Histopathological Pattern of Difficult Childhood Nephrotic Syndrome in a Tertiary Care Centre, Bangladesh
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Abstract
Background and Aim: The aim of this study was to explore the spectrum of histopathology in children who underwent a renal biopsy for difficult NS in a tertiary care pediatric nephrology center.

Methods: This prospective observational study was conducted in the Pediatric Nephrology Department of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh from January 2011 to July 2018. Patients who presented with difficult patterns of nephrotic syndrome and underwent a renal biopsy were enrolled in this study.

Results: A total of 140 patients were recruited in this study. The patients with steroid resistance nephrotic syndrome (SRNS) and nephrotic syndrome with atypical presentation underwent a renal biopsy; a good number of atypical NS cases were steroid dependent nephrotic syndrome (SDNS). They were grouped into Group A (SRNS), Group B (SDNS) and Group C (nephrotic syndrome with atypical presentation). In patients with SDNS, minimal change disease (MCD) (51.3%) was the most common histological pattern followed by mesangioproliferative glomerulonephritis (MesPGN) (33.3%); whereas MesPGN was the commonest histological pattern in SRNS (56.8%) and nephrotic syndrome with atypical presentation (54.7%) followed by MCD and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS). Most of the patients responded to immunosuppressive therapy. In SRNS, a partial response was achieved in 18.9% and chronic kidney diseases (CKD) occurred in 16.2% of the cases. In comparison, 10.9% of the patients with nephrotic syndrome with atypical presentation achieved partial response and 7.8% developed CKD, which were not statistically significant. In addition, 5.4% of the patients with SRNS died.

Conclusion: Mesangioproliferative glomerulonephritis was the most common histopathological diagnosis in patients with SRNS and nephrotic syndrome with atypical presentation in our population. MCD was predominant in SDNS cases.
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Introduction
Nephrotic syndrome (NS) is the most common childhood kidney disease. Idiopathic nephrotic syndrome affects 2 to 7 new children per 100,000 per year in Western countries with a prevalence of 15 per 100,000 under 16 years of age (1). In Asia, the prevalence of MS is 9-16 cases in 100,000 children per year (2). The disease mechanism is poorly understood. It is believed that the mechanisms underlying the disorder include different genetic and pathologic variants with polymorphic podocyte injury as a unifying feature (3-4). Prednisolone is the cornerstone of treatment for INS. However, 10% to 20% of these patients do not respond to steroids.
(steroid-resistant) and a significant portion of steroid-sensitive patients are likely to experience frequent relapses or become steroid-dependent. NS patients who are labeled as the frequent relaper, steroid-dependent, or steroid resistant are referred to as difficult nephrotic syndrome. Renal biopsy is an important tool to assess the histological pattern of difficult nephrotic syndrome and thus helps to predict the prognosis, treatment intensification, and treatment outcome.

The histopathological features of NS have been studied in different regions of the world with a wide variation in the histopathological distribution. However, the histological pattern of childhood NS has changed; the incidence of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis seems to be increasing and the incidence of membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis (MPGN) is decreasing. Recent recommendations for kidney biopsy in children with NS include cases with a high index of suspicion for an underlying pathology other than minimal change disease (MCD); however, the exact indications are not yet well defined. In fact, in a number of case series of children with difficult NS who underwent kidney biopsy, MCD was found to be the most common pathological diagnosis. Our previous study found that mesangio proliferative glomerulonephritis (MesPGN) was the most common histopathological variant of difficult NS. However, histopathological patterns are changing with time. Therefore, we prospectively analyzed the spectrum of clinical indications, histopathological patterns, and their clinicopathological associations among children who underwent renal biopsy in our center. The aim of this study was to explore the spectrum of histopathology in children who underwent a renal biopsy in our tertiary care pediatric nephrology center and to correlate their pre-biopsy clinical course with histological findings.

Methods

A total of 140 children were included in this prospective observational study conducted in the Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU), Dhaka, Bangladesh. Children who were admitted between January 2015 and July 2018 with steroid resistant NS (SRNS), NS with atypical presentation and congenital nephrotic syndrome were included in this study before giving the 3rd line drug in NS. Biopsy was deferred in patients with bleeding diathesis, uncontrolled hypertension, pyelonephritis, and solitary kidney. Patients who had a biopsy for suspected lupus nephritis and other vasculitis were excluded from the study. Patients and parents who refused to participate were excluded from the study.

Before renal biopsy, a full blood count, coagulation profile (prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time), blood grouping and cross-matching, HBsAg screening test, and ultrasonography of the kidney, ureter, and bladder (KUB) with surface marking and distance from the skin to lower pole of the left kidney were performed. Written informed consent was obtained from parents. Patients were kept nil per os (nothing by mouth) for 4h, and the bowel and bladder were emptied before biopsy. Biopsies were carried out in a well-equipped procedure room in the department. All patients were sedated with midazolam intravenous injection (0.3 mg/kg/dose) 10 min before the procedure. An IV cannula was inserted for emergency medication. Renal biopsy was done from the lower pole of the left kidney using an appropriate sized spring loaded automated disposable biopsy gun under strict aseptic precaution using local anesthesia by 2% lignocaine. The specimen was visually checked for tissue adequacy. Two tissue cores were obtained: one of them was kept in normal saline for immunofluorescence microscopy and another one in formalin for light microscopy. Pressure dressing was applied with a binder. Tissues were labeled and sent to the Department of Pathology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU) for histopathological examination. All the specimens were examined by a senior pathologist. After biopsy, all the patients were followed up carefully by pulse, blood pressure, respiratory rate, urine output, hematuria, local bleeding, and features of any other complication such as vasovagal shock and pain for 24 hours. The pathological reports were analyzed and studied, which included gross examination, light microscopic studies, and immunofluorescence studies. Clinical records were studied from the questionnaire regarding clinical, physical examination, pre-biopsy diagnosis, indication for biopsy, tissue adequacy, histopathological diagnosis, treatment alteration according to histopathological diagnosis, biopsy complications, and final outcome.
The patients were treated one of the following treatment algorithms:

**Algorithm 1:**

- Oral prednisolone for 4 weeks + 3 I/V pulses of methylprednisolone
- Steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome
- High dose I/V cyclophosphamide + I/V methylprednisolone
- CNI (cyclosporine or tacrolimus) in NS with steroid toxicity
- Rituximab

**Algorithm 2:**

- Steroid dependent NS, NS with atypical presentation
  - Second-line drug (mycophenolate mofetil, cyclophosphamide, levamisole, azathioprine)
  - Third-line drug if serum creatinine is normal (cyclosporine, tacrolimus)
- Rituximab

**Definitions**

**Difficult nephrotic syndrome:** NS associated with frequent relapses, steroid dependence, or steroid resistance.

**Steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome:** Failure to achieve complete remission after 4 weeks of oral corticosteroid therapy plus three pulses of intravenous methylprednisolone.

**Steroid dependent nephrotic syndrome:** Two or more relapses within 2 weeks of discontinuation of oral prednisolone or being on an alternate daily prednisolone regimen

**Atypical nephrotic syndrome:** Nephrotic syndrome manifesting with an age of onset of 3 months to 1 year or >15 years, persistent hematuria, hypertension, renal insufficiency and hypocomplementemia (C3 <0.9 mg/dL).

**Response:** lack of proteinuria, normal serum albumin (35-45 g/L).

**Partial response:** Occasional trace /1+ proteinuria with serum albumin between 25-35 g/L.

**No response:** Continued proteinuria without any remission and serum albumin < 25 g/L.

**Statistical analysis:**

All values are presented as mean ± standard deviation. The SPSS 9.0 was used for statistical analysis. The Outcome was evaluated in terms of response, partial response, no response. The results were analyzed for their statistical significance using Students test for continuous variables and chi-square test for discrete variables. Statistical significance was defined as a p≤ 0.05.

**Results**

A total of 140 children were enrolled in this study, of whom 81 were boys and 59 were girls. The male to female ratio was 1.37:1. The mean age at biopsy was 7.0 years, ranging from 7 months to 17 years. Demographic and laboratory parameters are summarized in Table 1. In the atypical presentation group, about 93.8% of the patient presented with hypertension and 78.1% had hematuria, whereas about 75.7% of the patients had hypertension and 32.4% had hematuria in the SRNS group. About 31.3% and 27% of the patients had low C3 in atypical presentation and SRNS group, respectively. The serum creatinine level was significantly higher in the atypical presentation group (40.6%) compared to the other two groups.

On histopathological findings, the median number of glomeruli per core was 13. In 4 (2.8%) cases, the sample revealed no glomeruli; hence, a histopathological diagnosis could not be made.

In patients with SDNS, MCD (51.3%) was the most common histological pattern followed by MesPGN (33.3%). MesPGN was the commonest histological pattern in SRNS (56.8%) and atypical presentation (54.7%) followed by MCD and FSGS (Table 2).

Figure 1 summarizes the histological pattern in various types of nephrotic syndrome. Post-biopsy gross hematuria was noted in 78% of the cases, which was mild and resolved within 24 hours. Hematoma developed in 4.8% of the cases, which resolved spontaneously.
One hundred percent of the patients had mild discomfort in the form of local pain. There was no mortality or renal loss.

Table 1: Demographic and laboratory parameters of different groups of nephrotic syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Group A (n=37)</th>
<th>Group B (n=39)</th>
<th>Group C (n=64)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>AvsBvsC</th>
<th>AvsB</th>
<th>BvsC</th>
<th>CvsA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.8±2.4</td>
<td>4.0±2.0</td>
<td>10.0±3.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematuria</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 (32.4)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>50 (78.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td>28 (75.7)</td>
<td>25 (64.1)</td>
<td>60 (93.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low C3</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 (27.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>20 (31.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.654</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24hr UTP</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4±0.6</td>
<td>2.3±0.6</td>
<td>1.8±0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raised creatinine</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 (16.2)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>26 (40.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group A: SRNS, Group B: SDNS, Group C: Atypical presentation,

Table 2: Histological pattern in different groups of nephrotic syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Group A (n=37)</th>
<th>Group B (n=37)</th>
<th>Group C (n=62)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Histological pattern</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mes PGN</td>
<td></td>
<td>21 (56.8)</td>
<td>13 (33.3)</td>
<td>35 (54.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCD</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (18.9)</td>
<td>20 (51.3)</td>
<td>16 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPGN</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (5.4)</td>
<td>2 (5.1)</td>
<td>4 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSGS</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 (16.2)</td>
<td>1 (2.6)</td>
<td>6 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membranous</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (2.7)</td>
<td>1 (2.6)</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group A: SRNS, Group B: SDNS, Group C: Atypical presentation

Figure 1. Histopathological pattern in different clinical types of nephrotic syndrome.
The outcome depended on the nature and extent of the disease, time of presentation, and features of presentation. In our study, most of the patients responded to immunosuppressive therapy. In the SRNS group, partial response was achieved in 18.9% and CKD occurred in 16.2% of the cases. In patients suffering from NS with atypical presentation, 10.9% achieved partial response and 7.8% developed CKD, which was not statistically significant. Furthermore, 5.4% of the patients with SRNS died (Table 3).

Overall, 79.2% of the NS patients responded to immunosuppressive therapy, 11.4% achieved partial remission, 7.8% developed CKD, and 1.4% died (Figure 3).

Table 3: Outcome in different groups of nephrotic syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Group A (n=37)</th>
<th>Group B (n=39)</th>
<th>Group C (n=64)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AvsBvsC</td>
<td>AvsB</td>
<td>BvsC</td>
<td>CvsA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response</td>
<td>22 (59.5)</td>
<td>37 (94.9)</td>
<td>52 (81.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial response</td>
<td>7 (18.9)</td>
<td>2 (5.1)</td>
<td>7 (10.9)</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response/CKD</td>
<td>6 (16.2)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>5 (7.8)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expired</td>
<td>2 (5.4)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.233</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 3. Outcome of nephrotic syndrome.

Discussion

Since the introduction of renal biopsy in 1934 by Ball, it has been widely used throughout the world for diagnostic and prognostic evaluation of various nephropathies, especially since 1950s (9-11). It is mostly necessary to identify various patterns of renal diseases with similar presentations. The presentation, pattern, and prevalence of renal diseases vary in different geographical regions of the world and are also changing in different countries (12).

This study described the underlying histopathological spectrum in children who presented with difficult nephrotic syndrome. There was a male preponderance (1.37:1) and the mean age at the time of biopsy was seven years, which was similar to studies from Egypt (9.2 years), Jordan (7.5 years), and Sudan (8.7 years) (13-15). In our study, the only presentation in the SDNS group with proteinuria, whereas patients with SRNS and atypical presentation mostly presented with hypertension followed by proteinuria and hematuria. This is in contrast to a study by Gulatai et al. in which only microscopic hematuria was significantly more common in the non-MCD group (16). A significant portion of the patients in the SRNS and atypical presentation groups had low C3 and high creatinine levels in our study.

There may be regional differences in the prevalence of renal histology. Although there is a general presumption that the most common histopathological lesion in children with NS is MCD as reported in the studies performed in the Czech Republic, Spain, Italy, and Korea (17-20), the most frequent diagnosis was MesPGN in our study as well as studies conducted in Turkey and Saudi Arabia (21, 22). One study found that NS was mostly caused by MCD in New Zealand (37%) while the proportion of MesPGN was also high (23%), especially in Maori children (23). MCD was found in 30.7% of the cases in our study. The low incidence can be explained by the low rate of renal biopsy in cases showing a good response to steroid therapy. FSGS has been reported as the most common cause of NS in two different studies conducted in India (24, 25). In our study, MesPGN
was the most common disease in the SRNS (56.8%) and atypical presentation groups (54.7%), while MCD was predominant in patients with SDNS and we did not observe any higher incidence of FSGS as reported in other studies. The rate of biopsy proven FSGS is higher in African–American children (26).

The overall frequency of complications associated with renal biopsy ranges from 5% to 13% (27, 28). The main complications are pain at biopsy site, hematuria (microscopic and gross), and hematoma. In our study, 100% of the patient had mild discomfort in the form of local pain and post-biopsy gross hematuria was noted in 78% and hematoma was seen in 4.8% of the cases, which resolved spontaneously. There was no mortality, renal loss, or other serious complications in our study as reported in a previous study. There was retroperitoneal hemorrhage in 2 patients who both required a single blood transfusion.

The outcome depended on the disease nature and extent, time of presentation, and features of presentation. In our study, most of the patients responded to immunosuppressive therapy. Partial response was achieved in 18.9% and CKD occurred in 16.2% of the cases in the SRNS group. In patients suffering from NS with atypical presentation, 10.9% achieved partial response and 7.8% developed CKD. Furthermore, 5.4% of the patients with SRNS died.

The possible differences between the results of our study and those of other studies do not reflect different renal morbidities. On the contrary, we believe that our different approach in evaluating renal biopsy indications resulted in a different distribution of kidney diseases.

A limitation of our study was the lack of electron microscopy evaluation of biopsies, particularly the subtype of MPGN. Nevertheless, our study provided a picture of prevalent histopathological patterns of NS in our pediatric patients.

**Conclusion**

It can be concluded that MesPGN constitutes the major share of the histopathological patterns of SRNS and NS with atypical presentation. MCD was predominant in SDNS patients. This report from Bangladesh provides data on the frequency of the histopathological patterns of NS in patient undergoing renal biopsies and intends to serve as a source of information for pediatric nephrologists in Bangladesh as well as other parts of the world.
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