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Abstract

Introduction: Laser irradiation of dentin surface can affect its surface topography and roughness. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) is among the most efficient tools for determination of surface topography of natural biomolecules in nano-scales. Surface roughness affects plaque retention especially in the cervical region. This study aimed to assess and compare the obstruction of dentinal tubules and dentin surface roughness after irradiation of erbium: yttrium aluminum garnet (Er:YAG) and CO2 lasers for treatment of dentin hypersensitivity (DH).

Methods: Five disc-shaped samples measuring 3 mm in thickness were fabricated by horizontal sectioning of the cervical area of five extracted human molars using a low speed saw. Each disc was divided into 3 segments by a bur under water coolant. The three segments of each disc were placed on a glass slide. First segment: No intervention (control group). Second segment: Er:YAG laser irradiation (2940 nm, 50 mJ, 10 Hz and 0.5 W, 30 seconds) along with water coolant. Third segment: CO2 laser irradiation (10600 nm, 80 Hz, 0.3 W, 30 seconds) along with water coolant. After that, the surfaces underwent non-contact AFM. The diameters of dentinal tubules as well as surface roughness were then measured and statistically analyzed using repeated measures ANOVA.

Results: The surface roughness parameters (Ra, Rq) showed increased roughness after laser irradiation and this increase in roughness after Er:YAG laser application was significant compared to the control group (P=0.048). However, CO2 laser caused no significant change in surface roughness. Also, after Er:YAG laser application, fewer open dentinal tubules were observed and the remaining open tubules had a smaller diameter.

Conclusion: Based on the results, Er:YAG laser irradiation obstructs the dentinal tubules and increases the dentin surface roughness. This increase in surface roughness can cause microbial plaque retention and increase the risk of caries and periodontal disease. Application of CO2 laser (compared to Er:YAG) lead to slight but clinically significant obstruction of dentinal tubules and surface roughness.
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Introduction

Dentin hypersensitivity (DH) is defined as pain due to exposure of dentin. This pain is brief and sharp and produced in response to chemical, osmotic, and contact stimuli. This pain cannot be attributed to any pathological condition. The global prevalence of DH has been reported to be 10% to 30% depending on the population and study design. It most commonly involves patients in the age range of 20 to 30 years. DH occurs because of loss of protective coat of dentin, and its exposure to the oral environment. It often takes place due to abrasion, attrition, erosion, abfraction, gingival recession, and incorrect tooth brushing habits. The mechanism of DH has been the subject of many recent investigations. Several mechanisms have been proposed explaining DH, but three mechanisms are more commonly accepted. First mechanism is direct innervation theory: Free nerve endings are widely spread in dentin, and react upon direct stimulation of dentin. Second one is Odontoblastic Receptor theory (OR):
Odontoblasts may be traumatized because of thermal, mechanical, chemical or osmotic stimulation of dentin. Based on this theory, odontoblasts and their processes can serve as receptors. Upon stimulation of their cell membrane, they send an electric or chemical pain signal.\(^7\) The last mechanism is Hydrodynamy theory: Evidence shows that dentinal fluid flow is the main cause of pain. The pain stimulators like heat and cold result in the flow of dentinal fluid.\(^8\) Researchers found a positive correlation between the flow rate of dentinal fluid and the degree of excitation of dentinal nerves. They noticed that fluid outflow causes a more severe response than inflow of the fluid.\(^8\) To make an accurate diagnosis, the causative agents and the modifying factors must be well assessed. Accurate diagnosis includes a complete history taking and clinical and radiographic examinations.\(^9\) Several methods have been used for treatment of DH. Most of these methods are suitable for treatment of hypersensitivity of the cervical region of the teeth.\(^10\) Clinicians use different materials and techniques for treatment of DH including special desensitizing tooth pastes, laser irradiation, dentin adhesives, antibacterial agents, resin materials, and fluoride-containing mouthwashes.\(^11\) Application of laser for treatment of tooth hypersensitivity was first reported by Matsumoto et al in 1985. They used Nd:YAG laser for this purpose.\(^12\) Advances in laser technology have increased its application in dentistry and for treatment of tooth hypersensitivity.\(^13\) Laser decreases tooth hypersensitivity via two mechanisms: the first mechanisms is, direct effect of laser on electrical activity of nerve fibers present in dental pulp, and the second mechanism is, obstruction of dentinal tubules via the melting phenomenon.\(^14\) Two types of lasers may be used for treatment of tooth hypersensitivity, low-level lasers including He-Ne, diode and GaAlAs and intermediate-level lasers including Nd:YAG, CO\(_2\), Er:YAG and Er,Cr:YSGG.\(^14\) CO\(_2\) laser was first used for treatment of tooth hypersensitivity by Moritz et al\(^15\) in 1996 in 5 W power for 5 seconds in 6 sessions. The mechanism of this effect was through obstruction and narrowing of dentinal tubules.\(^16\) The sealing (obstruction) depth of dentinal tubules by 2W CO\(_2\) laser and 1 second time was found to be approximately 2–8 microns.\(^14\) Er:YAG laser at 2940 nm wavelength was used by Shwartz et al\(^17\) in 2002. This laser is highly absorbed by water and results in evaporation of the dentinal fluid and the smear layer and consequently leads to obstruction of dentinal tubules. Another possible mechanism may be related to the melting phenomenon by use of this laser.\(^14\) Laser application for treatment of DH has been associated with controversial results. Some researchers attributed its effects to the placebo effect,\(^18\) while some others have shown equal or higher efficacy of laser alone or in combination with chemical agents such as GLUMA, and sodium fluoride than that of chemical methods for treatment of DH.\(^19\) Atomic force microscopy (AFM) is among the most efficient tools for determination of surface topography of natural biomolecules in nano-scales. In scanning AFM, the surface of specimen is probed by a sharp needle with 2 μ length; its tip diameter is often 10 nm. The needle is located at the free end of a cantilever with 100-400 μ length. The loads between the needle and specimen surface bring about bending or deviation of the cantilever. An indicator measures the deviation of the cantilever when the needle scans the specimen surface. Measurement of the cantilever deviation enables the computer to produce an image of the surface topography. Scanning AFM can be used to study the conductive, semi-conductive, and non-conductor materials. Different forces participate in deviations of scanning AFM such as atomic or van der Waals forces. Most currently used AFMs have laser beam refraction system.\(^21\) Laser irradiation may change surface topography and surface roughness that increasing dentin surface roughness.\(^22\) There is a high positive correlation between surface roughness and bacterial adhesion.\(^23\) Also, increased plaque retention compromises periodontal health.\(^24\) Not many studies have assessed the effect of laser irradiation or the irradiation settings for treatment of DH.\(^25\) Thus, there was an obvious need to assess the effect of laser irradiation on changes in dentin surface and surface roughness. This study sought to assess and compare the obstruction of dentinal tubules and dentin surface roughness after Er:YAG and CO\(_2\) laser irradiation for treatment of DH.

**Methods**

**Specimen Preparation**

Five disc-shaped samples were fabricated of the cervical region of five extracted human molars by horizontal sectioning using a low speed saw (IsoMet\(^26\) Low Speed Saw, Buehler). The teeth were selected among mature third molars, which were preferably impacted and had no caries. The teeth were immersed in 0.5% chloramine T solution for one week for disinfection. After that the pulp tissue at the center of samples was removed, and all 5 discs were divided into 3 segments using a bur under water coolant and cementum was removed by bur under water coolant to expose the underlying dentin.

To eliminate the smear layer, the exposed dentin surface was subjected to 14% EDTA for 60 seconds and was then rinsed with sodium hypochlorite. Tooth samples were separately glued on glass slides. The three segments of each disc underwent the following interventions with laser

First segment: No intervention (control group)

Second segment: Er:YAG laser (2940D plus, Deka, Italy) irradiation with the irradiation setting of 50 mJ, 10 Hz and 0.5 W for 30 seconds with scanning motion and 4 mm distance with tip less handpiece.

Third segment: CO\(_2\) laser (US-20D, DEKA, Italy) irradiation with the irradiation settings of 10600 nm, 80
Hz and 0.3 W for 30 seconds with scanning motion and 15 mm distance with tip less handpiece. The samples were then subjected to non-contact AFM (JPK NanoWizard®6, Germany).

(a) Assessment of the diameter of dentinal tubules: images (10×10 nm) were captured and descriptively analyzed.
(b) Measurement of surface roughness: The surface roughness (Ra and Rq) was determined in selected areas. The Ra and Rq values were measured in five points in each segment with equal distances from each other (1 μm) and the mean of Ra and Rq was calculated. The P values of surface roughness parameters were subjected to pair wise comparisons by Sidak test.

Results
Assessment of changes in surface roughness parameters and dentinal tubule diameters of the samples after laser irradiation:
Laser irradiation of dentin surface affected the surface topography and surface roughness of dentin. Evaluation of changes in surface roughness parameters (Ra and Rq) after laser irradiation revealed that surface roughness increased following laser irradiation.
- Ra parameter (arithmetic mean value of surface roughness), indicates the average roughness and is defined as the arithmetic mean deviation of the surface valleys and peaks from the center line in the measuring length.
- Rq parameter (dentin mean square roughness), the average of the measured height deviations taken within the evaluation length or area and measured from the mean linear surface. Rq is the rms parameter corresponding to Ra.

The confidence interval and the mean value of the surface roughness parameters (Ra and Rq) increased in the intervention groups compared to the control group (Figure 1) and this increase in Er:YAG laser group was statistically significant compared to the control group.
Table 1 shows the mean and standard deviation (SD) of surface roughness parameters. As can be seen from the Table 1, the surface roughness parameters in both laser groups increased compared to the control group but this increase only in Er:YAG laser group was statistically significant.
Table 2 shows the P values for the pair wise comparison of surface roughness parameters using the Sidak test. As seen from the Table 2, the increase in both surface roughness parameters (Ra, Rq) in Er:YAG laser group was significant compared to the control group (P = 0.04).
Figure 2 shows the AFM micrographs of the samples. As seen, the 2 intervention groups had fewer open dentinal tubules. The diameter of the remaining open tubules in both groups was smaller than that in the control group.

Discussion
In previous studies different chemical and mechanical methods, laser irradiation and ultrasound have been introduced for smear layer removal.26 Use of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) for more than 20 minutes can result in over-demineralization and breakage of dentinal tubules. The efficacy of EDTA for smear layer removal is attributed to its ability in dissolving the inorganic components of the smear layer by elimination of calcium ions; for elimination of residual organic compounds, researchers have recommended its application in conjunction with sodium hypochlorite.22 Yamada et al, in 1983 noticed that 17% EDTA along with sodium hypochlorite was more effective in smear layer removal than 25% citric acid combined with sodium hypochlorite.27 In our study, this material was applied for smear layer removal and exposure of dentinal tubules. Several methods have been recommended for DH treatment. Depending on the type and irradiation parameters of laser, it can have 5.2% to 100% efficacy.23 The mechanism of laser for treatment of DH has not been
Table 2. P Values for Pair Wise Comparisons of Surface Roughness Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface Roughness Parameters</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ra versus Ra-CO₂</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ra versus Ra-Er:YAG</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ra-Er:YAG versus Ra-CO₂</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rq versus Rq-CO₂</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rq versus Rq-Er:YAG</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rq-Er:YAG versus Rq-CO₂</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

well elucidated. However, Pashley stated that decreased DH might be related to changes in dentinal fluid flow or alterations in activity of nerve fibers.26 McCarthy et al showed that DH was due to the physical obstruction of dentinal tubules.27

In 2006, Crespi et al demonstrated using Er:YAG laser led to rougher surface morphology compared to the control group with no surface treatment.30

In 2011, Botta et al evaluated the effect of Er:Cr:YSGG laser with 12.5 mJ, 20 Hz frequency and 2.78 μm wavelength parameters on micro-topography of dentin using AFM. They observed that after laser irradiation and comparison with the no-intervention control group, Ra significantly increased.31

In 2002, Schwarz et al revealed that Er:YAG laser irradiation with 3 Hz frequency and 80 mJ energy parameters had an efficacy below the required threshold for dentin ablation. Decreased flow of fluid in dentinal tubules based on the hydrodynamic theory is directly related to decreased DH. The effect of laser on tissue depends on its energy intensity, radiation time and use of water coolant.32 However, in our study, higher energy and frequency parameters were used. Irrespective of other factors, surface roughness created in the dentin surface can be due to laser ablation.

Surface roughness created by Er:YAG laser irradiation (100 mJ, 3 Hz, 60 seconds) was the result of ablation of dentin surface in Birang et al study in 2007. In addition to causing surface roughness, laser seals the dentinal tubules and prevents the penetration of bacteria into dentinal tubules and thus reduces DH.33

Er:YAG laser is an effective tool for elimination of smear layer from the root canal walls. Deposition of insoluble salts into exposed dentinal tubules results in obstruction of dentinal tubules and decreases DH. The efficacy of medical and dental applications of this type of laser is due to its absorption by water molecules and high thermomechanical ablation. The absorption of Er:YAG laser by water molecules is 15 times the rate for CO₂ laser.

Soares et al study on third molars using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) showed that Er:YAG laser with an energy intensity above 180 mJ partially occluded the dentinal tubules and was not suitable for diffusion of materials. It more commonly affected the carbonate, phosphate and organic components of dentin.34 The results of the afore-mentioned study showed increased dentin surface roughness following the application of Er:YAG laser, which is in agreement with our findings. This is important considering the increased plaque retention on laser irradiated surfaces for the purpose of treatment of DH. Irradiation of CO₂ laser caused no statistically significant difference with the control group but clinically, the created surface roughness was considerable.

In a study by Shahabi et al in 2013 on extracted human molar teeth, different lasers were applied and it was reported that 1.5 W and 80 Hz CO₂ laser resulted in melting and crack formation on dentin surface. Evidence shows that CO₂ laser with different parameters results in evaporation of water and dentin organic materials; therefore, surface roughness and melting of some dentin
areas occur. In fact, cracks form due to tissue shrinkage following loss of water and collagen matrix. In general, use of standard laser parameters for effective hard tissue ablation is important for an expert operator.\textsuperscript{31} Rough surfaces result in formation and maturation of microbial plaque. Dental plaque causes periodontal disease and dental caries. This indicates the clinical importance of surface roughness.\textsuperscript{30} Some studies have evaluated diameter of dentinal tubules.\textsuperscript{19,20} Ehlers et al\textsuperscript{13} studied on 15 females and 7 males with cervical DH treated one quadrant with GLUMA, and the other quadrant with Er:YAG laser reported that laser therapy for treatment of DH was more effective than chemical agents for obstruction of dentinal tubules and decreasing their diameter. Yu et al in 2012 showed that Er:YAG laser and CO\textsubscript{2} laser were both effective for treatment of DH without adversely affecting the pulp.\textsuperscript{37} In 2010, Dilsiz et al, in Turkey evaluated the effects of Er:YAG (2940 nm, 60 mJ, 2 Hz, 20 seconds), Nd:YAG (1064 nm, 100 mJ, 15 Hz, 100 seconds) and diode laser (808 nm, 100 mw, 20 seconds) as dentin desensitizers in short and long term on teeth with gingival recession. They evaluated 24 patients and 96 teeth with gingival recession. The results showed significant reduction in DH at all measured time points in the 3 treatment sessions in groups treated with Er:YAG, Nd:YAG and diode lasers.\textsuperscript{38} Gholami et al in 2011 evaluated dentin surfaces exposed to 14% EDTA and showed that application of 1 W CO\textsubscript{2} laser melted the peri-tubular dentin and obstructed the dentinal tubules partially or completely. Thus, DH decreased.\textsuperscript{39}

Using SEM, Gursoy et al, in 2012 indicated that Er:YAG laser irradiation (30 Hz, 60 mJ, 10 seconds) decreased the diameter and number of dentinal tubules.\textsuperscript{40} Evidence shows that laser irradiation of dentin surfaces results in surface destruction or melting of dentin hydroxyapatite crystals. Resultantly, dentinal tubules are obstructed and hydrodynamic stimulation of pulpal nerve fibers (which causes DH) no longer occurs. Moreover, laser irradiation changes the surface topography and dentin surface roughness. Increased plaque retention also compromises the periodontal health. Furthermore, our study indicated Laser irradiation of dentin surface affected the surface topography and surface roughness of dentin. Evaluation of changes in surface roughness parameters (Ra and Rq) after laser irradiation revealed that surface roughness increased following laser irradiation.

**Conclusion**

Based on the results of this study, Er:YAG laser irradiation can obstruct the dentinal tubules and probably decrease DH. However, it can also increase surface roughness, microbial plaque retention, risk of periodontal disease, and dental caries. Surface roughness and obstruction of dentinal tubules occurred to a lesser extent by the application of CO\textsubscript{2} laser (compared to Er:YAG); nevertheless, these effects were clinically considerable. Future studies are required on the same lasers with different irradiation parameters as well as on other types of lasers with dental applications to assess their efficacy for treatment of DH.
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