Effects of Preemptive and Preventive Intravenous Paracetamol on Postoperative Pain and Opioid Consumption in Patients Undergoing Laparoscopic Nephrectomy
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Abstract

Background: Adequate pain control is a goal in postoperative recovery. Although opioids provide good analgesic effects, their side effects such as postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) limit their administration. Intravenous Paracetamol as a safe and well-tolerated drug with fewer side effects can be used instead of opioids for pain management. The aim of this study is to compare preemptive or preventive administration of paracetamol with placebo group to investigate its effects on pain control and opioid consumption in patients undergoing laparoscopic nephrectomy.

Materials and Methods: Ninety patients were randomly divided to three groups. Preemptive group received 1 gram Paracetamol in 100 milliliters of 0.9% saline 30 minutes before induction of anesthesia, the preventive group received 1 gram paracetamol in 100 milliliters of 0.9% saline before closure of the skin and placebo group just received 100 milliliters of 0.9% saline. Post-surgical pain was assessed using verbal rating scale (VRS). Pethidine 0.25 mg/kg was administered and repeated each 10 minutes to control pain as needed. Pain scores, total dose of opioid and symptoms like nausea and vomiting were recorded.

Results: Preemptive and preventive groups had lower pain scores than placebo group. Opioid consumption and PONV were significantly higher in placebo group. No significant differences were observed between Preemptive and preventive groups.

Conclusion: Intervenes Paracetamol can provide an adequate pain control with few side effects and may be an effective choice in management of postoperative pain in patients undergoing laparoscopic nephrectomy.
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Introduction

One of the most important issues in postoperative recovery is pain management. Inadequate pain control increases the risk of postoperative complications such as deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and respiratory insufficiency (1). On the other hand, optimal post surgical pain control will lower duration and costs of hospital stay, reduces incidence of postoperative chronic pain and increases patients’ outcome (2). For decades, opioids used to be the first line medications in post operative pain management (3). Because of greater analgesic efficacy and higher patient satisfaction, patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) is the method of choice in administration of opioids (4). During opioid PCA, adverse effects such as postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV), drowsiness, respiratory depression, and gastrointestinal and bladder dysfunction are frequent (5). Methods such as adding non-opioid analgesics like nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) (6) or utilizing other additives in the PCA pumps like ketamine (7), other modalities like oral Pregabalin, intravenous corticosteroid, Lidocaine and Nitroglycerine which were reported to decrease postoperative pain (8,10) are used to decrease these side effects.

One of the other approaches to achieve an optimal post surgical pain with minimal side effects is using of preemptive analgesia (11). Preemptive Analgesia is defined as an antinociceptive treatment starting before surgery that prevents establishment of altered central afferent input from injuries; this method was shown to be more effective than preventive Analgesia, which is the administration of intraoperative analgesic agents, in controlling pain-induced sensitization of the central nervous system (11, 12). Paracetamol is a safe, well-tolerated drug with proven efficacy as analgesic that rapidly passes the blood brain barrier (13, 14). Although Paracetamol has peripheral effects but its clinical manifestations arise most likely from central action (13, 14). Intravenous (IV) administration of Paracetamol is used to relief pain (13). Peak analgesic effect of IV Paracetamol is achieved in 1 hour and lasts for 4-6 hours (14). Since it is not sedative and has no major adverse effect like respiratory and circulatory depression, it is an ideal agent for day care procedures with mild to moderate pain (15). Recently, it is suggested to use intravenous Paracetamol in postoperative pain management (11, 13, 16).

The aim of this study was to compare two different protocols of postoperative pain management, using intravenous Paracetamol as preemptive or preventive analgesia in patients undergoing laparoscopic nephrectomy, and to investigate Paracetamol efficacy in reducing the postoperative opioid consumption and its related side effects.

Methods

In this Clinical Trial study, from February 2014 until March 2015, patients between the ages of 20 and 65 years, American society of anesthesiologists (the classification of the ASA) physical status I- II, who were referred for laparoscopic nephrectomy to Labbafinejad Hospital, Tehran, Iran, were included. Written informed consent was obtained from all participating patients and the Helsinki declaration and Labbafinejad ethics committee’s rules were respected all over the study course. Patients with a history of allergy to any of the study medications (opioid, general anesthetic agents or paracetamol), history of opioid or Paracetamol use during the last 48 hours before surgery, hepatic or renal disorders, coagulopathy, pregnancy, cancer, seizure and opioid or alcohol abuse were excluded from the study. Patients with severe hemorrhage (more than 1500 ml) and duration of surgery more than 4 hours were excluded from the study. In case of reoperation before 24 hours, the data was recorded but not included in analysis.

Patients were randomly divided to three groups based on computerized randomization. In preemptive paracetamol group, 1 gram (gr.) Paracetamol (one gr. ampule, authorized by Cobel Darou Co, Iran) in 100 ml 0.9% saline (normal saline) was given to patient 30 minutes before induction of anesthesia; they also received 100 ml of normal saline prior to skin closure during surgery. In preventive Paracetamol group, patients received 100 ml IV normal saline 30 minutes before induction and 1 gr. Paracetamol in 100 ml normal saline before closure of the skin in surgery. Placebo group received 100 ml normal saline 30 minutes before induction and 100 ml normal saline before closure of the skin. All patients received 2
µg/kg Fentanyl (ampule 10 mL, Fentanyl-Janssen™, Belgium) and 0.03 mg/kg Midazolam (ampule 5 mg, MIDAZOLEX®5, Exir-Iran) as premedication. They were oxygenated with 100% oxygen for 3 minutes and then went through induction by Sodium Thiopental (EXIPENTAL 1g, Exir-Iran) 5 mg/kg and Atracurium (ampule 10 mg., Mayne Pharma Plc™, UK) 0.6 mg/kg. After intubation, maintenance of anesthesia was continued by O₂/N₂O 50% and Isoflurane (AErrane; Baxter MFD, Puerto Rico) 1.2%. Patients were continuously monitored for blood pressure, O₂ saturation, heart rate and end-tidal CO₂. The anesthesiologist administered fentanyl (20% of first dose) as needed during the operation. 30 minutes before the end of the operation, Fentanyl was not administered anymore and all the patients received Morphine Sulfate (10 mg. ampule, Darou Pakhsh Co, Iran) 0.05 mg/kg.

Verbal Rating Scale (VRS) was used for assessment of post-surgical pain in all patients 30 minutes, 4, 8 and 24 hours after the operation. In VRS 0 represents “no pain” and 10 represents, “the worst pain possible”. Patient’s first request for opioid, total dose of opioid and symptoms like nausea and vomiting were recorded. An anesthesiology resident collected data through provided questionnaire. In patients with scale 3 and higher, Pethidine (ampule 50 mg, Pethedine IPDIC, Caspian Tamin pharmaceutical co. Rasht-Iran) 0.25 mg/kg was administered intravenously and repeated each 10 minutes to control pain. Patients with pain greater than 3 despite two times Pethidine administration were excluded. In patients with history of allergy to Pethidine, Morphine 2.5 mg was administered. In case of vomiting, Metoclopramide (ampule 10 mg, PLADIC®, Caspian Tamin pharmaceutical co. Rasht-Iran) 0.1 mg/kg for the first time and Ondansetron (ampule 4mg, DEMITRON®,Tehran Chemie, Tehran-Iran) 4 mg for the second time were administered. A pilot study of pain reported by patients after nephrectomy revealed that the average VAS score for pain was 3.8 with a standard deviation of 1.5. Considering a power of 0.8, alpha error at 0.05 and maximum allowable difference of 1 in VAS score the sample size of 30 patients in each group was calculated.

Statistical analysis was performed by SPSS software version 19 using ANOVA and FISHER test. P<0.05 was considered significant in all tests.

Results

One hundred and four patients were observed for the study. However, ten of them were opioid abusers and four of them had metastatic cancer, they were excluded. Finally, ninety patients (n=30, for each group) met the inclusion criteria. No patients met severe hemorrhage and prolonged duration of surgery. We had no case of reoperation in the first 24 hours (Figure 1). There was no significant difference among the groups regarding patients’ characteristics such as age, sex, weight and duration of surgery (Table 1).

Mean values of VRS scores, in recorded intervals show a lower pain severity in preemptive and preventive group in comparison to placebo group. This difference was statically significant. VRS scores

Table 1: Patients’ Characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preemptive</th>
<th>Preventive</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age(year)</td>
<td>49±10</td>
<td>51±8</td>
<td>50±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight(kg)</td>
<td>73±8</td>
<td>71±4</td>
<td>70±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery duration(min)</td>
<td>229±14</td>
<td>236±16</td>
<td>233±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex(male/female)</td>
<td>21/9</td>
<td>18/12</td>
<td>24/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA Class(I/II)</td>
<td>18/12</td>
<td>21/9</td>
<td>21/9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologist Class
in preventive group were lower than preemptive group; however, this difference was not statically significant (Table 2). The time of first request for analgesia was also recorded. Due to our results, values of this variable in placebo group were significantly lower than preemptive and preventive group (6±2mins, 12±3 mins and 13±4mins respectively) (Table 2).

In all the recorded intervals, opioid consumption in placebo group was significantly higher than groups in which Paracetamol was used (P=0.001). This consumption was almost the same in preemptive and preventive group (Table 2).

Postoperative nausea and vomiting as one of the side effects of opioid, in 4 and 8 hours after operation was significantly lower in groups in which Paracetamol was used (Table 3).

**Discussion**

In November 2010, intravenous Paracetamol was approved by food and drug administration (FDA) for management of mild to moderate perioperative pain alone; management of moderate to severe pain with adjunctive opioid medication; and reduction of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: VRS Pain Scores and Opioid consumption in recorded intervals.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>P-value</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VRS 30</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VRS 4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VRS 8</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VRS 24</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First analgesic request (minutes)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recovery opioid consumption</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opioid consumption at 4h</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opioid consumption at 8h</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opioid consumption at 24h</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± SD., VRS = Verbal Rating Scale (0-10)

H: hour
fever. Before that, oral tablets, liquid and rectal suppository of Paracetamol were widely used for more than 4 decades (16). Administration of IV Paracetamol causes a rapid elevation in plasma concentration and higher peak levels when compared with its oral route (17). The mean peak concentration of intravenous route is 70% higher than an equivalent oral dose. High plasma concentration causes diffusion of Paracetamol across the blood-brain barrier and results in rapid and high levels of drug in the cerebrospinal fluid (18, 19).

Intravenous Paracetamol has been shown to be effective in management of postoperative pains after several surgeries (11, 13, 15, 16). In our study, both preemptive and preventive Paracetamol lowered pain scores. Opioid consumption and side effects related to opioids like PONV were also reduced when Paracetamol was administered. Our results were consistent with Unal et al, who reported that use of preemptive or postoperative paracetamol reduces Fentanyl related nausea-vomiting in patients undergoing open nephrectomy; Although they did not observe significant decrease in total Fentanyl consumption in the early postoperative period (0-4 hours), but Paracetamol reduces total Fentanyl requirements in the first 24 hours postoperatively (13). Majumdar et al, also demonstrated that use of preemptive Paracetamol in palliative head and neck cancer surgery causes in Fentanyl requirements reduction and earlier discharge from hospital (20).

Hassan et al, compared post-surgical analgesic effects of preemptive and preventive Paracetamol in patients after cesarean section. They assessed postoperative pain by visual analog score (VAS). Their results show that preemptive Paracetamol and immediate postoperative opioid analgesia were more effective than preventive paracetamol (11). In our study, however, the differences between analgesic effects of preemptive and preventive were not significant.

Results of Khalili et al, were similar to our results, they compared analgesic effects of Paracetamol in preventive, preemptive and placebo groups on postoperative pain scores in patients undergoing lower extremity surgery. They used verbal rating scale (VRS) 5 minutes before anesthesia, and 6, 12, 18 and 24 hours after surgery. Due to their results, there were no significant differences in pain scores between the preemptive and preventive groups. However, in comparison with placebo group, Paracetamol lowered pain scores and total analgesic consumption (21).

Although paracetamol is a short acting analgesic, previous studies declared its effectiveness on postoperative side effects like PONV during the first 24 hours (22). It is proposed that decreasing the severity of pain during early postoperative period by Paracetamol could enhance its analgesic efficacy and

Table 3: The nausea and vomiting rate at 8 and 24 hours postoperative in groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>preemptive</th>
<th>preventive</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PONV 8</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vomiting</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PONV 24</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vomiting</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
may end up in opioid sparing until the late postoperative period.

Limitations of our study include a lack of proper method for blinding the investigators and the patients. While this may have a little disturbing effect on Data analysis, further observations with proper method for blinding are recommended.

Conclusion

We demonstrated that IV Paracetamol might be an effective choice in management of postoperative pain in patients undergoing laparoscopic nephrectomy. It lowers pain scores, opioid consumption and reduces side effects of opioid like PONV. Due to this study, there is no significant difference between Preemptive and Preventive protocols for Paracetamol administration.
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