Comparison of culture and microscopic methods by PCR for detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in sputum
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ABSTRACT

Background: It is difficult to diagnose Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection due to a lack of rapid, sensitive, and specific tests. Newer methods, which are easy and reliable, are required to diagnose TB at an early stage. Our aim is to evaluate the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technique, using primers directed against the IS6110 gene, for the detection of M. tuberculosis in the sputum samples, and calculate the sensitivity and specificity of PCR.

Patients and methods: A total of 248 sputum samples from patients suspected of mycobacterial diseases were studied. DNA was extracted by boiling method. IS6110 PCR method by a specific pair of primers designed to amplify 123bp and 245bp sequences of the insertion sequence, 6110, in the M. tuberculosis genome was used to analyze sputum samples.

Results: Totally, 32 (12.9%) samples had positive culture. PCR yielded a sensitivity of 93.8% and specificity of 99.1% for the diagnosis of TB, when diagnosis was confirmed by culture. There were 2 out of 32(6.3%) PCR-positive cases among the patients with non-TB disease.

Conclusion: We concluded that the performance of an IS6110 PCR assay is valuable in the rapid diagnosis of tuberculosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis is one of the most hideous infectious diseases which was declining for some decades, but is now on the rise alongside the appearance of AIDS. The World Health Organization estimates that if stronger measures are not taken up to control the prevalence of this disease, from 2000 to 2020 a billion people will be infected by the bacterium, 200 million of which will develop the disease and 35 million people will expire as a result. Currently, there are approximately 8 million new infections and 3 million deaths attributed to M. tuberculosis each year (1,2). Therefore, the WHO has declared tuberculosis a universal emergency. At the present time, the diagnosis of tuberculosis relies on Ziehl-Nielson staining and bacterial culture on Lowenstein-Johnson medium, taking 6-8 weeks. Using various techniques of PCR and multiplex PCR for detection of bacteria in clinical samples has aided the researchers and clinicians greatly (3). The gold standard in detecting M.tuberculosis is culture, considered the standard method by all references.

Several rapid diagnostic modalities for the identification of mycobacteria have been
developed. The major advance was the detection of *M. tuberculosis* by nucleic acid amplification tests (NAT). These technologies are attractive because of the possibility of directly detecting *M. tuberculosis* in clinical respiratory specimens. PCR-based assays for the detection of *M. tuberculosis* approach the sensitivity and specificity of conventional culture but have the added advantage of being rapid (4). Unfortunately, because of the possibility of false-negative reactions among other reasons, the use of NAT for *M. tuberculosis* has come under scrutiny and their usefulness has been questioned (5). In addition, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has suggested an algorithm for the use of these tests that includes repeat testing, which is costly and unattractive to the laboratory (5).

Various loci have been utilized to diagnose *M. tuberculosis*, such as insertion sequence 6110, antigens 65KD (Gro EL), 38 KD (PhoS) and 23 KD (MPB64) (6). Among these, PCR of the IS6110 sequence showed the highest sensitivity compared to PCR of other gene loci (7). The repetition of this sequence increases the sensitivity of PCR which involves the amplification of a single DNA sequence. In other words, the single DNA has multiple segments to be proliferated, and thus the chance of primer binding increases even with small amounts of DNA. Furthermore, this segment occurs only in *M. tuberculosis* complex, and this is another advantage for using it in detecting *M. tuberculosis* (8,9). In some regions like India, strains lacking this segment have been reported, however many studies have never reported the lack of this segment in regions like Brazil (10,11).

Considering the importance of rapidly diagnosing tuberculosis and the controversy concerning the sensitivity and specificity of PCR, we conducted this study to evaluate the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predicative value of PCR in patients suspected of tuberculosis referring to Moslem Ben Aqil center, Kashan, Iran, from May 2007 to August 2007.

**PATIENTS and METHODS**

This predictive value study was conducted on 248 sputum samples from patients suspected of tuberculosis referring to Moslem Ben Aqil center, Kashan, Iran, from May 2007 to August 2007. The sputum samples were digested and disinfected using the standard procedure (12). Subsequently the samples were used for Ziehl-Nielson staining, culture and PCR. All the samples were cultured on Lowenstein Johnson medium and kept for eight weeks in 37°C, and the positive cultures were biochemically identified. The DNA in sputum samples was extracted through boiling. In this procedure, each sample was centrifuged twice at 10000g and the precipitate was dissolved in 1mL sterile distilled water. Then, the solution was heated for 20 minutes at 85°C to simultaneously kill bacteria and extract DNA. In this study, one sample of *M. tuberculosis* (clinically isolated strain) was used as positive control.

The PCR reaction was optimized by varying outer primer annealing temperature and primer concentration. The PCR was performed in 50µl of reaction mixture containing 10mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 50mM KCl, 2.5mM MgCl2, 15pmol each of primer and optimal concentration of dNTPs (SinaGENE, Tehran, Iran) and 1µl of 50 unit Taq DNA polymerase (SinaGENE, Tehran, Iran) and 5µl of DNA extracted from sputum samples.

The reaction mixture was initially denatured at 94°C for 5 minutes, and subjected to 35 cycles of PCR comprising denaturation at 94°C for 45 seconds, annealing at 68°C for 45 seconds and extension at 72°C for 45 seconds. Finally, the PCR products were detected by electrophoresis of 5µl reaction mixture in 1.2% agarose gel and examination of the ethidium bromide-stained gel under a UV transilluminator (INGENIUS, SYNGENE).
The primers for IS61610 included M1: 5’CCTGCGAGCGTAGGCGTG3’ and M2: 5’CTCGTCCAGGCGCTTCGG3’ for amplifying the 123 base pair segment, and C1: 5’GCGTAGGCGTGACAAA3’ and C2: 5’CGTGAGGGCATCGAGGTGGC3’ for amplifying the 245 base pair segment (figure 1).

RESULTS

Of 248 sputum samples, 40 samples (16.1%) showed acid fast bacteria after Ziehl Nielson staining (positive smear) and 32 samples (12.9%) were culture positive on Lowenstein Johnson medium.

Table 1. Detecting *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in sputum samples using multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>93.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smear</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Other studies from different regions of the world report 80-90% sensitivity for PCR, considering various DNA extraction methods (7). In our study all samples with positive smear and culture (except 6) had positive PCR result. From 32 cases with positive PCR, 30 cases (93.75%) were corroborated by culture, and 22 cases (64.45%) were corroborated by both smear and culture. The sensitivity of the *M. tuberculosis* complex PCR ranged from 40.6% (95%CI:34.0–47.4) in microbiological positive pulmonary TB (PTB) patients to 66.7% (95%CI:47.1–82.1) in microbiological-negative PTB patients. The specificity of the test was 98.2% (95%CI:89.0–99.9) in all categories. The PPV of the test was well above 95% in all categories. The NPV was much lower with values ranging from 29.5% (95%CI:23.1–36.8) in microbiological-positive PTB patients to 84.4% (95%CI:72.7–91.9) in microbiological-negative PTB patients (13). Querol et al (14) tested 314 respiratory samples using IS6110 primer and reported a sensitivity of 97% compared to culture. Thoe et al (15) in Singapore used IS6110 PCR and Amplicor to report sensitivity and specificity of 86.5% and 83.6%, respectively. In this study, the small amount of samples, using unequal samples, and lack of IS6110 segment are reasons that prevent the detection of *M. tuberculosis*. Christiane Schewe et al (16) showed that the highest sensitivity was achieved for the amplification of the IS6110 gene followed by the 16S rDNA of *M. tuberculosis*.
complex, whereas regarding specificity, the latter was superior to the IS6110 detection.

In our study, we evaluated the performance of a test based on PCR amplification in sputum using the IS6110 sequence of \textit{M. tuberculosis} complex as a target to be amplified. While evaluating the reproducibility of our \textit{M. tuberculosis} complex PCR technique, we found that some samples had negative PCR results despite of positive culture (tow samples), this suggests that \textit{M. tuberculosis} complex DNA is represented in low copy numbers and/or is unevenly distributed in sputum samples, as already suggested by others (17,18).

In the light of these results, \textit{M. tuberculosis} complex PCR should be recommended for routine detection of new TB patients in Kashan. The technique may, however, be useful for confirming \textit{M. tuberculosis} in patients suspected to be microbiological-negative PTB when clinical and bacteriological diagnoses are not conclusive.

Rapid diagnosis of tuberculosis may have profound effects in patients’ care. These effects may be considered from different aspects. In smear-positive patients with positive PCR, the etiology of the disease would be tuberculosis bacillus, and the patient must be cared for until the smear turns negative; but a negative PCR may indicate non tuberculosis mycobacterial infection; thus the patient must no longer undergo \textit{M. tuberculosis} isolation procedures, and proper therapy should be initiated. The potential effects of this method in reducing the therapeutic costs are evident.

It may be concluded that nucleic acid amplification methods corroborate positive smears, and in patients with clinically suspicious symptoms but negative smears, may account for the presence of \textit{M. tuberculosis}. The recent guidelines of CDC verify this conclusion (19). In cases of negative smear, it is recommended to withhold initiation of therapy until the culture results are ready, because this condition bears a low risk of disease transfer. PCR, in diagnosis of tuberculosis, provide rapidity in gain of results but sensitivity and specificity of this method has not shown difference from smear and culture. In addition to the rapidity of molecular methods, such methods may be used directly in clinical and veterinary laboratories to enhance public health. Moreover, they may be helpful in diagnosing extra pulmonary infections of tuberculosis, particularly tuberculotic meningitis (20).

The addition of specific primers for different species of mycobacterium, especially \textit{M. bovis}, multiplex PCR may be used to distinguish various species of mycobacterium which cause diseases in man or animals.
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