Effect of One versus Two Drain Insertion on Postoperative Seroma Formation after Modified Radical Mastectomy

Farzaneh Ebrahimifard1*

1Department of Surgery, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Received: 6 September, 2015; Accepted: 21 January, 2016

Abstract

Background: Modified radical mastectomy (MRM) is still one of globally accepted surgical techniques for breast cancer and in some selected patient is the gold standard type of surgery. The most frequent complication of this procedure is seroma under skin flaps or in the axilla as reported as much as 30% in some studies. The use of closed suction drainage system to reduce the incidence of this complication has been routinely accepted by surgeons; however, length of catheter stay and the number of catheters inserted in the wound are still controversial. The present study compares the results of single versus double drain insertion in patients undergoing MRM for breast cancer.

Materials and Methods: The study was conducted on 100 women with breast cancer who were candidate for MRM surgery during 2007-2010 referred to Modarres hospital, Tehran, Iran as a randomized group matched controlled trial.

Results: There was no significant difference between the two groups in terms of age, BMI, and tumor weight (P=0.406) (Table 1). Similarly, the difference between the two groups was insignificant in tumor size (T) and number of lymph nodes involved (P=0.145). There was no significant difference between the two groups in timing of axillary drain removal (P=0.064). No significant differences were observed between the two groups in mean aspirated fluid (P=0.071) and mean aspirated sera (P=0.484) after removal of drains.

Conclusion: This study revealed one drain insertion in MRM surgery is as effective as two drain and probably less morbidity and cost.
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Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common type of cancer in women worldwide1. Incidence of breast cancer has increased in recent decade2-3. In proportion to the incidence of the disease in developed countries, its mortality has reduced due to improved diagnostic and treatment methods and thus, its early treatment4. In the modern era, breast cancer treatment is a multimodality approach including local and medical systemic therapy. Once breast cancer is diagnosed, the type of therapy offered to patient is determined based on the stage of the disease. In the absence of metastasis, surgery is the mainstay of loco-regional control of breast cancer. The selection of surgical procedures depends on patient’s characteristics (including age, family history, menopausal status, and overall health), patient’s preferences and other clinical
and pathological variables\textsuperscript{5-7}. Although breast preserving surgery is currently the standard treatment for early stage breast cancer, mastectomy is still the choice in some patients. Generally, mastectomy is recommended for patients with large tumor, small breasts, special pathologic status and patients with any contraindications to radiation therapy\textsuperscript{8-10}. In addition, detecting the pathologic status of the axillary lymph nodes is one of the most important prognostic factors in patients with breast cancer. Lymphatic mapping and dissection of sentinel lymph nodes is a growing technique for detecting lymph node involvement by tumor; however axillary lymph node dissection remains the standard of care for many patients with breast cancer especially those with locally advanced breast cancer or positive sentinel nodes\textsuperscript{6,9,11,12}.

Modified radical mastectomy (MRM) is one of globally accepted surgical techniques for breast cancer and in some selected patient is the gold standard type of surgery\textsuperscript{5,6,8}. In this procedure, the skin flaps are fully developed first and the fascia of pectoral muscle and overlying breast tissue are elevated and completely removed. Thereafter, axillary lymph node dissection is performed in level I and II\textsuperscript{1,2,13,14}. The most frequent complication of this procedure is seroma under skin flaps or in the axilla as reported as much as 30\% in some studies\textsuperscript{3,4}. Various methods are used to reduce seroma formation by reducing dead space including flap fixation, reducing shoulder movements after surgery, use of adhesive glue, and drainage. The use of closed suction drainage system to reduce the incidence of this complication has been routinely accepted by surgeons; however, length of catheter stay and the number of catheters inserted in the wound are still controversial. It appears that early removal of drain leads to increased accumulation of seroma, while leaving the catheter for longer periods increases the risk of infection and patient disability. Some surgeons prefer to insert more than one catheter, but some studies showed that it discomforts patients\textsuperscript{1,15-17}.

The present study compares the results of single versus double drain insertion in patients undergoing MRM for breast cancer.

**Methods**

This randomized clinical trial was conducted on any women with breast cancer who were candidate for MRM surgery during 2007-2010 referred to Modarres hospital, Tehran, Iran. For various reasons, breast preservation surgery could not be performed on these women with invasive breast cancers, and they had been referred for MRM surgery. **Exclusion criteria:** All patients with distant metastases, synchronous or meta-chronous contralateral breast cancers, involvement of chest wall by tumor (T4), previous history of breast cancer, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, history of any previous breast or axillary surgery, impaired wound healing, and use of anti-coagulation drugs, were excluded. Furthermore, patients with locally advanced breast cancers who, according to the surgeon and oncologist required pre-operative neo-adjuvant chemotherapy were excluded. Also patients who could not follow the study for one month or were afflicted with wound infection, dehiscence or flap necrosis were excluded. At the outset, the goal and method of the study and any potential complications were explained to patients, and after obtaining their consents, the patients were divided into two separate groups randomly. All patients underwent general anesthesia and MRM surgery was performed according to Madden method in all. After surgery, removed breast masses were weighed, and sent for pathologic evaluation and the results were recorded in TNM staging system.

Then, for the first group of patients, one drain was inserted in axillary area, and for the second group, two drains were inserted one in pectoral (under skin flap over pectoral muscle) and one in axillary areas. Normal dressing was applied. All operations were performed by the same surgeon. After surgery, patients were followed up for one month. Patients were discharged with drains after 48 hours, depending on general health condition (fever, nausea, vomiting, wound complications, normal physical activity). Patients were trained how to empty the drains, and measure and record the volume of the fluid and were advised to return to hospital for removing drains when their discharge was below 30 cc per day or on the 10\textsuperscript{th} post-operative. Patients were also visited on the 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 10\textsuperscript{th} days. After removing
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the last drain, all patients were visited weekly for one month, and if the surgeon detected any seroma formation, it was aspirated and the wound was dressed with compressive bandages. In the setting of seroma formation, wounds were checked every other day to determine re-accumulation of seroma. Aspiration continued until no further seroma was observed. Timing of seroma formation and volume of aspirated fluid were recorded.

Data were analyzed with SPSS-20 software. Student t-test was used to compare two groups’ weight, tumor weight, and number of lymph nodes involved, and Mann-Whitney test was used to compare their cancer types. Comparison of volume of aspirated liquid during follow-up was performed using Repeat Measure ANOVA. The significant level was considered 0.05.

**Results**

In total, 100 patients remained in the study after exclusion. There was no significant difference between the two groups in terms of age, BMI, and tumor weight (P=0.406) (Table 1). Similarly, the difference between the two groups was insignificant in tumor size (T) and number of lymph nodes involved (P=0.145). Only two cases of distant metastases were observed, one in each group.

In the two-drain group, mean time to remove pectoral drain was after 2.89±0.89 days. There was no significant difference between the two groups in timing of axillary drain removal (P=0.064). Seroma formed in 14 patients from the first group (30.4%), and 16 from the second group (36.4%) (P=0.551). No significant differences were observed between the two groups in mean aspirated fluid (P=0.071) and mean aspirated seroma (P=0.484) after removal of drains. To assess the effect of two-drains on removal of axillary drain, accumulated fluid, seroma formation, and aspirated seroma, its pure effect and also its effect after adjustment with age, BMI, tumor weight, and TNM staging were calculated and no statistically difference between 2 groups was observed.

**Discussion**

This study indicated that there is no statistical difference in seroma formation, volume of accumulated fluid or timing of axillary drain removal according to insertion of one versus two drain insertion in MRM surgery. Seroma formation is the most common early complication of breast cancer surgery with axillary dissection. Although this complication is still unpreventable, but its possibility can be reduced. Seroma formation risk factors include heavy weight, extensive surgery, and large drained volume in the
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first 3 days of surgery\textsuperscript{20}. Different studies suggest different seroma reduction methods\textsuperscript{19}. One method to reduce seroma formation is by inserting drain. There is controversy concerning treatment and prevention roles of drain, and also the number of drains\textsuperscript{21}. Ebner et al., in a retrospective study has shown insignificant differences in incidence of complications between patients with and without drains after MRM surgery. However, this was not a controlled clinical trial, and data were collected from patients’ files\textsuperscript{21}. In a prospective study by Taylor et al., on the results of surgery before and after implementing ‘no drains policy’ in a hospital in UK, there was no significant difference in occurrence of symptomatic seroma, frequency of aspiration, wound infection or re-hospitalization in patients undergoing MRM surgery with or without drain insertion. The only difference was prolonged hospitalization of patients with drain. Thus, they suggested that “no drain” policy should be considered as a policy adopted after MRM\textsuperscript{22}. Andeweg et al., in a cohort study, compared duration of drain stay in two groups of patients. They showed that incidence of seroma increases with short-term axillary drain, and recommended long term axillary drainage for patients undergoing MRM surgery\textsuperscript{23}. Results of the two review studies showed higher frequency of seroma formation in patients without drain, or short-term drainage\textsuperscript{24,25}.

Advantages and disadvantages of multi-drain insertion have been examined in some studies. In a retrospective study Saratzis et al., have evaluated women undergoing MRM in three groups of one, two, and three drains. Results showed insignificant differences in volume of seroma produced among the three groups. However, women with only one drain experienced less discomfort and hospital stay\textsuperscript{26}. In a clinical trial, Puttawibul et al., compared one and two drains in patients undergoing MRM. Same as in the present study, their results revealed insignificant differences in seroma formation, volume of aspirated liquid, or complications after surgery\textsuperscript{27}. In a clinical trial, insertion of one or two drains in axillary area in MRM patients was compared. Results showed insignificant differences in seroma formation and duration of drain stay\textsuperscript{28}. In another clinical trial Terrell and Singer compared insertion of an axillary drain with axillary and pectoral drains after MRM. Results showed insignificant differences in complications between the two groups\textsuperscript{29}.

The study by Hashemi et al., showed that seroma occurred in 35% of patients. In multivariate logistic regression analysis, an association of postoperative seroma formation was noted with modified radical mastectomy (OR=2.83, 95% CI 1.01–7.90, \(P=0.04\)). No other factor was found to significantly affect the seroma formation after breast cancer surgery. They found no association between drain count and seroma formation, similar to our study\textsuperscript{30}.

In a study by Woodworth et al., seroma developed in 39 of the 252 operations (incidence of 15.5 percent). Seroma formation was significantly lower in those patients receiving MRM with immediate reconstruction than in those receiving MRM surgery alone (2.5% vs 19.6%; \(P=0.009\)) and tended to be lower than that for patients receiving breast preservation with axillary node dissection (14.06%; \(P=0.052\)). Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy was performed in 18 patients, of whom 6 developed seroma (\(P=0.030\)). They found no association between drain count and seroma formation, similar to our study\textsuperscript{31}.

Another study by Burak et al., demonstrated that significant risk factors for seroma formation included increased age, patient weight, initial 72-hour wound drainage, and LAD. No statistically significant differences were observed between single and double drain insertion, similar to our study.

Generally, there are not many clinical trials that have compared one and two drains so far, and according to cohort studies or some clinical studies, existing evidence tends to support one drain. The present RCT study showed no difference between people with one or two drains, and according to results, seroma formation occurred in 30% of women after MRM, with insignificant differences between the two groups, and insertion of two drains made no difference in incidence of seroma. Furthermore, there was an insignificant difference in timing of removal of axillary drain between the two groups. According to results, it can be argued that there is no need for the second drain after MRM, and the same results can be obtained by axillary drain alone. However, in the present study, there were no groups with no drain or with short-term drain (for instance, 3 days)\textsuperscript{32-38}. 
It is recommended that a study be conducted with several groups to include no drain, short-term drain, one long-term drain, and two drains to design a proper treatment protocol that both satisfies patients and has fewer complications. In addition to symptoms and length of hospitalization, patients’ satisfaction and mobility should also be investigated.

**Conclusion**

According to the obtained results, one-drain and two-drain insertion techniques are equally effective methods to reduce the seroma formation after modified radical mastectomy; however, fewer drain insertion leads to more patient satisfaction at all and it is recommended to evaluate patient compliance and comfort regarding the number of drains in future studies.
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