Clinical and Biological Effects of Adjunctive Photodynamic Therapy in Refractory Periodontitis
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Abstract

Introduction: To date, no novel treatment approach is available for optimum outcomes regarding refractory periodontitis. The aim of the present study was to assess the efficiency of photodynamic therapy (PDT) in treating patients diagnosed with refractory periodontitis and compare the clinical and biological outcomes of conventional periodontal treatment with or without adjunctive PDT in these patients, by assessing clinical parameters (plaque index [PI], gingival recession [GR], bleeding on probing [BOP], periodontal probing depth [PPD] and clinical attachment level [CAL]) as well as biological parameters (IL-1β) in the gingival crevicular fluid (GCF).

Methods: Sixteen patients within the age of 30 to 60 years, with a mean age of 40 years old, diagnosed with refractory periodontitis were included. In this split mouth design study, 2 quads (1 upper + 1 lower) from the same patient were randomly treated with (scaling and root planing [SRP]+PDT) together. The other 2 quadrants (1 upper + 1 lower) were treated by SRP only and selected to serve as controls. Clinical parameters including PI, GR, BOP, PPD and CAL and biological parameters (IL-1β) in the GCF were measured at baseline, then at 2 and 6 months after therapy.

Results: A statistically significant reduction in several clinical parameters as, BOP (P < 0.001) and CAL (P < 0.001) in quadrant treated with SRP and adjunctive PDT when compared to control group treated with SRP alone was observed and both therapies showed non-statistically significant differences in the reduction of IL-1β level.

Conclusion: The inclusion of PDT as an adjunctive measure to nonsurgical conventional periodontal treatment seems to be a useful therapeutic measure in refractory periodontitis treatment.
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Introduction

Periodontitis is an inflammatory disease of the gingival and periodontal tissue, induced by pathogenic bacteria within the dental plaque biofilms, leading to clinical signs of inflammation and loss of the attachment apparatus supporting the teeth. Periodontitis is one of the most common diseases of the oral cavity and is a multifactorial disease, several risk factors play a role in the disease etiology and modifies its course.

Up to now the critical objective of various periodontal treatment modalities has been to halt the progression of the disease and prevent its recurrence. The conventional mechanical elimination of subgingival bacterial biofilms followed by an effective periodontal maintenance program are the cornerstone for controlling inflammatory periodontal disease, but this mechanical instrumentation of the root surface alone may fail to remove bacterial biofilm in some areas inaccessible to periodontal instruments, such as root depression and furcation. Also, periodontal mechanical debridement efficiency may decrease with increasing periodontal probing depths (PPDs) and with furcal involvement. Thus, bacterial reservoirs could remain within the periodontal pockets on the root surface and promote recolonization which would affect periodontal healing and disease progression following treatment.

Patients exhibiting refractory periodontitis, despite the therapeutic efforts show low responsiveness to periodontal therapy by dental professionals and continue to show destruction of periodontal tissue. The nature of refractory periodontitis has not been clearly understood yet. Various factors modulating host immune responses to bacterial challenge, including genetic factors, microbiological factors and other host-related factors (local or systemic) as local anatomic variations, smoking, diabetes, and other systemic diseases, were implicated in

to demonstrate a decline in their periodontal status, as evidenced by the clinical signs and symptoms.

The clinical characteristics of refractory periodontitis by Kornman were adopted to confirm diagnosis:

1. Multiple sites display clinically noticeable disease advancement.
2. Disease progress is distinct to former severity i.e. progression occurs even in sites of slight or no prior disease.
3. Disease advancement is not stopped by traditional periodontal treatment and regular supportive care that focuses on reduction of tooth-adherent bacterial accumulations.

Moreover, the diagnosis of “refractory” periodontitis was only made in patients who satisfactorily complied with the recommended oral hygiene measures and followed a regularly scheduled program of periodontal maintenance since diagnosis; this was confirmed by patients’ records.

Upon entering the study each patient completed a patient questionnaire and received a periodontal clinical examination. Patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria for the study had been informed about the study and were invited to participate in the study which required patient's commitment for a period of 6 months and each had been given a unique identifier.

The inclusion criteria included (1) aged 35 years or more; (2) having >12 natural teeth with a minimum of three in each quadrant, and (3) having refractory periodontitis.

Patients with the following criteria were excluded (1) current smokers; (2) pregnant females; (3) females on estrogen therapy; (4) those who had received systemic antibiotics or surgical periodontal treatment within the past 12 months and (5) those who had a history of poorly controlled diabetes, liver disease, malignancy, radiotherapy, or allergy to toluidine blue.

At the beginning, a total sample of 20 patients (9 males and 11 females) diagnosed with refractory periodontitis had met the inclusion/exclusion criteria. All subjects were examined on dental chairs in the periodontal clinics in the dental teaching center of Jordan University of science and technology using sterile dental mirror, dental explorer and periodontal probe to assess the oral condition. Periodontal examination was conducted by a blinded investigator who was not involved in the treatment of the patients. All periodontal clinical measurements were performed by one periodontist, allowing an intra-experimental comparison of the values. Four patients with refractory periodontitis were examined at an interval of 48 hours. The intraclass correlation coefficients as a measure of intraexaminer reproducibility were 0.89 and 0.90 for the mean PPD and CAL.

At the start of every recall visit, the periodontist evaluated the gingival and periodontal tissues using a University of Michigan O’ Probe with William’s calibration. PPD, CAL, and GR were obtained at six sites of each tooth...
(mesiobuccal, distobuccal, mesiolingual, distolingual and middle sites of the buccal and lingual sides). BOP was assessed at four sites of each tooth (mesial and distal sites of the buccal and lingual sides). Bleeding points were assessed 30 seconds after probing. Third molars were excluded from the examination. Clinical probing depths were measured to the nearest mm.

As shown in Figure 1, using a split-mouth design, 2 quadrants were treated with scaling and root planing (SRP) (control group), while the other 2 quadrants were additionally treated with PDT (test group). All patients received a conventional periodontal treatment, comprising SRP of all periodontally involved teeth, both hand instruments (Gracey curettes) and a piezoelectric ultrasonic handpiece were employed, 2 quadrants (test group) were additionally treated with PDT. Therefore, after periodontal debridement, the quadrants were assigned to different groups.

A total of 245 sites from 32 quadrants (16 upper and 16 lower) were treated by SRP with PDT as adjunctive treatment and a total of 257 sites from 32 quadrants (16 upper and 16 lower) were treated by SRP alone. Sites in contralateral quadrants had been separated by 1 tooth or more to avoid the photosensitizing agent flowing across the interdental papillae to the contra-lateral quadrant. Periodontal parameters were examined again after 2 months and after 6 months of the treatment. Of eligible patients, one male and one female participant did not attend the follow-up examinations and were therefore excluded. Two other females were excluded also due to ingestion of systemic antibiotics during the follow-up examinations.

A total of 16 subjects had successfully completed the study period of 6 months and were included in the data analyses.

Results

In our clinical trial, PDT was performed with a PAD™ high level disinfection which is based on 2 components, the PAD™ solution (Orange dental, Denfotex Research Ltd, UK) and PAD Plus device (Orange dental, Denfotex Research Ltd, UK): a red LED light system of a specific wavelength (635 nm) to activate the PAD™ solution. This is the peak wavelength (635 nm) at which Toluidine Blue O (TBO) absorbs energy so maximum excitation and release of singlet oxygen is achieved. Photosensitizer was applied by placing the applicator at the bottom of the periodontal pocket and was continuously deposited in a coronal direction and left for 60 seconds. Afterwards, the PAD Plus was used with an 8.5-cm-long flexible fiber optic tip curved at an angle of 60° with a spot size 0.06 cm in diameter for 60 seconds. This treatment approach was applied to all test sites. Laser was applied circumferentially at 6 sites per tooth. The application time of both the photosensitizer and laser light was monitored, to avoid phototoxic reactions. The calibration of the diode laser was performed according to the manufacturer instructions.

Collection of GCF was done at baseline, 2 months and after 6 months for control and test sites. The site with the deepest pocket in each quadrant was chosen to take a GCF sample. These sites were isolated with cotton rolls.
and a saliva ejector and gently air-dried after removal of the supragingival plaque from the interproximal surfaces.

The GCF samples were collected with sterile paper strips (Periopaper, Oraflow Inc, Smithtown, NY, USA) carefully inserted into the crevice until mild resistance was felt and left in place for 30 seconds. Subsequently, the paper strips were placed into a sterile microtube vial and stored immediately at -20°C until analyzed. Samples visibly contaminated with blood were discarded. The levels of total IL-1β was measured using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Platinum ELISA, eBioscience Inc, San Diego, CA, USA).

Results

A total of 16 patients (8 males and 8 females) with refractory periodontitis had successfully completed the study period of 6 months. Patients aged between 30 and 60 year, with a mean of 43.2 years. All subjects were Jordanian and none of them was smoker.

The multivariate analysis using the general linear model (GLM) repeated measures procedures showed significant interaction between treatment and time effects on the studied parameters (P < 0.001) except for IL-1β (P = 0.379). Table 1 shows the mean of clinical parameters of both treatment groups at baseline, 2 and 6 months.

At the baseline, the 2 groups differed significantly in the mean PI only being higher in the SRP group (P = 0.019). Using the repeated analysis PDT as an adjunct treatment was superior to conventional treatment in patients diagnosed with refractory periodontitis in terms of clinical parameters including PI, BOP, PPD, and CAL. Except for IL-1β, there were remarkable and significant reduction in all clinical parameters after 2 months of the treatment in both treatment and control groups (P < 0.001). Thereafter, the mean of each clinical parameter increased significantly in both groups compared to the means at 2 months. At 6 months, the means of clinical parameters remained significantly lower than the means at the baseline. At both 2 and 6 maintenance visits, the means of clinical parameters remained significantly lower in the test group than that in the control group.

The study revealed superior results for PDT used as an adjunct to conventional treatment in patients diagnosed with refractory periodontitis in terms of clinical parameters. However PDT had no effects on the level of expression of cytokines (IL-1β).

No adverse effects, such as discomfort, burning sensation, bleeding or pain related to the use of PDT, were reported by any of the participants.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first clinical trial that studied the effect of PDT on refractory periodontitis. Several therapeutic modalities have been adopted for the treatment of refractory periodontitis, which includes scaling and root planning, surgical intervention and often systemic antibiotics administration. However, optimum results were hampered with the regrowth of bacterial biofilms leading to recurrence of inflammation and subsequent tissue destruction.

| Table 1. The Change in the Means of Clinical Parameters Over Time for the Treatment (SRP+PDT) and Control (SRP) Groups |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | Baseline (0 Month), Mean (SD) | 2 Months, Mean (SD) | 6 Months, Mean (SD) | P value (0 vs. 2 Months) | P value (0 vs. 6 Months) | P value (2 vs. 6 Months) |
| PI              | SRP              | 1.26 (0.63)     | 0.67 (0.47)     | 0.89 (0.48)     | < 0.001          | < 0.001          | < 0.001          |
|                | SRP+PDT          | 1.13 (0.61)     | 0.38 (0.49)     | 0.62 (0.54)     | < 0.001          | < 0.001          | < 0.001          |
|                | P value (SRP vs. SRP+PDT) | 0.019 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| BOP            | SRP              | 0.99 (0.11)     | 0.61 (0.49)     | 0.81 (0.4)      | < 0.001          | < 0.001          | < 0.001          |
|                | SRP+PDT          | 0.96 (0.19)     | 0.03 (0.18)     | 0.29 (0.45)     | < 0.001          | < 0.001          | < 0.001          |
|                | P value (SRP vs. SRP+PDT) | 0.066 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| PD             | SRP              | 5.87 (1.2)      | 4.94 (1.1)      | 5.4 (1.17)      | < 0.001          | < 0.001          | < 0.001          |
|                | SRP+PDT          | 5.74 (1.16)     | 4.22 (0.95)     | 4.62 (0.9)      | < 0.001          | < 0.001          | < 0.001          |
|                | P value (SRP vs. SRP+PDT) | 0.230 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| CAL            | SRP              | 6.75 (1.79)     | 5.9 (1.77)      | 6.3 (1.75)      | < 0.001          | < 0.001          | < 0.001          |
|                | SRP+PDT          | 6.65 (1.68)     | 5.27 (1.5)      | 5.61 (1.43)     | < 0.001          | < 0.001          | < 0.001          |
|                | P value (SRP vs. SRP+PDT) | 0.512 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| IL-1β          | SRP              | 344.2 (277.4)   | 410.4 (174.2)   | 352.5 (204.3)   | 0.463            | 0.988            | 0.554            |
|                | SRP+PDT          | 373.5 (215.0)   | 392.2 (139.8)   | 282.5 (159.2)   | 0.903            | 0.098            | 0.073            |
|                | P value (SRP vs. SRP+PDT) | 0.639 | 0.648 | 0.131 |

Abbreviations: PI, plaque index; GR, gingival recession; BOP, bleeding on probing; PPD, periodontal probing depth; CAL, clinical attachment level; IL-1β, interleukin-1β; PDT, photodynamic therapy; SRP, scaling and root planing; PD, Probing depth.
PDT was found to have a direct effect on extracellular molecules within the biofilm, such as polysaccharides, which were highly sensitive to singlet oxygen and susceptible to photo damage.23 Also, damage to DNA and plasma membrane proteins of periodontal pathogens have been demonstrated resulting in their deactivation, as Porphyromonas gingivalis or Fusobacterium nucleatum.24,25 PDT were found to be equally effective against antibiotic-resistant and antibiotic-susceptible bacteria, and upon repeated application of PDT, development of resistant strains has not been induced.26

Some concerns regarding the clinical application of PDT were raised. One concern is the PDT’s potential photocytotoxicity to host cells. However, it has been demonstrated that PDT laser light dose required for bacterial killing is much lower than the toxic dose for keratinocytes and fibroblasts.27 Killing the entire flora leaving the host susceptible to opportunistic infections were another concern, which were managed by the introduction of selective photosensitizers, that selectively stain and kill the targeted pathogenic bacteria without affecting the surrounding periodontal tissues adversely.28,29

In the present study, PI, BOP, PPD and CAL improved significantly in the sites treated with SRP and PDT from baseline to the final examination compared to SRP alone.

Our results are consistent with what was reported by a study showing a significant decrease in the mean value of BOP and gain in the mean CAL from baseline, at six weeks and at 12 weeks compared to SRP alone on patients with moderate to advanced periodontal disease.30 This was supported by another study as well, adjunctive PDT was not only superior to SRP in terms of improvements in PD and CAL, but also in PI.31

In our study BOP was reduced in both groups. The decrease in BOP incidence in the present study in both test and control sites reflects the decrease in the periodontal inflammation, since the BOP index is a predictor of the progression of periodontal disease. These results were similar to a study that found improvement in full mouth bleeding score (FMBS) with adjunctive PDT.32 Improvement only in bleeding scores could be attributed to photobiomodulation, as light can reduce inflammation and inflammatory cell infiltration, decrease the formation of abnormal blood vessels and bleeding, and maintains healthy blood vessels by the accelerating of collagen synthesis and organization.33,34

The data out on PDT and periodontitis is not conclusive as a published systematic review/meta-analysis, concluded that using PDT as an adjunct or alternative to SRP did not add clinically significant benefits.35 A study stated that adjunctive PDT did not provide any additional benefits clinically or biologically.36 But all agreed that some benefits can be achieved by PDT, such as it is a noninvasive modality with minimal risk of thermal injury preventing damage to adjacent hard and soft periodontal tissue, reduced tendency to develop bacterial resistance, elimination of microorganisms in sites that might be inaccessible to selectivity in its effect, technical simplicity with rapid and painless application.

In this study, a significant reduction of the mean PI for (SRP) and (SRP+PDT) groups was noticed at the recall visits compared with the baseline measurement. The significant reduction of plaque was due to the thorough SRP performed at the first visit for both test and control sites and oral hygiene instructions. Our findings also showed significant reduction in mean values for PI in the test sites at 2 and 6 months postoperatively in comparison with the control sites. This is generally consistent with the results of previous studies.37

Our findings for IL-1β levels analysis are consistent with the results of other investigations, which revealed that adjunctive PDT did not result in any significant changes in the levels of the inflammatory marker IL-1β.38

Thus, by adding adjunctive photodynamic treatment to conventional mechanical and chemical approaches, it might be possible to improve nonsurgical periodontal therapy approach. However, Further more detailed, controlled clinical, microbiological, and biochemical research and meta-analysis is necessary to determine the effectiveness of PDT in the treatment of refractory periodontitis.

Few limitations have been observed in the study. The study was done for a short duration with a small sample size and confined to bilateral teeth exhibiting similar disease pattern. No microbiological sampling and analysis were carried on.

Within the limitation of this study, it is concluded that the PDT as an adjunct to SRP was superior to conventional treatment in patients diagnosed with refractory periodontitis in terms of clinical parameters. Results showed significant clinical improvement in terms of CAL gain, PI reduction, PD reduction and BOP reduction. Therefore, PDT adjunctive treatment may provide a more favorable healing environment and improve the periodontal clinical parameters.
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