) \times 5 µm (internal diameter). This was allowed to flow at a rate of 1.0 ml min⁻¹, column temperature of 40 °C and detection of wavelength at 227 nm. The mobile phase was prepared using a mixture of 1900 ml of 0.03 M phosphate buffer (3.8 g of K₂HPO₄ and 5 g of KH₂PO₄ in 21 of distilled water, pH 6.5) and 100 ml of 95% methanol. Then, samples from C18 Solid Phase Extraction Column were concentrated and loaded onto a C18 Column (TSK gel Tosho Bioscience, USA). Benzoic and sorbic acids (40 mg/kg each) were prepared as positive controls and 4-aceramidophenol as internal standard. Moreover, MRS broth was used as negative control. Presence of benzoic and sorbic acids was verified by not more than 5% retention time differences between the sample and standard solution peaks and spectral index capabilities of a photo-diode array detector (FQC laboratory method, E04-002, 2013). For the assessment of sorbic acid and benzoic acid concentrations, the Eq. 1was used [29]:

Compound concentration $(mg/kg) = \underline{HPLC \text{ reading } (mg/L)}$ $\times \underline{final \text{ volume } (ml)}$ Eq. 1

Weight (g)

3. Results and Discussion

The LAB count of the samples varied based on the type of media, ranging 10^4 – 10^6 CFU/g (Table 1). All LAB isolated from tempoyak were identified as Gram-positive rods and were negative for catalase and oxidase assays (Table 1). In this study, morphology of the presumptive *Lactobacillus* spp. on MRSA was less common reported and differentiated from the regular *Lactobacillus* strains. Strains were irregular or included rough marginal shapes.

Out of eight isolated LAB using API 50 CHL Kit and MALDI-TOF/MS, four isolates (V3, V7, V8, V9 and V13) included similar identification results of *L. buchneri* (Table 2). However, four LAB isolates (V1, V11, V9 and V14) showed contradictory results of species identification, comparing the two methods (Table 2 and Figure 1(a,b)).

After 24-48 h of incubation, LAB isolates demonstrated color changes with various sugar compositions. The *L. plantarum* and *L. brevis* showed positive reactions with at least 24 sugar types (Table 3). Moreover, *L. buchneri* and *L. acidophilus* showed positive reactions with a fewer sugar types of 12 and 15, respectively (Table 3). All LAB isolates produced six sugar types such as galactose, D-glucose, D-fructose, D-mannose, maltose and saccharose. Eight sugar types, including N-acetyl glucosamine, amygdaline, salicine, cellobiose, lactose, trehalose, β -gentibiose and D-turanose, were produced by all LAB isolates, except *L. buchneri*. The *L. plantarum* produced rhamnose, D-raffinose, β -methyl-D-mannoside and gluconate, compared to other LAB isolates.

Sample ID	Media	Basic Confirmation Tests			LAB count (CFU g ⁻¹)
Sample ID	Media	Gram's Staining	Catalase	Oxidase	
T001	MRS Agar	+	-	-	2.0 X 10 ⁶
	MRS + 0.8 % CaCO ₃	N/A	N/A	N/A	NG
	TJA	N/A	N/A	N/A	NG
	M17	N/A	N/A	N/A	NG
	MRS Agar	+	-	-	4.4 X 10 ⁶
T002	MRS + 0.8 % CaCO ₃	N/A	N/A	N/A	NG
1002	TJA	N/A	N/A	N/A	NG
	M17	N/A	N/A	N/A	NG
	MRS Agar	+	-	-	3.0 X 10 ⁶
T004	MRS + 0.8 % CaCO ₃	N/A	N/A	N/A	NG
1004	TJA	+	-	-	4.2 X 10 ⁶
	M17	+	-	-	3.7 X 10 ⁶
T006	MRS Agar	+	-	-	3.0 X 10 ⁵
	MRS + 0.8 % CaCO ₃	+	-	-	2.5 X 10 ⁵
	TJA	+	-	-	$2.0 \ge 10^5$
	M17	N/A	N/A	N/A	NG
T007	MRS Agar	+	-	-	5.7 X 10 ⁶
	MRS + 0.8 % CaCO ₃	+	-	-	$2.0 \ge 10^{6}$
	TJA	+	-	-	5.4 X 10 ⁶
	M17	N/A	N/A	N/A	NG
	MRS Agar	+	-	-	$3.4 \ge 10^{6}$
T008	MRS + 0.8 % CaCO ₃	+	-	-	$4.0 \ge 10^{6}$
1008	TJA	+	-	-	4.4 X 10 ⁶
	M17	+	-	-	$1.0 \ge 10^{6}$
	MRS Agar	+	-	-	5.6 X 10 ⁵
T000	MRS + 0.8 % CaCO3	+	-	-	$4.0 \ge 10^4$
1009	TJA	+	-	-	8.1 X 10 ⁵
	M17	N/A	N/A	N/A	NG
	MRS Agar	+	-	-	1.9 X 10 ⁵
T010	MRS + 0.8 % CaCO3	+	-	-	$1.4 \ge 10^5$
1010	TJA	+	-	-	1.7 X 10 ⁵
	M17	N/A	N/A	N/A	NG

Table 1. Bacterial colony counts and basic characteristics of lactic acid bacteria in various culture media

*NG: No growth, N/A: Not applied, Lactic Acid Bacteria: LAB

Table 2. (Comparisons of	f API 50 CHL	Kit and MALDI-	FOF/MS identification	n methods for lac	tic acid bacterial	isolates
------------	----------------	--------------	----------------	------------------------------	-------------------	--------------------	----------

	API 50 CHL	MALDI-TOF/MS			
Sample ID	Proportion of similarity (%)	Identification of genus	NCBI Identifier	Score value	Identification of genus
V1	99.9	L. plantarum	47714	2.396	L. paracasei* DSM 2649
V3	96.4	L. buchneri	1581	1.701	L. buchneri DSM 20057T
V7	99.6	L. buchneri	1581	2.08	L. buchneri DSM 20057T
V8	99.6	L. buchneri	1581	2.08	L. buchneri* DSM 20057T
V9	99.6	L. buchneri	152331	1.74	L. parabuchneri DSM 57069
V11	99.6	L. brevis I	47714	2.443	L. paracasei DSM 20020 DSM
V13	99.7	L. buchneri	1581	1.89	L. buchneri DSM 20057T
V14	95.5	L. acidophilus I	1612	2.053	L. farciminis CIP 103136T

* An example of MALDI-TOF mass spectra of L. paracasei, V1 (Figure 1a) and L. buchneri, V8 (Figure 1b), L. = lactobacillus

Insulin was detected in *L. brevis*, while 5-ceto-gluconate was detected in *L. buchneri*. Only *L. acidophilus* was not able to produce L-arabinose, ribose and melizitose. Furthermore, *L. plantarum* and *L. brevis* could produce mannitol, sorbitol and arbutine. Three separate sugar types of melibiose, D-tagalose and D-xylose were reported in three combinations of LAB strains, including *L. plantarum* and *L. buchneri*, *L. brevis* and *L. acidophilus* and *L. buchneri* and *L. brevis*, respectively.

In general, *L. plantarum* (V1) showed strong inhibition against 17 strains of *Salmonella* spp. (inhibition zone diameters of 16-20 mm) (Table 4). Moreover, *L. plantarum* demonstrated intermediate inhibition against seven strains of *Salmonella* spp. (inhibition zone diameters of 11-14 mm) (Table 4).

The *L. buchneri* (V8) included strong inhibition against 13 strains of *Salmonella* spp. (inhibition zone diameter of 15-21 mm) (Table 4).

Control - </th <th>Sugar Fermentation</th> <th>L. plantarum (V1)</th> <th>L. buchneri (V3 V7 V8 V9 V13)</th> <th>L. brevis</th> <th>L. acidophilus (V14)</th>	Sugar Fermentation	L. plantarum (V1)	L. buchneri (V3 V7 V8 V9 V13)	L. brevis	L. acidophilus (V14)
GlycerolErythriolD-ArabinoseL-Arabinose+++-L'Arbhinose+++-Ribose-++-D-SyloseL'AyloseAdonitolB-Methyl XyloseGalactose++++D-Tructose+++D-Tructose+++D-TructoseL'AstorboseIbenitol+Rhamose+DubiciolJostolManitol+Schribul-D-gamoside+Manitol+Schribul-D-galucosatineAsculfatine+Stalinine+Stalinine+Stalinine+Stalinine+MattoreStalinine+Stalinine- <t< th=""><th>Control</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th></t<>	Control	-	-	-	-
PriprintolD-ArabinoseL-Arabinose++Ribose+++-RiboseD-XyloseAdointolB-Methyl XyloseB-Methyl Xylose++++D-Glucose++++D-Glucose++++D-GlucoseD-ManozeDuctiolNatorlMannicol+Mannicol+Mannicol+Mannicol+Mannicol+Mannicol+Mannicol+Mannicol+Mannicol+Mannicol+Mannicol+Mannicol+Mannicol+Mann	Glycerol	-	-	-	-
D-rationse - - - L-Arabinose + + + - L-Arabinose + + - - Bibose + + + - D'Nylose - - - - D'Nylose - - - - Adonitol - - - - B-Methyl Xylose - - - - Galactose + + + + + D-fructose + + + + + D-Manose + + + + + D-Manose - - - - - Daktono - - - - - Description - - - - - Description + - - - - Description - - - <th>Erythritol</th> <th>-</th> <th>_</th> <th>_</th> <th>-</th>	Erythritol	-	_	_	-
L μ μ μ μ μ μ Rbose 4 4 - - - D-Xylose - - - - - Adoniol - - - - - Adoniol - - - - - Galactose + + + + + D-Fructose + + + + + D-Manose + + + + + + D-Manose + - - - - - Mannose + - - - - - - Mannitol - - - - - - - Mannitol + - - - - - - Mannitol + - - - - - Manyduline	D-Arabinose	-	_	_	-
Altomotion + + + - D.Xylose - + + - Adonitol - - - - Adonitol - - - - B-Methyl Xylose - - - - Glakotose + + + + D-Glucose + + + + D-Monose + + + + L-Sorboxe - - - - Dubiciol - - - - - Dubiciol - - - - - D-Monose + - - - - Dalciol - - - - - Definitol - - - - - Adminol - - - - - Sorbitol - - - <td< th=""><th>L-Arabinose</th><th>+</th><th>+</th><th>+</th><th>_</th></td<>	L-Arabinose	+	+	+	_
Lacks · · · D-Xylose - - - L-Xylose - - - Adonitol - - - B-Methyl Xylose - - - Galactose + + + + D-Glucose + + + + D-Manose + + + + D-Manose - - - - Rhamnose + - - - - Duktiol - - - - - Iositol - - - - - Maminol + - - - - Sorbitol - - - - - Mathyl-D-glucoside - - - - - Sorbitol - - - - - - Methyl-D-glucosi	Ribose	+	+	+	_
L'Aylose - - - Adoniol - - - B-Methyl Xylose - - - Glatcose + + + D-Glucose + + + D-Fructose + + + D-Manose + + + L-Sorbose - - - Rhamnose + + + + Dulcitol - - - - Mannitol - - - - - Mannitol + - - - - Mannitol + - - - - Mannitol + - - - - Sorbitol + - - - - Manitol - - - - - Manitol - - - - - <th>D-Xvlose</th> <th>-</th> <th>+</th> <th>+</th> <th>_</th>	D-Xvlose	-	+	+	_
Adonitol - - - B-Methyl Xylose - - - Galactose + + + D-Glucose + + + D-Functose + + + D-Manose + + + D-Manose - - - Rhamnose - - - - Dulcitol - - - - Inositol - - - - Mannitol + - - - - Mannitol + - - - - - Mannitol + -<	L-Xylose	_	_	-	_
Investion - - - Galactose + + + D-Glucose + + + D-Fructose + + + D-Manose + + + D-Manose + + + D-Manose + - - Rhamnose + - - - Dukichol - - - - Mannitol - - - - Sorbiol - - - - Sorbiol + - - - Mangaline - - - - Arbutine - - - - Salicine + - - - Salicine + - - - Salicine + - - - Salicine - - - - <	Adonitol	_	_	_	_
Deficiently Prycesc - - - - Galactose + + + + Deficiose - - - - Rhamose + - - - - Ducitol - - - - - Inositol - - - - - - Sorbitol + - - - - - Sorbitol + - - - - - Sorbitol + - - - - - - Sorbitol + - - - - - - Sorbitol + - - - - - -	B-Methyl Xylose	_	_	_	_
Culcicose + + + + D-Glucose + + + + D-Fuctose + + + + D-Manose + + + + D-Manose - - - - Rhannose + - - - - Dulcitol - - - - - Ionsitol - - - - - Manitol + - - - - - Sobiol + - - - - - Sobiol + - - - - - - Manitol + - </th <th>Galactose</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th>	Galactose	-	-	-	-
Definition + + + + Definitions + + + + Definitions + + + + L-Sorbose - - - - Rhannose + - - - - Dulcitol - - - - - Mannitol + - - - - Mannitol + - - - - Sorbitol + - - - - - Mannitol + - - - - - - Sorbitol + - - - - - - - - N-Matricos + -	D Clucose	+	+	+	+
D-Manose + + + + L-Sorbose - - - Rhamose + - - Dulcitol - - - Duntose + - - Dulcitol - - - Inositol - - - Mannitol + - - Mannitol + - - Mannitol + - - Mannitol + - - - N-Mactyl glucosamine + - - - Antygalaine + - - - - Solitoine + - - - - Solitoine + - - <td< th=""><th>D Emistere</th><th>+</th><th>+</th><th>+</th><th>+</th></td<>	D Emistere	+	+	+	+
D-Mattobe + + + + + L-Sorbose - - - - Rhannose + - - - Dulcitol - - - - Inositol + - - - Mannitol + - - - Sorbitol + - - - Sorbitol + - - - P-Methyl-D-glucosanice + - - - Anactyl glucosanice + - - - Salicine - - - - - Salicine + - - - - - Melibiose + - -	D-Fluctose	+	+	+	+
L'sonobe - - - - Rhannose + - - - Dulcitol - - - - Mannitol + - - - Mannitol + - - - Sorbitol + - - - N-Methyl-D-glucoside - - - - Nacetyl glucosamine + - - - Arbutine - - - - - Salicine + - - - - Cellobiose + + - - - Matose + + - - - Saccharose + - - - - <th>D-Mallose</th> <th>+</th> <th>+</th> <th>+</th> <th>+</th>	D-Mallose	+	+	+	+
Rnamose + - - Dulcitol - - - Inositol - - - Mannitol + - - Mannitol + - - Sobitol + - - Mannitol + - - Mombul-D-mannoside + - - P-Methyl-D-glucoside - - - N-acetyl glucosamine + - - Arbutine + - - - Arbutine + - - - Salicine + - - - Salicine + - + + Celobiose + - - - Maltose + + + + Lactose + - - - Meliose + - - - Insuline - - - - D-Ramiton - - </th <th>L-Sorbose</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th>	L-Sorbose	-	-	-	-
DuctoolInositolMannitol+Sorbitol+β-Methyl-D-mannoside+β-Methyl-D-glucosideN-acetyl glucosamine+Arbutine+Salicine+Cellobiose+Maltose+Maltose+Melibiose+Saccharose+++MelizitoseMelizitoseMelizitoseMelizitoseMelizitoseJorganoseMelizitoseD-TagaloseD-TagaloseD-Tagalose <t< th=""><th>Rhamnose</th><th>+</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th></t<>	Rhamnose	+	-	-	-
InositolMannitol+Sorbitol+β-Methyl-D-mannoside+β-Methyl-D-glucosideN-acetyl glucosamine+Amygdaline+Arbutine+SalcineSalcineCellobiose+-++Maltose+Maltose+Trehalose+MulineMulineMulineMulineMulineMulineMulineMulineMulineMulineMulineMulineMulineMulineMulineMulineMuline <td< th=""><th>Dulcitol</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th></td<>	Dulcitol	-	-	-	-
Manitol+-+-Sorbitol+Sorbitol+β-Methyl-D-glucosideN-acetyl glucosamine+-++Amygdaline+Arbutine+SalicineSalicine+Salicine+++Cellobiose+-++Maltose+Jactose+Saccharose+InsulineMeliziose+D-Raffinose+AmidonSylviolJ-Turanose+D-TuranoseD-TyoseD-FucoseD-FucoseD-TapalotolD-TapalotolD-TapalotolD-TapalotolD-TuranoseD-Fucose </th <th>Inositol</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th>	Inositol	-	-	-	-
Sorbiol + - + - - β-Methyl-D-mannoside + - - - β-Methyl-D-glucoside - - - - N-acetyl glucosamine + - + + Amygdaline + - - - Salicine + - - - Salicine + - + + Cellobiose + - + + Mattose + - - - Saccharose + - - - - Tenalose + - - - - - Melizitose + - - - - - - Metizitose + - - - - - - Amidon - - - - - - - Qilycogene -	Mannitol	+	-	+	-
β-Methyl-D-mannoside + - - - β-Methyl-D-glucoside - - - - N-acetyl glucosamine + + + + Amygdaline + - + + Arbutine + - - - Salicine - - - - Salicine + - + + Cellobiose + - + + Maltose + + + + Lactose + + + + Isoline - + + + Isoline - + + + Lactose + + + + + Isoline - - - - - Maltose + + - - - - Saccharose + - - -	Sorbitol	+	-	+	-
β-Methyl-D-glucosanine - - - - N-acetyl glucosamine + - + + Anwydaline + - + + Arbutine + - - - Esculine - - - - Salicine + - - + Cellobiose + - - + Matose + - + + Matose + + + + Lactose + + + + Matose + + + + Saccharose + + + + Saccharose + + + + Insuline - - - - Neglinose + + - - - O-Raffinose - - - - - J/Utanose	β-Methyl-D-mannoside	+	-	-	-
N-acetyl glucosamine+++Anygdaline+-++Arbutine+SalcineSalcine+-++Cellobiose+-++Maltose+-++Lactose+-++Melibiose+Saccharose++++Insuline++InsulineO'Raffinose+GlycogeneD-TagaloseD-TagaloseD'AtabitolD-FucoseCluconateCluconateCluconateCluconateCluconateCluconateCluconateCluconateCluconateCluconate	β-Methyl-D-glucoside	-	-	-	-
Amygdaline + + + Arbutine + - + Esculine - - - Salicine - - + Salicine + - + Cellobiose + - + Maltose + + + Matose + + + Melibiose + + + Saccharose + + + Trehalose + - + Insuline - + + D-Raffinose + - - Amidon - - - - Glycogene - - - - Syliol - - - - D-Turanose - - - - D-Tycose - - - - D-Tycose - - - - D-Fucose - - - - Cilouconate	N-acetyl glucosamine	+	-	+	+
Arbutine + - + - Esculine - - - - Salicine + - + + Cellobiose + - + + Maltose + - + + Maltose + - + + Lactose + - - - Melibiose + + + + Melibiose + + + + Saccharose + + + + Insuline - - + + + Insuline - - - - - Aridon - - - - - - Glycogene -	Amygdaline	+	-	+	+
Esculine - - - - Salicine + - + + Cellobiose + - + + Maltose + + + + Maltose + + + + Lactose + + + + Melibiose + + + + Saccharose + + + + Trehalose + - - + Insuline - - - - Melizitose + - - - - OrRaffinose + - - - - - Glycogene - <th>Arbutine</th> <th>+</th> <th>-</th> <th>+</th> <th>-</th>	Arbutine	+	-	+	-
Salicine+-++Cellobiose+-++Maltose++++Maltose+-++Lactose+++Melibiose+++++Saccharose+++++Trehalose+-+++Insuline+++D-Raffinose+++GlycogeneXylitolD-Turanose+D-TagaloseD-FacoseD-ArabitolCluconate+CluconateSocolateD-Core-gluconateSocolateSocolateSocolateSocolateSocolateSocolateSocolate <tr< th=""><th>Esculine</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th></tr<>	Esculine	-	-	-	-
Cellobiose + + + + Maltose + + + + Lactose + - + + Lactose + - - + Melibiose + + + + Saccharose + + + + Trehalose + - + + Insuline - - + + Insuline - - - - D-Raffinose + + - - - Amidon - - - - - - Glycogene - <td< th=""><th>Salicine</th><th>+</th><th>-</th><th>+</th><th>+</th></td<>	Salicine	+	-	+	+
Maltose + + + + Lactose + - + + Melibiose + + + + Melibiose + + + + Saccharose + + + + Trehalose + - + + Insuline - - + + Melizitose + + - - Melizitose + - - - D-Raffinose + - - - Glycogene - - - - Glycogene - - - - Xylitol - - - - β-Gentibiose + + + + D-Turanose + + + + D-Lyxose - - - - D-Fucose - - - - IL-Fucose - - - - <t< th=""><th>Cellobiose</th><th>+</th><th>-</th><th>+</th><th>+</th></t<>	Cellobiose	+	-	+	+
Lactose + + + + Melibiose + + - - Saccharose + + + + Trehalose + - + + Insuline - - + + Melizitose + - - - D-Raffinose + - - - Amidon - - - - Glycogene - - - - Xylitol - - - - p-Gentibiose + - - - D-Turanose + - - - D-Tycose - - - - D-Fucose - - - - L-Fucose - - - - Gluconate + - - - S-ceto-gluconate - - - -	Maltose	+	+	+	+
Melibiose + + - - Saccharose + + + + Trehalose + - + + Insuline - - + + Melizitose + + - - Melizitose + + - - D-Raffinose + - - - Amidon - - - - Glycogene - - - - Xylitol - - - - D-Turanose + - - - D-Lyxose - - - - D-Fucose - - - - L-Fucose - - - - D-Arabitol - - - - Gluconate + - - - - J-Sceto-gluconate - - - - - S-ceto-gluconate - - - <th>Lactose</th> <th>+</th> <th>-</th> <th>+</th> <th>+</th>	Lactose	+	-	+	+
Saccharose + + + Trehalose + - + Insuline - - + - Melizitose + + - - D-Raffinose + - - - Amidon - - - - Glycogene - - - - Xylitol - - - - β-Gentibiose + - - - D-Turanose + - - - D-Tugalose - - - - D-Fucose - - - - L-Fucose - - - - Gluconate + - - - S-ceto-gluconate - - - - S-ceto-gluconate - - - -	Melibiose	+	+	-	-
Trehalose + + + Insuline - - - Insuline - - - Melizitose + + - D-Raffinose + - - Amidon - - - Glycogene - - - Xylitol - - - β-Gentibiose + - - D-Turanose + - + D-Lyxose - - - D-Fucose - - - L-Fucose - - - Gluconate + - - 5-ceto-gluconate - - -	Saccharose	+	+	+	+
Insuline - + + - Melizitose + + + - D-Raffinose + - - - Mulion - - - - Amidon - - - - Glycogene - - - - Xyliol - - - - β-Gentibiose + - - - D-Turanose + - - - D-Lyxose - - - - D-Fucose - - - - L-Fucose - - - - D-Arabitol - - - - Gluconate + - - - - S-ceto-gluconate - - - - - S-ceto-gluconate - - - - - -	Trehalose	+	-	+	+
Melizitose++-D-Raffinose+AmidonGlycogeneXylitolβ-Gentibiose+-++D-Turanose+-++D-LyxoseD-TagaloseL-FucoseD-ArabitolGluconate+5-ceto-gluconate5-ceto-gluconate	Insuline	-	-	+	-
D-Raffinose+AmidonGlycogeneXylitolβ-Gentibiose+-++D-Turanose+D-LyxoseD-TagaloseD-FucoseL-FucoseD-ArabitolGluconate+5-ceto-gluconate <trr><td< th=""><th>Melizitose</th><th>+</th><th>+</th><th>+</th><th>-</th></td<></trr>	Melizitose	+	+	+	-
AmidonGlycogeneXylitolβ-Gentibiose+++D-Turanose+++D-TyxoseD-TagaloseD-FucoseD-ArabitolD-ArabitolGluconate+5-ceto-gluconate<	D-Raffinose	+	-	-	-
GlycogeneXylitolβ-Gentibiose+-+D-Turanose+-+D-TysoseD-Tagalose+D-FucoseL-FucoseD-ArabitolGluconate+2-ceto-gluconate5-ceto-gluconate	Amidon	-	-	-	-
Xylitol - - - β -Gentibiose + + + D-Turanose + - + D-Turanose - - - D-Tagalose - - - D-Fucose - - - L-Fucose - - - D-Arabitol - - - Gluconate + - - 2-ceto-gluconate - - - 5-ceto-gluconate - - -	Glycogene	-	-	-	-
β-Gentibiose + + + D-Turanose + - + + D-Lyxose - - - - D-Tagalose - - + + D-Fucose - - + + D-Fucose - - - - L-Fucose - - - - D-Arabitol - - - - Gluconate + - - - 2-ceto-gluconate - - - - 5-ceto-gluconate - - - -	Xylitol	-	-	-	-
D-Turanose + + + D-Lyxose - - - D-Tagalose - - + + D-Togalose - - + + D-Fucose - - - - L-Fucose - - - - D-Arabitol - - - - Gluconate + - - - 2-ceto-gluconate - - - - 5-ceto-gluconate - - - -	β-Gentibiose	+	-	+	+
D-Lyxose - - - D-Tagalose - - + + D-Fucose - - - - L-Fucose - - - - D-Arabitol - - - - Gluconate + - - - 2-ceto-gluconate - - - - 5-ceto-gluconate - - - -	D-Turanose	+	-	+	+
D-Tagalose-++D-FucoseL-FucoseD-ArabitolD-ArabitolGluconate+2-ceto-gluconate5-ceto-gluconate	D-Lyxose	-	-	-	-
D-FucoseL-FucoseD-ArabitolD-ArabitolGluconate+2-ceto-gluconate5-ceto-gluconate-+-	D-Tagalose	-	-	+	+
L-Fucose - - - - D-Arabitol -	D-Fucose	-	-	-	-
D-ArabitolGluconate+2-ceto-gluconate5-ceto-gluconate-+-	L-Fucose	-	-	-	-
Gluconate+2-ceto-gluconate5-ceto-gluconate-+	D-Arabitol	-	-	-	-
2-ceto-gluconate	Gluconate	+	-	-	-
5-ceto-gluconate - +	2-ceto-gluconate	-	-	-	-
	5-ceto-gluconate	-	+	-	-

Table 3. Biochemical characteristics of Lactobacillus spp. isolated from tempoyak after 24-48 h of incubation using API 50CHL Kit



Figure 1. MALDI-TOF mass spectra of L. paracasei V1 (1a) and L. buchneri V8 (1b), L= lactobacillus

Table 4. Antibacterial activities of V1.	V8, V11 and V14 LAB	strains against Salmonella sp	pp. and Salmonella typhimurium
ATCC strain			

Salwayalla ann Taat Strain	Diameter of Inhibition (mm)					
Saimoneua spp. Test Strain	L. plantarum (V1)	L. buchneri (V8)	L. brevis (V11)	L. acidophilus 1 (V14)		
Salmonella typhimurium (ATCC 1408)	18±0	18±0.3	20±0	20±0		
S. typhimurium (Raw chicken)	18±0.3	17±0.3	20±0.3	19±0.3		
S. enteriditis (Well water)	18±0.3	14±0.3	18 ± 0	20±0.3		
S. paratyphi B (Well water)	18±0.3	11±0.3	13±0.3	14 ± 0		
S. chester (Pipe water)	14±0.3	12±0.3	13±0.3	14±0.3		
S. sarajane (Well water)	13±0.3	11±0.3	15±0.3	15 ± 0		
S. richmond (Pipe water)	14±0.3	13±0.3	15±0.3	18±0.3		
S. chingola (GFS water)	13±0.3	9±0.3	12±0.3	15±0.3		
S. paratyphi A (Tube well water)	16±0.3	12±0.3	15±0.3	15±0.3		
S. louga (Pipe water)	11±0.3	12±0.3	15±0.3	16±0.3		
S. mountpleasant (Well water)	14±0.3	10±0.3	14 ± 0	14±0.3		
S. sandiago (Well water)	18±0.3	16±0.3	16±0.3	21±0.3		
S. winslow (Pipe water)	17±0.3	16±0.3	17±0.3	17±0.3		
S. borbeck (Tube well water)	15±0.3	13±0.3	15±0	18 ± 0		
S. rhydyfellin (Coconut milk sieve)	17±0.3	17±0.3	16±0.3	18±0.3		
S. weltevreden (GFS pipe water)	20±0.3	21±0.3	22±0.3	22±0.3		
S. bareilly (Well water)	20±0.3	20±0.3	20±0.3	20±0.3		
S. panama (Well water)	21±0	17±0.3	18±0.3	21±0.3		
S. farsta (Chicken dish)	20±0	19±0.3	19±0.3	19±0.3		
S. corvalis (Raw chicken)	20±0.3	17±0.3	20±0.3	20±0.3		
S. albany (Well water)	19±0.3	14±0.3	17±0.3	18±0.3		
S. adamstua (Stream water)	18±0.3	17±0.3	18±0.3	18 ± 0		
S. tsevie (Well water)	14±0.3	15±0.3	16±0.3	18±0.3		
S. livingston (Egg shell)	20±0	20±0.3	22±0.3	22±0		

The strength of antibacterial activity (S: Strong, I: Intermediate, W: Weak). Diameter of Inhibition (mm) of *Lb. plantarum* ($9 \le W$; $10 \le I \le 14$; $S \ge 15$)

A total of ten strains of *Salmonella* spp. showed intermediate inhibition by *L. buchneri* (inhibition zone diameter of 11-14 mm) (Table 4). Only *Salmonella* (*S.*) *chingola* was weakly inhibited by *L. buchneri*. A total of 11 strains of *Salmonella* spp. were strongly inhibited by *L. brevis* I (V11). Furthermore, *L. brevis* demonstrated intermediate inhibition against four strains of *Salmonella* spp. with diameter of inhibition zones of 12-14 mm (Table 4). In contrast, *L. acidophilus* 1 (V14) strongly inhibited 21 strains of *Salmonella* spp. and three strains included intermediate responses (Table 4). All LAB isolates responded strongly to all strains of *Vibrio* spp. and *E. coli* O157 (Table 5), except *E. coli* ATCC 11795, which intermediately responded to *L. plantarum* (V1) and *L. buchneri* (V8) (Table 5).

Two major compounds were identified in semi purification of CFS from *L. buchneri* DSM 20057T. Based on the chromato-grams of HPLC, these compounds included benzoic and sorbic acids (Table 6) with the presence of three predominant peaks at retention times of 8.502, 10.957 and 17.102 min, respectively. Sorbic acid included the highest yield (6.5 mg kg⁻¹), compared to that the benzoic acid did (3.4 mg kg⁻¹).

Antibacterial activity of the semi-purified CFS from *L. buchneri* DSM 20057T using various methanol fractions (10–100%) demonstrated that the 70-100% methanol fractions included activities against *S. typhimurium* ATCC 14028, *E.coli* ATCC 11795, *E. coli* O157, *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 and *V. cholerae* O139 (Figure 2). Inhibition zone diameters of all these foodborne pathogens ranged 8–12 mm. Results were similar to those from the control (water-treated sample) and 10% methanol (inhibition zone diameter of 10-15 mm). No inhibitions were shown by the pathogens for 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60% methanol fractions.

In this study, MRS agar was the only media capable of supporting growth of all samples because it contained high carbon, nitrogen, yeast extract and mineral contents [30]. The MRS agar was designed to facilitate growth of LAB, which included species of the following genera of *Lactobacillus*, *Streptococcus*, *Pediococcus* and *Leucono*- stoc [30]. According to Yang et al. [31], the optimal growth conditions for three species of LAB (L. curvatus, Enterococcus faecium and L. paracasei) were described using MRS media at pH range of 6.2-8.5 and optimal temperature of 37 °C. At pH values lower than 4.5, researchers have discovered that the LAB growth becomes slower and is sometimes suppressed because the enzymatic reactions are controlled by pH [32,33]. The five LAB samples were demonstrated to include relatively good to excellent identifications with similarity proportions of 96.4-99.7 % using API 50 CHL Kit. These results are similar to those of MALDI-TOF/MS, which produced a score value range of 1.70-2.08, indicating possible and secure genera and species identification. In supporting these results, Leisner et al. [11] identified five dominant strains of LAB in fermented durian pulps with L. plantarum as the major bacteria. Four other strains included L. brevis, L. fermentum, L. mali and L. mesenteroides. These differences between the biochemical and molecular identifications were particularly because the fundamental approaches of the two techniques principally varied. Technically, the API 50 CHL Kit function was based on comparisons of fermentation patterns for 50 various types of carbohydrates with registered bacteria in APIweb Database. Advantages of this method included easy and rapid performances and results depended solely on visualization of their phenotypic properties. In fact, identification depended on registered bacteria in APIweb Database while biochemical profiles of LAB were partially listed in the database and therefore certain LAB might improperly be identified [34].

In this study, the two assays (API 50 CHL Kit and MALDI-TOF/MS) have shown various results, especially in identification of V1, V11 and V14 LAB strains. Several researchers have reported that specificity of the API 50 CHL Kit is unclear due to various phenotypic patterns and non-reactivity shown by the identified LAB strains, leading to misidentification or misinterpretation of the results [35-38].

		Diameter of I	nhibition (mr	1)	
Test Strains	L. plantarum	L. buchneri	L. brevis	L. acidophilus 1	Ampicillin
	(V1)	(V8)	(V11)	(V14)	(positive control)
Vibrio cholera NON 01-0139 (Benggal type)	30±0.3	23±0.3	28±0.3	26±0.3	25±0.3
V. cholera 01 (Inaba type)	22±0.3	18±0.3	22±0.3	22±0.3	24±0.3
V. parahaemolyticus ATCC 17802	18±0.3	16±0.3	22±0.3	22±0.3	24±0.3
Escherichia coli ATCC 11795	18±0.3	12±0.3	20±0.3	18±0.3	25±0.3
E. coli 0157	20±0.3	16±0.3	20±0.3	20±0.3	25±0.3

Table 5. Antibacterial activities of V1, V8, V11 and V14 LAB strains against Vibrio spp. and Escherichia coli strains

The strength of antibacterial activity (S: Strong, I: Intermediate, W: Weak). Diameter of Inhibition (mm) of *Lb. plantarum* ($9 \le W$; $10 \le I \le 14$; $S \ge 15$).



Figure 2. Effects of various proportion of CFS-methanol fraction isolated from *Lactobacillus buchneri* (V8) DSM 20057T against foodborne pathogenic bacteria

Table 6 . Assessments of the antimicrobial compounds
produced by Lactobacillus buchneri DSM 20057T (V8)
after semi-purification in 70-80% methanol fractions using
HPLC

Retention time (min)	Area (mAU*s)	Amount (mg l ⁻¹)	Compound name
8.502	64.598	3.396	Benzoic Acid
10.957	106.822	6.475	Sorbic Acid

Since discrepancy occurred in biochemical identifications using API 50 CHL Kit and MALDI-TOF/MS (particularly for LAB strains of V1, V11 and V14), molecular techniques such as 16sRNA sequencing are needed to verify the specific strains. Favourable conditions in tempoyak for the growth of four strains of LAB (L. plantarum, L. buchneri, L. brevis and L. acidophilus) were due to the chemical composition of the durian fruit with total sugar of 15-20% [39] and saccharose of 17% [11]. Based on the biochemical assay of API 50 CHL Kit, L. plantarum utilised the largest composition of sugars (25 types), followed by L. brevis, L. acidophilus and L. buchneri with 23, 15 and 12 types of sugars, respectively. These findings were supported by Leisner et al. [11], who reported L. plantarum as the predominant LAB due to the lack of acid production from glycerol and D-xylose. In general, roles of L. plantarum and L. brevis are well-known in acidfermented durian [40].

In this study, L. buchneri DSM 20057T produced a greater yield of benzoic acid at 6.5 mg/kg, compared to sorbic acid at 3.4 mg kg⁻¹. This finding was contrary to that reported by Salomskiene et al. [41], who isolated a various strain of LAB, L. helveticus R, isolated from sour creams and breads using spontaneous fermentation as the best producer of benzoic acid (1.80 mg/L). The L. buchneri DSM 20057T produced the highest quantity of sorbic and benzoic acids, compared to that reported by Salomskiene et al. [41]. Salomskiene et al. reported that 12 LAB strains of L. lactis 140/2, L. lactis 57, L. lactis 768/5, E. faecium 59-30, E. faecium 41-2, L. helveticus 14, L. helveticus 3, L. helveticus 148/3, L. helveticus R, S. thermophilus 43, L. reuteri 3 and L. reuteri 7 produced benzoic acid at quantities of 0.20-1.8 mg 1-1. Out of these 12 LAB strains, only L. lactis 140/2 produced sorbic acid at 1.20 mg/L concentration [42], which was relatively lower than that from this study. It is noteworthy that methanol fractions (70, 80, 90 and 100%) were the most effective extraction solvents to produce CFS of L. buchneri DSM 20057T because it included resistance activity against S. typhimurium ATCC 14028, E. coli ATCC 11795, E. coli O157, S. aureus ATCC 25923 and V. cholerae. These results were similar to those by Amrutha et al. [42], who reported that three types of organic acids (acetic, citric and lactic acids) were able to act as potent inhibitors to inactivate biofilm formation of Gram-negative bacteria such as E. coli and Salmonella spp. from fresh

vegetables and fruits. The mechanism induced antimicrobial actions of Gram-negative bacteria explained by several steps of 1) weak acids polarized into ionised and nonionised forms leading to balances, which then enabled these ions infiltrated into bacterial membranes, 2) the hydrophobic membrane initiated proton gradients via free diffusion to generate ATP, and 3) the electron transport chain pumped out free anions and periplasmic protons and transported them back across the membrane without moving through the two subunits of ATP synthase (F1 and F0) [43, 44]. Potential of L. buchneri for use as food preservative in foods should be investigated further since it demonstrated bactericidal effects on foodborne pathogens. Recent studies have revealed probiotic properties of tempoyak with significant effects in decreasing growth of harmful microorganisms (foodborne pathogens) to prolong shelf-life of the fermented foods and to increase human health and safety [45]. Based on their potential merits as probiotics, further studies are necessary to verify health benefits and safety aspects.

4. Conclusion

This study has successfully identified eight isolates of LAB strains through phenotypic characterization using API-50 CHL Kit. Eight LAB isolates were identified with similarities of 95-99.9%. These isolates included L. plantarum (one isolate), L. acidophilus I (one isolate), L. brevis (one isolate) and L. buchneri (five isolates). Mass spectrum identification using MALDI-TOF/MS biotyper has successfully identified five species of L. buchneri, including L. buchneri DSM 20057T, L. paracasei DSM 2649, L. parabuchneri DSM 57069, L. paracasei DSM 20020 and L. farciminis CIP 103136T. Four LAB strains of L. plantarum, L. buchneri, L. brevis I and L. acidophilus were able to inhibit various types of Salmonella food poisoning and other non-Salmonella foodborne pathogens. Crude extracts of LAB produced two major compounds of benzoic and sorbic acids, including potentials to be developed as chemical preservative agents.

5. Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia, under the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (Vot. No. 59269). The authors acknowledge use of facilities at the Horseshoe Crab Research Laboratory, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu. Special thanks to the Head of International Food Safety Training Centre of Malaysia (IFSTC) and Senior Food Technologist Officer Mdm Shafini Abu Bakar (IFSTC) for technical advices and supports, Food Quality Laboratory of Terengganu State Health Department, Ministry of Health (MOH), Malaysia, for permitting use of laboratory facilities and Training Division of MOH for providing scholarship.

6. Conflict of Interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

References

- Rather IA, Koh WY, Paek WK, Lim J. The sources of chemical contaminants in food and their health implications. Front Pharmacol. 2017; 8: 1-8. doi:10.3389/fphar.2017.00830.
- Garvey M. Food pollution: A comprehensive review of chemical and biological sources of food contamination and impact on human health. Nutr. 2019; 44(1). doi:10.1186/s41110-019-0096-3.
- Batz MB, Richardson LC, Bazaco MC, Parker C, Chirtel SJ, Cole D, Golden NJ, Griffin PM, Gu W, Schmitt SK, Wolpert BJ, Kufel JSZ, Hoekstra RM. Recency-weighted statistical modeling approach to attribute illnesses caused by 4 pathogens to food sources using outbreak data, United States. Emerg Infect Dis. 2021; 27(1): 214-222. doi:10.3201/eid2701.203832
- 4. Tack DM, Marder EP, Griffin PM, Cieslak PR, Dunn J, Hurd S, Scallan E, Lathrop S, Muse A, Ryan P, Smith K, Tobin-D'Angelo M, Vugia DJ, Holt KG, Wolpert BJ, Tauxe R, Geissler AL. Preliminary incidence and trends of infections with pathogens transmitted commonly through food-Foodborne diseases active surveillance network, 10 U.S. sites, 2015-2018. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR),available from: https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/68/wr/pdfs/mm6816a2-H.pdf [Accessed 26 April 2006]
- New CY, Ubong A, Premarathne, JMKJK, Thung TY, Lee E, Chang WS, Loo YY, Kwan SY, Tan CW, Kuan CH, Son R. Microbiological food safety in Malaysia from the academician's perspective. Food Res. 2017; 1(6): 183-202.
- 6. Salleh F, Lani MN, Wan-Abdullah WZ, Tuan-Chilek TZ, Hassan Z. A review on incidences of foodborne diseases and interventions for a better national food safety system in Malaysia. Malaysian Appl Biol. 2017; 46(3): 1-7.
- Hashempour-Baltork F, Hosseini H, Shojaee-Aliabadi S, Torbati M, Alizadeh AM, Alizadeh M. Drug resistance and the prevention strategies in food borne bacteria: An update review. Adv Pharma Bull. 2019; 9(3): 335-347. doi: 10.15171/apb.2019.041
- Juliyarsi I, Hartini P, Yuherman DA, Arief, Purwanto H, Aritonang SN, Hellyward J, Purwati E. Characterisation of lactic acid bacteria and determination of antimicrobial activity in tempoyak from Padang Pariaman district, West Sumatra, Indonesia. Pakistan J Nutr. 2018; 17: 506-511. doi:10.3923/pjn.2018.506.511
- Wasnin RM, Abdul Karim MS, Mohd Ghazali H. Effect of temperature-controlled fermentation on physicochemical properties and lactic acid bacterial count of durian (*Durio zibethinus* Murr.) pulp. J Food Sci Technol. 2014; 51: 2977-2989.

doi:10.1007/s13197-012-0869-7

 Amin AM, Jaafar Z, Khim LN. Effect of salt on Tempoyak fermentation and sensory evaluation. J Biol Sci. 2004; 4: 650-653.
 doi: 10.2023/ibc.2004.650.653

doi: 10.3923/jbs.2004.650.653

11. Leisner JJ, Vancanneyt M, Rusul G, Pot B, Lefebvre K, Fresi A, Tee LK. Identification of lactic acid bacteria constituting the predominating microflora in an acid-fermented condiment (Tempoyak) popular in Malaysia. Int J Food Microbiol. 2001; 63(1-2): 149-157. doi: 10.1016/s0168-1605(00)00476-1

- Chuah LO, Shamila-Syuhada AK, Liong MT, Rosma A, Thong KL, Rusul G. Physicochemical, microbiological properties of Tempoyak and molecular characterization of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Tempoyak. Food Microbiol. 2016; 58: 95-104. doi:10.1016/j.fm.2016.04.002
- 13. Salleh F, Lani MN, Ismail N. Antimicrobial activity of cellfree supernatant of lactic acid bacteria isolated from fermented durian flesh against multiple antibiotic resistances, Salmonella associated with food poisoning cases in Malaysia. IOSR J Pharm Biol Sci. 2014; 9(6): 60-65. doi: 10.9790/3008-09646065
- Ahmad A, Yap WB, Kofli NT, Ghazali AR. Probiotic potentials of *Lactobacillus plantarum* isolated from fermented durian (Tempoyak), a Malaysian traditional condiment. Food Sci Nutr. 2018; 6: 1370-1377. doi:10.1002/fsn3.672
- Veron HE, Risio HDD, Isla MI, Torres S. Isolation and selection of potential probiotic lactic acid bacteria from *Opuntia ficus-indica* fruits that grow in Northwest Argentina. LWT- Food Sci Technol. 2017; 84: 231-240. doi:10.1016/j.lwt.2017.05.058
- 16. Nuraida L. A review: Health promoting lactic acid bacteria in traditional Indonesian fermented foods. FSHW. 2015; 4: 47-55.

doi: 10.1016/j.fshw.2015.06.001.

- Yuliana N, Dizon EI. Phenotypic identification of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Tempoyak (Fermented durian) made in the Philippines. Int J Biol. 2011; 3(2): 145-152. doi:10.5539/ijb.v3n2p145
- Muhialdin BJ, Hassan Z, Ahmed Imdakim MM, Abdul Kahar FKS, Mustafa AM. Malaysian isolates of lactic acid bacteria with antibacterial activity against Gram-positive and Gramnegative pathogenic bacteria. J Food Res. 2012; 1(1): 110-116. doi:10.5539/jfr.v1n1p110
- Mohd Adnan AF, Tan IKP. Isolation of lactic acid bacteria from Malaysian foods and assessment of the isolates for industrial potential. Bioresour Technol. 2007; 98: 1380-1385. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2006.05.034
- Issa ZM. Molecular characterisation of *Lactobacillus plantarum* Isolated from Malaysian Fermented Foods. Master's Dissertation; Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia. 2000; pp. 134.
- Wirawati CU. Potensi bakeri asam laktat yang diisolasi dari Tempoyak sebagai probiotik. Master of Science's Dissertation; Bogor, Institut Pertanian Bogor, Indonesia. 2002; pp.75.
- 22. Ekowati CN. Mikroflora pada fermentasi daging buah durian (tempoyak). J Sci Technol. 1998; 30(4): 136-141.
- 23. de Lacerda JRM, da Silva TF, Vollu RE, Marques, JM, Seldin L. Generally recognized as safe (GRAS) *Lactococcus lactis* strains associated with *Lippia sidoides* Cham. Are able to solubilize / mineralize phosphate. SpringerPlus, 2016; 5(1): 828. doi: 10.1186/s40064-016-2596-4
- 24. Reid G. The scientific basis for probiotic strains of *Lactobacillus*. Appl Env Microbiol. 1999; 65(9): 3763-3766. doi: 10.1128/AEM.65.9.3763-3766.1999

- Ahmad A, Yap WB, Kofli NT, Ghazali AR. Probiotic potentials of *Lactobacillus plantarum* isolated from fermented durian (Tempoyak), a Malaysian traditional condiment. Food Sci. Nutr. 2018; 6(6): 1370-1377. doi: 10.1002/fsn3.672
- Khalil ES, Abd Manap MY, Mustafa S, Alhelli AM, Shokryazdan P. Probiotic properties of exopolysaccharidesproducing *Lactobacillus* strains isolated from Tempoyak. Molecules 2017; 23(2): 398. doi: 10.3390/molecules23020398
- 27. Callaway A, Kostrzewa M, Willershausen B, Schmidt F, Thiede B, Küpper H, Kneist S. Identification of *Lactobacilli* from deep carious lesions by means of species-specific PCR and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Clin Lab. 2013; 59(11-12): 1373-1379. doi:10.7754/clin.lab. 2013.121225
- Perez C, Paul M, Bazerque P. Antibiotic assay by agar well diffusion method. Acta Biol Med Exp. 1990; 15:113-115.
- 29. Brown PN, Chan M, Paley L, Betz JM. Determination of major phenolic compounds in *Echinacea* spp. raw materials and finished products by high-performance liquid chromatography with ultraviolet detection: Single-laboratory validation matrix extension. J AOAC Int. 2011; 94(5): 1400-1410. doi: 10.5740/jaoacint.11142
- 30. Oxoid Manual. Dehydrated culture media. MRS Agar (De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe).Available from: http://www.oxoid.com/UK/blue/prod_detail/prod_detail.asp?pr=CM0361 &c=UK&lang=EN [Accessed 30June 2020]
- 31. Yang E, Fan L, Yan J, Jiang Y, Doucette C, Fillmore S, Walker B. Influence of culture media, pH and temperature on growth and bacteriocin production of bacteriogenic lactic acid bacteria. AMB Exp. 2018; 8(10): 1-14. doi: 10.1186/s13568-018-0536-0
- 32. LeBlanc JG, Garro MS, de Giori SG. Effect of pH on *Lactobacillus fermentum* growth, raffinose removal, αgalactosidase activity and fermentation products. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2014; 65(1):119-123. doi: 10.1007/s00253-003-1532-z
- 33. Mataragas M, Metaxopoulos J, Galiotou M, Drosinos EH. Influence of pH and temperature by *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* L124 and *Lactobacillus curvatus* L442. Meat Sci. 2003; 64(3): 265-271. doi: 10.1016/S0309-1740(02)00188-2
- 34. Boyd MA, Antonio MAD, Hillier SL. Comparison of API 50 CH strips to whole-chromosomal DNA probes for identification of *Lactobacillus* species. J Clin Microbiol. 2005; 43 (10): 5309-5311. doi: 10.1128/JCM.43.10.5309-5311.2005
- 35. Elmacı SB, Tokatlı M, Dursun D, Ozçelik F, Şanlıbaba P. Phenotypic and genotypic identification of lactic acid bacteria isolated from traditional pickles of the Çubuk region in Turkey. Folia Microbiol. 2015; 60: 241-251. doi: 10.1007/s12223-014-0363-x
- 36. Reuben RC, Roy PC, Sarkar SL, Alam RU, Jahid IK. Isolation, characterization and assessment of lactic acid bacteria toward their selection as poultry probiotics. BMC Microbiol. 2019; 19: 253. doi: 10.1186/s12866-019-1626-0
- Ni K, Wang Y, Li D, Cai Y, Pang H. Characterization, identification and application of lactic acid bacteria isolated from forage paddy rice silage. Plos One. 2015;

Antibacterial compound of indigenous LAB

10(3): e0121967. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0121967.

38. Goswami G, Bora SS, Parveen A, Boro RC, Barooah M. Identification and functional properties of dominant lactic acid bacteria isolated from Kahudi, a traditional rapeseed fermented food product of Assam, India. J Ethnic Food. 2017; 4(3):187-197.

doi: 10.1016/j.jef.2017.08.008

- Ketsa S, Daengkanit T. Physiological changes during postharvest ripening of durian fruit (*Durio zibethinus* Murray). J Horticult Sci Biotechnol. 1998; 73: 575-577. doi:10.1080/14620316.1998.11511017
- 40. Leisner JJ, Vancanneyt M, Lefebvre K, Vandemeulebroecke K, Hoste B, Vilalta NE, Rusul G, Swings J. *Lactobacillus durianis* sp. nov., isolated from an acid-fermented condiment (tempoyak) in Malaysia. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2002; 52: 927-931.

doi:10.1099/00207713-52-3-927

41. Salomskiene J, Jonkuviene D, Macioniene I, Abraitiene A, Zeime J, Repeckiene J, Vaiciulyte Funk J. Differences in the occurrence and efficiency of antimicrobial compounds produced by lactic acid bacteria. Eur Food Res Technol. 2019; 245(3): 1-11. doi:10.1007/s00217-018-03227-3

42. Amrutha B, Sundar K, Shetty PH. Effect of organic acids on biofilm formation and quorum signalling of pathogens from fresh fruits and vegetables. Microb Pathog. 2017; 111: 156-162.

doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2017.08.042

- 43. Halstead FD, Rauf M, Moiemen NS, Bamford A, Wearn CM, Fraise AP, Lund PA, Oppenheim BA, Webber MA. The antibacterial activity of acetic acid against biofilm-producing pathogens of relevance to burns patients. Plos One. 2015; 10(9): e0136190. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0136190
- 44. Sullivan DJ, Azlin-Hasim S, Cruz-Romero M, Cummins E, Kerry JP, Morris MA. Natural Antimicrobial Materials for Use in Food Packaging, In A. Tiwari (edition.). Handbook of Antimicrobial Coatings. Elsevier. 2018: pp. 181-233.
- 45. Behera SS, Ray RC, Zdolec N. *Lactobacillus plantarum* with functional properties: An approach to increase safety and shelf-life of fermented foods. BioMed Res Int. 2018; 9361614: 1-18. doi:10.1155/2018/9361614.

Research Article

pISSN: 2345-5357 eISSN: 2423-4214

<u>APPLIED FOOD BIOTECHNOLOGY, 2021,8 (2): 121-132</u> Journal homepage: www.journals.sbmu.ac.ir/afb



فجرياه صالح^{(و۲}، مهد نظام لانی^۲، تان زايمازور تان چليک^۲، نور ازلينا كامارودينگ^۳، نورازناواتي اسماعيل^{۳*}

- ۱- وزارت بهداشت، گروه سلامت ایالت ترنگانو، آزمایشگاه کیفیت و ایمنی مواد غذایی، D/A کلینیک بهداشتی بوکیت تانگال، ۲۱۲۰۰ کوالا نروس، ترنگانو، مالزی.
 - ۲- دانشکده شیلات و علوم غذایی، دانشگاه ترنگانو مالزی، ۲۱۰۳۰ کوالا نروس، ترنگانو، مالزی.
 - ۳- انستیتو زیست فناوری دریایی، دانشگاه ترنگانو مالزی، ۲۱۰۳۰ کوالا نروس، ترنگانو، مالزی.

چکیدہ

سابقه و هدف: اعتقاد بر این است که ترکیبات ضدباکتریایی تولید شده توسط باکتریهای لاکتیک اسید میتوانند جایگزین عملکرد نگهدارندههای شیمیایی باشند. هدف مطالعه حاضر شناسایی باکتریهای لاکتیک اسیدی است که از گوشت تخمیرشده دوریان (تمپویاک)، ترکیبات ضدباکتریایی تولید کنند و بررسی فعالیت ضدباکتری انواع جداسازی-شده میباشد.

مواد وروش ها : روش مورد استفاده برای شناسایی ترکیبات ضدباکترایی، کیت API 50 CHL به همراه محیط کشت مکمل و زمان یونیزاسیون دفع لیزر به همراه ماتریکس در طیف سنجی جرمی (MALDI-TOF/MS) بود.

تعارض منافع: نویسندگان اعلام میکنند که هیچ نوع تعارض منافعی مرتبط با انتشار این مقاله ندارند.

تاريخچه مقاله

دریافت ۱ نوامبر ۲۰۲۰ داوری ۲۸ نوامبر ۲۰۲۰ پذیرش ۵ ژانویه ۲۰۲۱

واژگان کلیدی

- فعالیت ضدباکتریایی
- روماند بدون سلول
- نگهدارندهای شیمیایی
- باکتریهای لاکتیک اسید
- ∎ تمپوياک (گوشت ميوه دوريان)

*نویسنده مسئول

نورازناواتی اسماعیل،

انستیتو زیست فناوری دریایی، دانشگاه ترنگانو مالزی، ۲۱۰۳۰ کوالا نروس، ترنگانو، مالزی تلفن:۹۳۲۵۵۴۹–۶۰۱۹ + پست الکترونیک:

noraznawati@umt.edu.myr



` strain

^r supernatant