Case Report: Radiological Features of Paraquat Herbicide Poisoning: A Case Report
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Paraquat (PQ) is a herbicide with potent toxicity. The most frequent human poisoning occurs by ingestion and inhalation. Its clinical feature includes pulmonary edema in the first 48 hours post-toxicity with progression to acute respiratory distress syndrome. A 50-year-old male poisoned patient referred to the emergency department with the complaint of shortness of breath. His companions had an herbicide poison bottle. Respiratory failure gradually intensified. The patient was intubated and under mechanical ventilation for one month. Kidney and liver insufficiency were gradually added to the patient’s clinical feature in the following days. He died with multorgan failures one month later. Standard chest X-ray is not beneficial for assessing PQ intoxication. High resolution computed tomography scan is recommended at day 7 post-ingestion for evaluating PQ poisoning.

ABSTRACT

Paraquat (PQ) is a herbicide with potent toxicity. The most frequent human poisoning occurs by ingestion and inhalation. Its clinical feature includes pulmonary edema in the first 48 hours post-toxicity with progression to acute respiratory distress syndrome. A 50-year-old male poisoned patient referred to the emergency department with the complaint of shortness of breath. His companions had an herbicide poison bottle. Respiratory failure gradually intensified. The patient was intubated and under mechanical ventilation for one month. Kidney and liver insufficiency were gradually added to the patient’s clinical feature in the following days. He died with multorgan failures one month later. Standard chest X-ray is not beneficial for assessing PQ intoxication. High resolution computed tomography scan is recommended at day 7 post-ingestion for evaluating PQ poisoning.

1. Introduction

Paraquat (PQ) is a known bipyridyl compound with worldwide general use and non-selective herbicidal properties. The PQ was first used in 1962. It has favorable characteristics for farmers with broad-spectrum effects, including inactivation in contact with soil and rain-fed washing [1]. The annual ingestion intoxication of it is reported as 2000 cases with a high mortality rate (60-70%) in the Asian countries [2].

2. Case Report

A 50-year-old male poisoned patient referred to the emergency department with the complaint of shortness of breath. His companions had an herbicide poison bottle. The chemical name of that poison was PQ. The patient was admitted to the intensive care unit. Physical
examinations revealed agitation and tachypnea; RR=24/minute, PR=124/minute, BP=150.95 mmHg, T=38.4 °C, and Pulse oximeter O₂ sat=75%. Chest examination presented rhonchi, rale and coarse crackle in the base of both lungs. The early blood biochemistry evaluation suggested WBC=16000 µ/mL and the other obtained biochemistry laboratory data were in the normal range. The hemoperfusion was performed in the next 24 hours. Respiratory failure gradually intensified. The patient was intubated and under mechanical ventilation for one month. Kidney and liver insufficiency were gradually added to the patients’ clinical features in the following days. He died with multiorgan failures one month later.

3. Discussion

PQ herbicide is still used with easy accessibility in Iran. The rate of suicide attempts with PQ is significant with a mortality rate of 39.6% [3] in the Iranian population and 60-70% in the Asian countries [4]. PQ ingestion is the main means of human intoxication. Lung and kidney are the most frequent targets of toxicants. The PQ concentration in the lung parenchymal is several times higher than the plasma level in early poisoning.

Standard chest X-ray is not useful in the evaluation of PQ intoxication. It has low sensitivity and specificity. Chest radiographic features indicated bilateral shadows made of ground glass opacity. It is distributed in the center of the lung. Figure 1 reveals the studied patient’s chest X-ray radiography. However, diffuse consolidation and pneumomediastinum associated with pneumothorax may be detected [5]. A high-risk radiologic manifestation is usually observed in the first two weeks post-poisoning as pneumothorax, pneumomediastinum, and ARDS. The fibrosis usually appears in a radiology image at about 2-4 weeks post-exposure [6].

A high resolution Computed Tomography (CT) scan is recommended at day 7 post-ingestion for PQ poisoning [7]. The classic sign of CT scan manifestation is the fine fibrosis in the middle and central areas of the lung parenchyma; it is tenderly progressed in a wedge shape toward the hilum [3]. The manifestations of a CT scan can help in the prognostic assessment. The features of ground glass and consolidation are associated with a poor prognosis in an early CT scan [8]. Figure 2 shows the characteristics of the CT Scan manifestations of PQ poisoning.

Figure 1. Chest X-ray radiography

Figure 2. CT Scan manifestation of paraquat poisoning
4. Conclusion

Standard chest X-ray is not beneficial for assessing PQ intoxication. High-resolution CT scan is recommended at day 7 post-ingestion for evaluating PQ poisoning.
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