Evaluation of the Effects of Low Level Laser Therapy on the Healing Process After Skin Graft Surgery in Burned Patients (A Randomized Clinical Trial)
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Abstract

Introduction: Skin graft is the standard therapeutic technique in patients with deep ulcers, but like every surgical procedure, it may present some complications. Although several modern dressings are available to enhance comfort of donor site, the use of techniques that accelerate wound healing may enhance patient's satisfaction. Low level laser therapy (LLLT) has been used in several medical fields, especially for wound healing, but it may take several months for large ulcers treated with laser to heal completely.

Methods: Nine patients with bilateral similar grade 3 burn ulcers in both hands or both feet were selected as candidates for split-thickness skin graft (STSG). One side was selected for laser irradiation and the other side as control, randomly. Laser was irradiated every day for 7 days with red 655 nm light, 150 mW, 2 J/cm² at the bed of the ulcer and with infra-red 808 nm light, 200 mW for the margins.

Results: The rate of wound dehiscence after skin graft surgery was significantly lower in laser treated group in comparison to control group which received only classic dressing (P=0.019).

Conclusion: The results showed LLLT to be a safe effective method which improves graft survival and wound healing process and decreases the rate of wound dehiscence in patients with deep burn ulcers.
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Introduction

Split-thickness skin graft (STSG) is the standard tool for covering skin defects in deep burn ulcers.1 Successful graft transplantation needs 3 phases: (1) Capillary revascularization, (2) Lymphatic revascularization, (3) Re-innervation. Failure in each step may result in graft failure.2 Using therapeutic methods for improving these steps may increase the success in skin grafting and decrease the rate of repeated surgery.3

Low level laser therapy (LLLT) as an effective wound healing method may affect these steps and accelerate healing. Several reviews and meta-analysis introduce this technique as a safe and effective therapeutic modality.4 6

It seems that laser therapy induces neovascularization and increases tissue perfusion.5 7 Several molecular mechanisms have been described for low level lasers effects that lead to accelerating tissue perfusion and wound healing, including: reactive oxygen species formation,8 activation of ATPases,9 stimulation of Ca-influx and mitosis rate,10 increased mRNA and protein synthesis and cellular proliferation,11 12 increased vascular endothelial growth factor and neovascularization.13

Clinical studies report significant effects of LLLT in the healing of different kind of ulcers, including venous, pressure and diabetic foot ulcers.14 15 The efficacy of this method in burn ulcers is studied only on animals.16 18 The only report using LLLT in burn patients is our previous study, using LLLT along with skin graft surgery in grade 3 burn of diabetic ulcer.20

In the present study, we are the first to evaluate the effect of LLLT on the healing of STSG in patients with grade 3 burn ulcer as a randomized clinical trial.

## Methods

The study was conducted in Motahari specialized burn hospital. Nine patients with bilateral full thickness burns on both hands or both feet were selected for the study. Early excision and grafting was done for all patients within 3-4 days of admission. When the patients were resuscitated and became stable, they were prepared for STSG. To harvest donor sites, electric dermatome (Humaca Instruments, Poland) was set at 0.4 mm. One side (one hand or one foot) was selected as laser group (A) and one as control (B). Photographs were taken from both sides using iPhone 5S camera. After excision of burned skin, only side A was laser irradiated while STSG was done for both sides. Similar dressing (vaseline gauze) was done for both sides. After 3 days, when dressing was changed routinely, laser irradiation was done for side A and photography was done. Laser irradiation was continued every day for 7 days and again photography was done. The situation for all photographs was the same and a ruler within the photograph was used for calibration. Wound surface area was evaluated by PictZar software. Figure 1 illustrates the consort diagram.

### Laser Irradiation

Portable laser probe (PLP), 650 nm red laser; 150 mW, radiation area: 0.25 cm$^2$, power density: 0.6 W/cm$^2$, contact, continuous mode, 2 J/cm$^2$, (Canadian Optic Laser Center, COL laser, Canada) was used for irradiation of the bed of the ulcers and portable 3 L, 808 nm infra-red, radiation area: 1 cm$^2$, contact, continuous mode, 6 J/cm$^2$ (Iran), was used to irradiate the margins. This is a suggested protocol for wound treatment in most studies.$^{14,21,22}$

Sterile transparent cover was used for contact laser irradiation. Output laser power after passing through the cover was calculated using dosimeter.

## Results

Patients parameters are illustrated in Table 1. Data were analyzed with SPSS (Statistical package for the social sciences) software version 21. Nine patients were recruited for the study (Age [mean ± SD]: 40.11 ± 9.07 years, with burn surface percentage [mean ± SD]: 34.80 ±18.30) (Table 2). Normality of continuous data was assessed by Shapiro-Wilks test. The mean of burn ulcers before and after treatment and in control and laser groups were compared by independent $t$ test (Figure 2).

## Discussion

In the present study, we were the first to evaluate the effects of LLLT on the healing process after skin graft surgery in patients with deep burn ulcers. Local irradiation of red and infra-red laser light significantly reduced the rate of dehiscence of grafted area ($P=0.019$). In a similar study we used LLLT along with skin graft surgery in diabetic patients with grade 3 burn ulcers who were candidates for amputation. The results showed significant effect of LLLT on the prognosis of surgery and all patients who were candidates for amputation, healed completely.$^{20}$ Our previous findings showed the significant effects of laser therapy on growth factors involved in wound healing including fibroblast growth factor (FGF),$^{12}$ on wound healing process and neuropathy of diabetic patients,$^{14,23}$ pressure ulcer,$^{15}$ after skin graft surgery in diabetic patients$^{20}$ and post cesarean surgery.$^{24}$ Bossini et al studied the effect of 670 nm laser light on...
Table 1. Patients’ Parameters of Nine Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Burn Surface</th>
<th>Control Pre-treatment Ulcer Surface/cm²</th>
<th>Control Post-treatment Ulcer Surface/cm²</th>
<th>Laser Pre-treatment</th>
<th>Laser Post-treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>83.477</td>
<td>18.343</td>
<td>70.564</td>
<td>2.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>70.543</td>
<td>8.718</td>
<td>81.857</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>86.337</td>
<td>14.594</td>
<td>64.286</td>
<td>3.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>74.438</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>124.910</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>124.523</td>
<td>14.527</td>
<td>68.256</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>126.553</td>
<td>4.457</td>
<td>130.352</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>93.175</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>167.105</td>
<td>14.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>76.893</td>
<td>14.414</td>
<td>99.165</td>
<td>4.684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>30.169</td>
<td>6.595</td>
<td>49.396</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Mean ± SD (cm²) of Ulcer Surfaces (Dehiscence Area) in 2 Study Groups Before and After Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laser Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before</td>
<td>95.10 ± 38.52</td>
<td>85.12 ± 29.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After</td>
<td>3.18 ± 4.72</td>
<td>18.07 ± 24.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Several in vitro and in vivo studies demonstrate that laser therapy accelerates wound healing by enhancing epithelialization, fibroblasts activity, revascularization, increasing perfusion, and improving the tensile strength of scars. In a review by Schindl et al, they reported laser therapy as a valuable adjuvant treatment in wound healing. In another review by Chukuka et al, they mentioned LLLT as a highly effective treatment for accelerating tissue repair and pain management. Although LLLT has been used successfully for treatment of different kinds of ulcers including post-surgery, diabetic and pressure ulcers, the efficacy of this technique on burn ulcers is studied only on animal models. Bayat et al reported that LLLT decreased the rate of infection with Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermis in deep burn of rats. Mester et al concluded that laser therapy accelerates epithelial formation in burned mice. Ezzati et al reported that LLLT improves healing of third degree burn ulcer in rats. Dantas et al suggested that using low level laser and sodium alginate/chitosan film accelerates neovascularization, epithelialization, and collagen formation of burn ulcers in mice.

Several techniques are accompanied by STSG for improving the surgery prognosis. Scherer et al used vacuum assisted closure device for improving graft survival. They reported that this technique is a safe and effective method for securing STSG, but this technique is an expensive therapy in comparison with LLLT. Modern dressings including alginate dressings are also used to accelerate wound healing. Steenfors and Agren studied the efficacy of these dressings after STSG in a randomized controlled study. They reported that although these dressings increased initial blood absorption and faster homeostasis, but they did not find significant effect on epithelialization, in comparison with conventional dressing.

**Conclusion**

In the present study, for the first time in a randomized clinical trial, we used LLLT to improve the prognosis of skin graft surgery and for prevention of dehiscence in...
patients with grade 3 burn ulcers. Our results showed that using LLLT significantly decreased the rate of dehiscence in these patients.
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