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**Background:** The emergence of Penicillin resistance and multidrug-resistant pneumococcal strains is a global concern. Several reports have demonstrated a correlation between increased Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of Penicillin, and increased MICs of Cephalosporins and other β-lactam antibiotics. It should be pointed out that pneumococcal resistance to Penicillin may predict an unfavorable response to other β-lactam antibiotics.

**Objectives:** To outline pneumococcal resistance to Ceftriaxone, a microbiological survey was performed on pneumococcal strains, during a two-year period.

**Patients and Methods:** In this study, 35 strains of *Pneumococci* were isolated from blood samples of 35 different consecutive patients, admitted to two educational hospitals in Mashhad, North East of Iran during a two-year period of this prospective study; the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of Ceftriaxone was determined, using E-test.

**Results:** Amongst 35 clinical isolates, evaluated in this study; only one isolate (2.86%) was resistant to Ceftriaxone (MIC > 1) and 34 isolates (97.14%) appeared to be sensitive to this antibiotic. MIC ranged from 0.012 to 6 and MIC50 and MIC90 were 0.07 and 0.5, respectively.

**Conclusions:** Considering the low rate of Ceftriaxone resistance amongst isolated pneumococci, in this study, Only Ceftriaxone treatment of adult patients with invasive pneumococcal infections (other than CNS infections) is sufficient; but pediatric patients and patients with CNS infections should be treated with Ceftriaxone and Vancomycin. Apparently, diminution of Vancomycin use can be resulted in reduction of the resistance rate among other bacteria, sensitive to this antimicrobial agent, such as Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococci*.
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**1. Background**

*Streptococcus Pneumonia* is the major cause of community-acquired pneumonia and meningitis (1) which may affect patients in all age groups, particularly those underlying medical conditions (1). The emergence of Penicillin resistance and multidrug-resistant in pneumococcal strains has become a global concern. Since late 1980s, antibiotic-resistant *Pneumococci* sp. has been markedly increased worldwide and is recognized as globally spread pathogens (2). Traditionally, laboratories screened clinically significant isolates of *S. Pneumonia* to evaluate the Penicillin resistance with a 1-mg oxacillin disk (3). Several reports have demonstrated a correlation between increased MICs of Penicillin and increased MICs of Cephalosporins and other β-lactam antibiotics (4-6), showing that pneumococcal resistance to Penicillin may predict an unfavorable response to other antibiotics (2).

Penicillin-resistant *Pneumococci* is particularly common in Europe, South Africa, Latin America and United States (7-9), and the prevalence have been increased through the time. For instance, in the United States, resistance to Penicillin was 5% before 1989 (including 0.02% of isolates for which MICs were 2.0 mg/mL). However, this amount was 6.6% in 1991-1992, 9.5% in 1994-1995, 21.5% in 1999-2000, and decreased to 14.6% in 2004-2005, after introduction of heptavalent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (10-12). Resistant *Pneumococci* may spread from one country to another hence widened and intensified surveillance is needed in all areas, especially in countries where resistance is relatively uncommon (13, 14). Given the significant rate of Penicillin resistance, broad spectrum Cephalosporins (especially Ceftriaxone) are the proper choices for pneumococcal infections (15-17). All in all, there are studies reporting the increase in Ceftriaxone resistance among pneumococcal isolates (18-20), it is important to monitor the changing pattern and prevalence of antibiotic resistance in order to provide reliable information.

**Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:**
The main message of this study is that whether *Pneumococci* are sensitive to Ceftriaxone or not.
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to refer to in empirical treatments of clinical infections.

2. Objectives

To consider the Ceftriaxone pneumococcal resistance pattern, one of the most prescribed antimicrobial agents in our region, microbiological survey was performed on pneumococcal strains during a two-year period. This study investigated the in vitro activities of isolated organisms, using E-test.

3. Patients and Methods

In the present study, 35 strains of *Pneumococci* were isolated from blood samples of 35 different patients admitted to two educational hospitals in Mashhad, North East of Iran. Minimal inhibitory concentration to Ceftriaxone determined, using E-test method based on the manufacturer instructions. Interpretation of the results was carried out according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) definitions (21). According to the CLSI definition for non-meningeal isolates, a microorganism is considered as a susceptible isolated if MIC $\leq 1\mu g/mL$, intermediate resistant if MIC $= 2\mu g/mL$, and resistant if MIC $\geq 4\mu g/mL$.

4. Results

Amongst 35 clinical isolates evaluated in this study, based on CLSI guidelines, only one isolate (2.86%) was resistant to Ceftriaxone (MIC > 1) and 34 isolates (97.14%) were sensitive to this antibiotic (Figure 1).

**Figure 1. Ceftriaxone MIC for Pneumococci**

MIC ranged from 0.012 to 6 and MIC50 and MIC90 were 0.07 and 0.5, respectively.

5. Discussion

As reported in many studies, there is a high frequency of Penicillin resistance among pneumococcal isolates, but the resistance to Ceftriaxone is variable. There are some studies in which the trends of Ceftriaxone susceptibility have been evaluated. In a ten-year study (1998 - 2007) conducted in Calgary, Canada, 1.7% of 1170 pneumococcal isolates were resistant to Ceftriaxone (22). Despite low level of resistance in previous study, in a retrospective study in a university hospital in Taiwan (2000 - 2007), 18.4% of non-meningeal and 34.9% of meningeal isolates (a total number of 3729 isolates) were not susceptible to Ceftriaxone (23). Moreover, in a study conducted in Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, from February 2000 and November 2001, including 78 isolates of *S. Pneumonia* from different samples (blood, cerebrospinal fluid, bone, and peritoneal fluid) only 1.28% of isolates were resistant to Ceftriaxone (24) although authors suggested Ceftriaxone for treating invasive pneumococcal infections other than the central nervous system infections (25). A large study included 1,000 clinical isolates of *S* pneumococcal collected by U.S. laboratories in 2001-2002 showed that nearly 2% of isolates were resistant to Ceftriaxone (26). The rate of resistance to Ceftriaxone in our study was similar to the other studies (2.86%).

It is noticeable that studies on pediatric patients' samples, revealed higher rates of resistance, it showed that 35% of *S. Pneumonia* isolated from nasopharyngeal swabs of children from Darwin, Australia, and 24.7% of *S. Pneumonia* isolated from hypopharynx aspirate specimens collected from children admitted to 4 Children’s hospital in Beijing, Shanghai and Guangzhou, China were resistant to Ceftriaxone (27, 28). Another study on 46 strains of *S. Pneumonia* isolated from children with different infections referred to an emergency ward of a pediatric hospital in Rumania, during January 2001 - September 2002, revealed 26.09% resistance to Ceftriaxone (29). In a study which was conducted in Isfahan, Iran 98 pneumococcal isolates obtained from pediatric patients aged 5 - 10 years old, 89.1% and 81.5% of non-meningeal and meningeal isolates were susceptible to Ceftriaxone. MIC50 and MIC90 were 0.25 and 1.5, respectively; thus the authors suggested to administer Ceftriaxone in suspected cases of pneumococcal infection (30, 31).

Considering the low rates of Ceftriaxone resistance amongst isolates *Pneumococci* in this study, administering of Ceftriaxone alone for the treatment of adult patients with invasive pneumococcal infections (other than CNS infections) is effective; but pediatric patients and patients with CNS infections should be treated with Ceftriaxone and Vancomycin. It appears that the diminution in Vancomycin use has been resulted in decreased resistance rate of other bacteria which are sensitive to these antimicrobial agents (such as methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococci*).
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