Prevalence of GBV-C among Iranian HBV positive patients using PCR-RFLP technique
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ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of this study was to investigate the prevalence of GB virus C (GBV-C) among Iranian HBV positive patients using PCR-RFLP technique.

Background: GBV-C was a member of flaviviridae family and recently was proposed to classify as members of a fourth genus in this family, named Pegivirus and it was suggested that at least one quarter of the world's population has been infected with this virus. GBV-C can be transmitted via blood-borne route, although vertical and sexual transmission is very well documented.

Patients and methods: 100 serum samples were collected from HBsAg positive patients in 2011-2012. RNA was extracted with Qiagene mini kit. cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcriptase method and amplified by semi-nested PCR method. After designing specific primers, the semi-nested PCR was optimized, then sequences of PCR products were analyzed with a software such as neb cutter, and sites of restriction enzymes were determined and suitable enzymes were selected for RFLP (Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism).

Results: PCR products were analyzed in a 2% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide and were visualized with ultraviolet (UV) light. A 230 bp band was observed in comparison with 100 kb ladder. This band indicates our target gene of GBV-C genome have been isolate from serum samples.

Conclusion: It seems that Co-infection of GBV-C and HBV are common and this method had acceptable sensitivity for detecting GBV-C and determining its genotype, and is more affordable than the other techniques. Therefore, the results of this study showed the prevalence of GBV-C were 12 serums of 100 serums HBsAg positive in goal population and one sample from 12 GBV-C positive serums was genotype 3 and the others were genotype 2.
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Introduction

The GB virus C (GBV-C) is a single-stranded, positive-sense RNA genome of approximately 9.4 Kb. In comparison between genome organization and sequence homologies, GBV-C is most closely related to human hepatitis C virus (HCV). However, in contrast to HCV, GBV-C does not appear to be hepatotrophic (1). Based on phylogenetic relationships, genome organization...
and pathogenic features of the GB viruses, Jack T. Stapleton and colleagues proposed to classify GBV-A-like viruses, GBV-C and GBV-D as members of a fourth genus in the Flaviviridae family, named Pegivirus (pe, persistent; g, GB or G) (2).

The clinical significance of human infection with GBV-C/HGV is currently unclear (3). The virus can establish both acute and chronic infection and appears to be sensitive to interferon. GBV-C can be transmitted via the blood-borne route, although vertical and sexual transmission is very well documented. GBV-C is distributed globally; a large number of the healthy blood donors in Iran and other countries have been exposed and have been infected with GBV-C. The significantly higher levels of infections seen in the high-risk groups, such as multitransfused patients, hemodialysis patients, hemophilic, HIV, HCV and HBV infected patients, as well as intravenous drug users (3, 4, 5).

GBV-C is lymphotropic and is not associated with any known human disease. Co-infection of GBV-C and HBV is common. Recently, it was shown that co-infection with HIV leads to improved morbidity and mortality for these individuals and slows down progression to acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) (6-9).

Most of the studies on GBV-C in Iran have been done on HIV co-infected patients, and the GBV-C infection-rate was reported to be 10.97% to 15.5%. In another study, 13% of hemodialysis patients in Iran were reported to be infected with GBV-C.

Also, the prevalence of this virus in HCV positive patients, hemophilic and thalassemia individuals were 43.6%, 41.4%, and 33.4% respectively. In healthy blood donors infection with this virus was reported up to 8.6 % (10-13).

The comparison with new and traditional methods for genotyping viruses such as sequencing, PCR and genotype-specific primers, reverse-phase hybridization, mass spectrometry and other systems, the rapid and sensitive method was developed based on restriction pattern analysis of the 5' UTR of the genome (RFLP). At the time of this study 5 genotypes of the virus with this method, was recognized. Hence, the aim of the present study was to develop a RFLP analyses of 5' UTR of 12 GBV-C RNA positive serum isolated from 100 serum samples of Iranian HBV infected blood donors.

**Patients and Methods**

One hundred serum samples of HBV infected patients were collected from Iranian blood transfusion organization and stored at -70 ºC; were analyzed for the study during the period of October 2010 to November 2011. Tarbiat Modares University Ethics Approval Committee approved the study and sampling.

**RNA Extraction and RT-PCR**

The PCR primers were designed based on the most conserved regions derived from the known sequences available in GenBank. All so-called complete GBV-C sequences deposited in GenBank and partial sequences from the 5' UTR were analyzed for primer synthesis (Table1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table1. Primer sequences for round 1.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primer name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sense, outer/S1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antisense, outer/AS1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These primers were confirmed to be specific for GBV-C with Gene Runner, Mega4, Oligoanalyser and NCBI Blast software.

The primers were prepared with an Alfa sequencing company in Canada (Table1). Standards precautions for avoiding contamination for PCR were observed. A negative control serum
was also included in each run to ensure specificity. All of the samples were analyzed for RNA extraction with Qiagene mini Kit (Germany). cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcriptase method and amplified by semi-nested PCR by specific primers.

For RFLP analysis, the 237 bp DNA fragment of the viral genome was amplified by RT-PCR with specific primers for conserved 5' UTR of the GBV-C.

RNA was initially obtained from 200 ml of a serum samples using the method described by QIAamp RNA Viral Mini Kit (Qiagene, Germany). 10 μl of extracted RNA was used for cDNA synthesis in a final volume of 21 μl. cDNA was synthesized by incubation at 70 °C with specific antisense primer (AS1) of GBV-C for 5 min and M-MuLV reverse transcriptase at 42°C for 60 min. Each 21 μl RT master mixture contained 0.2 mM of dNTPmix, 0.16 pmol Primer, 20 unit M-MuLV-reverse transcriptase, 2 units RNase inhibitor and 2 μl 1 X RT buffer. The cDNA was stored at -20 °C for using in semi-nested PCR method.

**Semi-nested PCR conditions**

After sequence alignment, primers were designed for 5' UTR of GBV-C genome and were used in semi-nested PCR and procedures of this method was carefully optimized.

The 5 μl cDNA was used for 25 ul volume first round PCR reaction, which contain: 2.5 μl of polymerase buffer1x, 0.75 ul of 1.5 mmol/l MgCl2, 0.5 μl of 0.2 mmol/l dNTPmix, 0.3 ul of Taq (1.25Unit) and 0.16 pmol sense primer S1 and 0.4 μl of 0.16 pmols AS1(Table 1). After a denaturation step (5min at 95°C), 32 cycles of PCR, with 1 cycle consisting of denaturation (50s at 94°C), annealing (40s at 55°C), primer extension (50s at 72°C) and the end for inactivation of Taq DNA polymerase followed (3 min at 72°C).

semi-nested PCR was performed in a volume of 25 μl after transfer of 1 μl from the first round of PCR product to a mix with 0.4 μl of 0.16 pmol/ μl sense primer; S2, and 0.4 μl of 0.16 pmol AS1, and other components was similar to first round (Table 2).

**Table 2. Primer sequences for round 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Primer Sequence</th>
<th>Attach site</th>
<th>product length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sense, inner/S2</td>
<td>5'TAGCCACTATAGGTGGGTCT3'</td>
<td>163-400</td>
<td>237 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-sense, outer/AS1</td>
<td>5'CCCACTGGTCCTGTTCAACT3'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second PCR was performed after a denaturation step as given above (5min at 95°C) and 32 cycles of PCR followed with 1 cycle consisting of denaturation (5min at 95°C), annealing (50s at 64°C), and extension (40s at 72°C) and a final extension (3 min at 72°C) follow by a hold at 4°C. the second-round PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis in 2% agarose gels and stained with ethidium bromide, then visualized under ultraviolet (UV) light. The PCR product, 237bp from the 5' UTR of GBV-C was expected (figure 1).

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** 1, 2 and 3: 237 bp band (positive sample); 4, 5 and 6: negative sample; 7: negative control; 8: size marker100 bp
Determination of restriction enzymes for RFLP

In order to design a RFLP method, an attempt was made to identify specific restriction sites that are unique for each genotype. To reach this goal, all partial sequences from the 5' UTR and complete GBV-C sequences deposited in GeneBank at the time of this study, were used for analysis and determined restriction enzymes pattern with neb cutter and web cutter software. In the beginning 3 restriction enzymes were selected: Ban II (FriOI), Dra II (ECO0109I) and Ava II (Bme18I), which allows the determination of all known genotypes of GBV-C (Table 3).

Table 3. Selected Restriction Enzymes and their cutting site sequences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location identified</th>
<th>Enzyme name</th>
<th>Enzyme name (NCBI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5'RG'GNCCY3'</td>
<td>Dra II</td>
<td>(ECO 0109I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'YCCNG,GY5'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' G'GWCC3'</td>
<td>Ava II</td>
<td>(Bme18I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'CCWG,GS5'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'GRGCY'C3'</td>
<td>FriOI</td>
<td>(Ban II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'C,YCGRG5'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RFLP Analysis

RFLP analysis was performed after the genotype specific restriction sites were identified. Restriction cleavage was carried out with 0.1-0.5 μg of second PCR product mixed with 1x reaction buffer restriction enzymes and incubated at 37°C for 16 to 24 hour according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. After incubation samples were run on a 2% agarose gel and the RFLP pattern was then evaluated and visualized by ethidium bromide staining under ultraviolet light (figure 2). 12% acrylamide and 2% agarose gel were used for increasing sensitivity detection and band differentiating.

Results

In the current study we developed semi-nested PCR for the sensitive and specific detection of GBV-C RNA and RFLP method to precise determination of 6 genotypes of this virus in the study population.

This study showed GBV-C RNA was detected in 12 out of the 100 serum samples of Iranian blood donors with hepatitis B infection. In the beginning, the specific restriction site for DraII at nucleotide position 225, induced 15 and 225 bp fragments was found only in genotype2. While genotypes 1, 4 and 5 did not possess a DraII cleavage site in the selected region of the 5' UTR (nucleotide position163-400) and in the gel 237 bp fragments were seen.

Figure 2. 1: size marker (100bp); 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 8: 225 bp band (genotype 2); 5: 105 & 106 bp band (genotype 3); 9: without digest fragment as negative control; 10: 225 bp band as positive control

The specific restriction site for DraII at nucleotide position 35 and 102 induced 35, 102 and 100 bp fragments was found only in genotypes 3 or 6. Then restriction enzyme AvaII did not have cleavage site for genotype 5 but the specific restriction site for genotype 1 at nucleotide position 35 and 68 was found and induced 35, 33 and 172 bp fragments. The digestion site in nucleotide position 169 and 68 for genotype 4 was found and induced 68, 101 and 71 bp fragments. Finally Ban II was selected, which restriction site for genotype 6, was absent but at nucleotide position 135 and 106 restriction site were seen that produced 105, 29 and 106 bp fragments was found only in genotype 3. The pattern of restriction enzyme cutting by Ban II
(FriOI), Dra II (ECO0109I) and Ava II (Bme18I) allowed the assignment of all known specific genotypes of GBV-C in this sample.

Agarose gel 2% visualized by ethidium bromide was stained under ultraviolet light. By using of 12% Acrylamide gel electrophoresis method, increased band differentiating (figure 3).

This study showed genotype 2 in 11 (91.6%) and genotype 3 in 1 (8.3%) of the 12 positive GBV-C serum samples of Iranian blood donors with hepatitis B infection was detected, and based on the nucleotide sequence of the 5'-UTR region (139-400) genotype 2, was prevalence in this population.

Figure 3. Digested with enzymes DraII I and Ban on 12% polyacrylamide gels; 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, and 9: cut with DraII and 225 bp bands representing genotype 2; 5: 237 bp band (no digestion enzyme reaction); 6: negative control; 7: Cut with Ban II and 106 and 135 bands representing genotype 3.

Discussion

Studies of GBV-C have reported a relatively high prevalence in high risk groups such as hemophilic and hemodialysis patients, intravenous drug users as well as HIV and HCV patients (15).

Different studies across the globe showed, the prevalence of GBV-C exposure was 6.8% in the dialysis patients, 35.2% in hemophilic patients; while the prevalence in HCV and HIV was 24.2% and 18.2%, respectively and, between 1% to 4% of healthy blood donors have active infection and could detect viral RNA in their sera. (14, 6)

In Iranian studies, several researchers evaluate the effect of GBV-C coinfection in HIV and some of them investigated on GBV-C infection in HCV positive individuals and high-risk group such as hemodialysis. The prevalence of GBV-C in HIV and HCV patients was 15.5% and 43.6%, respectively and in the new study 8.6% of healthy blood donors infected which did not correlate with gender, age, or history of blood transfusions (10-13).

GB virus type C is a non-pathogenic flavivirus associated with prolonged survival in HIV-infected individuals and correlation between GBV-C and other disease were not seen, but in coinfection with other affected viruses response to therapy or clinical course in patients might be seen.

By itself, GBV-C infection has not been associated with any specific disease. No association has been found between GBV-C and such conditions as hepatocellular carcinoma, lichen planus, cryoglobulinaemia, Sjogren’s syndrome or various malignant or non-malignant hematological disorders (16).

To date, several methods have been made in order to provide a rapid method for detect and genotyping of viruses such as, sequencing, PCR and genotype-specific primers, reverse-phase hybridization, mass spectrometry (17). In this study because of the increasing number of GBV-C sequences deposited in the GenBank database RFLP of the initial methods, to be used. RFLP analysis was: less time consuming, rapid and simple procedures, sensitive method without computer processing and affordable.

This method of genotyping is still an important and reliable tool; even in the presence of automated methods. These methods have been advantages such as good qualities compared with genotyping based on sequencing and comparative alignment and capable to detect all known GBV-C
genotypes/isolates in just a serum sample. Ability to detect, distinguish of virus, in mixed viral populations. Good for transmission and epidemic studies, determination of all genotypes of GBV-C in large number of samples (18-20). By using of RFLP method, we differentiated six GBV-C genotypes by restriction endonuclease enzymes that digest the amplified cDNA from 5' UTR by semi-nested PCR.

We have utilized simple genotyping method by using three restriction enzymes: Dra II (ECO 0109I) and Ava II (Bme18I) and Ban II (FriO), which digest genotype-specific site(s) in PCR products, by RFLP, rapidly and easily. With this method (similar to other studies and methods) based on the 5'-UTR, six genotypes (1 to 6) of GBV-C were classified.

As previously reported in Iran, Ghanbari et al. determines of genotypes of this virus in HCV positive patients by using sequencing and phylogenetic analysis (21).

In other studies across the globe, genotyping of GBV-C was done with sequencing, phylogenetic analysis and RFLP methods (16). In Oubina study in 1999, three genotypes of GBV-C were recognized and another research, Sabine B. Schleicher et al. in 2003, RFLP and phylogenetic analysis were designed, which five genotypes of this virus were determined. In a study by Mizokamia et al. by using of two restriction enzymes (ScrFI and BsmFI), recognized three genotypes of GBV-C/HGV. With this method in these studies, a simple restriction fragment polymorphism analysis was developed for genotyping (19, 20, 22-25).

In this study we developed semi-nested PCR in 237 bp region of GBV-C genome with specific primers for 5'UTR of virus and utilized of 3 restriction enzymes for RFLP method. The results of this study shows that co-infection of GBV-C and HBV are common and majority of circulating genotype in goal population were genotype 2 and 11 out of 12 positive serum co-infected with GBV-C was genotype 2 and 1 out of 12 samples was genotype 3 that digested by Dra II and Ban II. Therefore, we utilized RFLP method is useful for differentiating the infections with variant genotype of GBV-C in co-infected serum with blood-borne viruses such as HIV, HBV and HCV positive patients; and PCR-RFLP method is accurate and inexpensive to detect genome of GBV-C and circulated genotypes in HBV infected patients.

Based on the results, this method is acceptable for detection and diagnosis of GBV-C infection.

They’re remaining many questions, which require further studies about the viral course of infection of GBV-C and coinfection with other viruses such as HBV that must be answered. In addition, a better understanding of any role different genotypes of GBV-C may play in HIV progression and course of hepatitis disease such as hepatocellular carcinoma, response and resistant to viral therapy is required. Although GBV-C has been shown there is no correlation with human diseases but so far a little work has been done on coinfection of GBV-C with HBV.
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